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# INTRODUCTION 

This Country Meadows Outline Plan (OLP) Amendment is being prepared on behalf of BW2 West \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd. Figure 2.1 Area Context Plan.

While the original Country Meadows OLP encompassed an area of just over 300 acres in West Lethbridge, the proposed OLP Amendment area consists of the NE $1 / 4$ SEC 33-8-22-4 - an area of 142 acres. Figure 2.2 Existing Land Use highlights the original Country Meadows OLP area and the boundary of the OLP Amendment area. It should be noted that all related information in the OLP Amendment document refers to this indicated boundary.

The original Country Meadows OLP was approved by the Municipal Planning Commission on February 28, 2012 and this amendment will describe the proposed land use layouts and the corresponding impacts to the plan area. The design of the OLP was consistent with the policies and intent of the Country Meadows Area Structure Plan and remains so with this OLP Amendment.

The Country Meadows OLP Amendment has been completed to:

- Reflect current market trends and provide flexibility in future zoning through the removal of prescriptive land use designations.
- Reconfigure the land allocated to Open Space (P-B and P-R) and create a 10\% Municipal Reserve.
- Describe adjustments to the local road network, storm water management, and proposed phasing necessitated by these revisions.
- Develop a coordinated approach of development between two land owners that promotes a logical extension of infrastructure and collaboration.
- Refine the plan for land use efficiency which will affect future affordability.


## LOCATION \& AREA CONTEXT

### 2.1 LOCATION

The Country Meadows Outline Plan area falls within the Country Meadows Area Structure Plan.
The subject lands are bounded on the east by the future Metis Trail West, on the south by the future Garry Drive West, on the north by Walsh Drive West and on the west by the future Chinook Trail. The Country Meadows Outline Plan is situated west of the existing West Highland's community and north of the future community of Garry Station. Directly to the north of Country Meadows, plans are being developed for a commercial area "The West Lethbridge Employment Centre."

### 2.2 TOPOGRAPHY

The subject lands consist of gently undulating prairie landscape that is typical of the Lethbridge region. In general, the lands slope from a centrally located plateau in all directions, with a maximum elevation difference of approximately 12-13m.

Appendix C- contains the Geotechnical Evaluation.
Appendix D-contains the Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment.

### 2.3 EXISTING GROUND DISTURBANCE

Particular attention should be given to areas of existing development (farmsteads, dugouts, existing underground utilities, septic fields, solid waste pits and/or burn pits, etc.) Existing dugouts should be drained, all saturated material removed and backfilled with general engineered fill. At subdivision and detail design these features (dugouts and wetlands) will be identified and remediated under the supervision of a geotechnical engineer. All existing utilities (whether operational or abandoned) must be located. Existing utility trenches pose a particular risk due to settlement of backfill material. Care should be taken to ensure that all existing utility trenches are excavated to remove the utility and backfilled with general engineered fill. All other existing or historical ground disturbances should be removed and backfilled with general engineered fill. For further information refer to Appendix $C_{\text {, }}$ Geotechnical Evaluation.

### 2.4 EXISTING LAND USE \& ZONING

The existing land use of The Country Meadows Outline Plan was classified as Agricultural which provided for cropland and other suitable agrarian endeavors.

The subject lands are currently designated Future Urban Development (FUD) on the eastern parcels of the plan area and Direct Control District (DC) Bylaw 4590 on the western parcel. The intent of the Future Urban Development District is to protect lands for future development and subdivision once appropriate servicing and planning policies have been implemented. The Direct Control District approved by Lethbridge City Council in 1993 permitted the subdivision of the quarter section into two equal parcels, allowing one dwelling unit for each parcel.

The surrounding land uses consist of existing residential housing to the east in the community of West Highlands; planned and approved residential development to the south in the development of Garry Station.

Figure 2.1, Area Context Plan - illustrates the location of Country Meadows within West Lethbridge

Figure 2.2, Existing Land Use - illustrates the current Land Uses within and surrounding the plan area.

The proposed Country Meadows OLP Amendment area consists of the NE $1 / 4$ SEC 33-8-22-4 - an area of 142 acres in West Lethbridge within the Country Meadows OLP area.
Figure 2.1, Area Context Plan and Figure 2.2, Existing Land Use have been updated to include this OLP amendment.
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## LAND OWNERSHIP

The Country Meadows Outline Plan area consists of 6 separate ownership parcels. The following outlines the land ownership and legal descriptions for the parcels within the Country Meadows Outline Plan boundary:

- Southgate Commercial Lands Corp. - Portions of the NW Quarter of Section 34, Township 8, Range 22, West of the Fourth Meridian containing 37.44 ha $\pm$ ( 92.65 acres $\pm$ ).
- 2014836 Alberta Ltd. - the North Half of the NE Quarter of Section 33, Township 8, Range 22 , West of the Fourth Meridian containing 27.51 ha $\pm$ ( 67.98 acres $\pm$ ).
- BW2 West - the South Half of the NE Quarter of Section 33, Township 8, Range 22, West of the Fourth Meridian containing 29.57 ha $\pm$ (73.07 acres $\pm$ ).

■ Debra L. Dudley-Olafson - the North Half of the SE Quarter of Section 33, Township 8, Range 22, West of the Fourth Meridian containing 23.21 ha $\pm$ ( 57.35 acres $\pm$ ).

- City of Lethbridge - Lot 1, Block 1, Plan 0814008 containing 2.06ha $\pm$ ( 5.09 acres $\pm$ ).

The Certificates of Title have been provided in Appendix A - Certificates of Title.
Figure 3.1 Land Ownership identifies the ownership within the plan boundary.
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## Land Ownership

## Outline Plan Amendment

PREPARED FOR:BW2 WEST \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd.

## POLICY CONTEXT

The Country Meadows Outline Plan represents the next detailed level of planning within the framework of an overarching Area Structure Plan (ASP). That Plan - the Country Meadows Area Structure Plan - was approved by City Council as By-law 5629 in February 2010. The ASP document provided a general land use concept for the 122 ha that comprise the plan area, a servicing strategy, a proposed transportation network and a phasing scheme for the development of the lands. The guiding policies for the development of the Country Meadows Outline Plan are based on the principles set out in the Country Meadows ASP. The Outline Plan is also based on a number of related and complementary policies that comprise part of the City of Lethbridge's Integrated Community Sustainability Plan/Municipal Development Plan (By-law 5650, July 2010).

These policies and their relationship to the development of the Country Meadows Outline Plan are as follows:

1. ICSP/MDP Policy: Encourage and promote mixed-use development in residential neighbourhoods. (Section 6.4.5.7)
1.1 ASP Principle Create a mixed-use community which is primarily residential in nature but includes the essential community services and amenities needed to create a complete neighbourhood.

- The Country Meadows Outline Plan is characterized by a mix of uses and amenities intended to meet the needs of a new and thriving neighbourhood. These include a range and variety of residential densities and housing types, a centrally-located elementary school, and a neighbourhood commercial site. The parks and open spaces of this plan - including an extensively linked linear park system - further contribute to this variety of land uses and to creating a quality living environment for future residents.
- Development statistics within the Country Meadows Outline Plan boundary are as follows:
- Low density residential will account for $79 \%$ of residential land area (approximately 1240 units).
- Medium density residential will account for $21 \%$ of residential land area (approximately 666 units)
- Low Density Residential units will account for $56 \%$ of development and Medium Density Residential units will account for $44 \%$ of development.
- A neighbourhood commercial area will account for 3.11 acres ( 1.26 ha ) of developable land.

At full buildout, Country Meadows will house approximately 5427 residents in 2206 units.
2. ICSP/MDP Policy: Encourage and promote a diverse range of housing that is incorporated in all new neighbourhoods. (Section 6.4.5.6)

ICSP/MDP Policy: Encourage and facilitate the adequate supply of housing for all income groups. (Section 6.2.1.3)
2.1 ASP Principle Establish a range of residential housing choices for various family types and for individuals of a range of ages and incomes, including single-family dwellings, medium density dwellings and senior-aged oriented dwellings and assisted-living facilities.

- The densities proposed in this plan range from single family (with lanes and without) comprising $56 \%$ of homes, through to townhouse and apartment units, which make up the remaining $44 \%$ of homes - permitting significant choice for home ownership from detached units to condominiums. The Plan also offers substantial choice for renters. Indeed, the zoning category which will make provision for the largest number of units (968) in the planning area - R-75 - is a category intended for multiple unit dwellings.
- The developer wishes to ensure that buyers of homes in Country Meadows have the widest possible choice of housing types and building styles. Lot purchasers will therefore not be limited to having houses constructed solely by any "builders' group" carrying out home building in Country Meadows. Purchasers will be permitted to select their own builder and develop custom homes, provided these meet the Plan's architectural design standards.

3. ICSP/MDP Policy: Encourage and promote neighbourhood design in a manner that encourages interaction between all age groups. (Section 6.4.5.10)

ICSP/MDP Policy:
3.1 ASP Principle Create variety in both residential form and lot type to enhance choice and foster diversity and visual interest.

- As the previous principle has noted, the Country Meadows Outline Plan will create a diverse range of housing opportunities by providing for a large range of residential zoning categories. Among these categories are the Urban Innovation Zone and the R-CL zone both of which were specifically included to encourage both innovative and visually creative projects in the community. The small parcel district (R-SL) has been included to permit wider lot choice. To ensure that this new community will meet the needs of many age groups, the medium density districts selected for inclusion in the Plan (R-37 and R-75) allow for senior citizen housing. Although no sites have been specifically selected for seniors housing, the large number of medium density sites provided in the Plan will offer opportunities in a variety of locales.
- The Country Meadows Outline Plan will strive to provide a visually appealing community. To achieve this, the Plan includes architectural standards that will apply to the housing projects throughout the neighbourhoods. Split rail fencing will transition to screen fences along roadways, fieldstone pillared arbour entry features will complement other community features, and natural timber elements, native grasses and flora will enhance the farming/ranching lifestyle. The open space system will meander throughout the community and bring focus to a gathering gazebo that will add character and aesthetic appeal to the plan area.

4. ICSP/MDP Policy: $\quad$| Design new neighbourhoods and retrofit existing |
| :--- |
|  |
| neighbourhoods, to improve pedestrian and cyclist access to |
|  |
| destinations within and outside of neighbourhoods (Section |
| 6.4.3.3) |

ICSP/MDP Policy: Integrate transit with community planning and design. (Section 6.4.2.2)

# Provide a neighbourhood commercial area and a school site serving local residents via both pedestrian and vehicular 

 connections.- The Outline Plan includes both a public elementary school and a neighbourhood commercial site. Both sites are accessible by private as well as public transport. The proposed public transit routing system and the transit stops offer convenient service to the commercial parcel and the school as well as the community as a whole. A 1.5 km linear park system that takes advantage of the ATCO gas line easement acts together with other connections to form both a local pedestrian/cycling system in the community and a means of connecting to the regional pathway.
- Approximately 7.0 acres (2.8 ha) of "linear" open space will be provided for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Sidewalks complement the linear park system and ensure pedestrian access to all the major destinations both within and outside the plan area.


## 5. ICSP/MDP Policy:

Encourage and promote the design of the built environment to encourage walkability (Section 6.4.5.4)
ICSP/MDP Policy: Incorporate a range of active and passive recreational opportunities into the open space system. (Section 6.4.6.2)
5.1 ASP Principle Develop an integrated open space network, which creates a walkable and accessible environment, passive and active recreational amenities, and a highly aesthetic community thematic design which focuses on natural green and water oriented amenities.

- The open space system of the Country Meadows OLP is comprised of several diverse but interrelated components including the potential for an elementary school site with related amenities, a neighborhood park, a linear park system, a number of roundabouts providing a traffic calming effect to the plan area and promoting walkability.
- Open space (both creditable and non-creditable) accounts for more than $19 \%$ of the gross developable area ( 24.51 ha, 60.57 acres) in Country Meadows with land dedicated to a mix of uses including a school site, recreational opportunities, stormwater management, and public utilities.
- Streetscapes and storm water management facilities provide space for both active and passive recreation. Combined, these components will offer a significant range of recreational opportunity to the community. At the same time, accessibility to these sites will be enhanced through pathway and sidewalk linkages. The extensive pathway system - both local and regional - will offer an environment that not only facilitates walking and cycling, but indeed, encourages it.

6. ICSP/MDP Policy: Encourage and promote growth patterns that maximize the use of existing infrastructure and services in order to avoid or delay the construction of new infrastructure. (Section 6.4.4.5)
6.1 ASP Principle Establish a land use strategy that is practical, effective and cost efficient to facilitate development through strategic land use location and logical extension of servicing infrastructure.

- Servicing of the Country Meadows plan area is based on a logical and orderly extension of services from adjacent lands. The plan area is contiguous with other development areas that are either developed already or are scheduled for development. Servicing connections for storm, and sanitary requirements will be made via the adjacent West Highlands community. Long term needs for sanitary service will be provided in accord with the City's capital development schedule.
- The arrangement of land uses has taken into consideration the long-term needs of customers and the market. The central location of the elementary school provides minimal walking distances for students in the entire plan area. The commercial site is located to ensure maximum visibility and access from Garry Drive. The largest number of medium density sites has been located adjacent to open spaces to provide recreation opportunities for the highest concentration of residents. All of these uses are in the path of logical infrastructure servicing.


## COMMUNITY VISION \& DESIGN

In the early stages of the Country Meadows Outline Plan process, landowners and their consultants met with City Administration. The purpose of this meeting was to begin the process of establishing a direction for the community's vision and theme. Please refer to Appendix J Gate 2 Sign-Offfor this preliminary Visioning document. The workshop also focused on the amenities, elements, ideas, must-haves, and concepts that enhance a community. Key themes emerged that were incorporated into the vision and design of the community included:

- Quality, yet affordable and practical variety of homes
- Innovative design that establishes community identity and character
- Owner and community ownership of sustainable practices
- Inclusion of active and passive parks, green spaces and community linkages
- A safe and mobile community


### 5.1 COMMUNITY VISION

Country Meadows, a pioneer's destination after a long journey west, is a logical extension of a theme developed in West Lethbridge since 2000---the early settlement of Western Canada.

From the Atlantic Crossings when new immigrants arrived at the Piers on Canada's Eastern shore, settlers from the east travelled by rail or wagon to western destinations like a Garry Station. Surrounding these destinations, communities would develop, and a new "country" style of living would be born.


The Vision for Country Meadows is the bringing together of a diverse multitude that developed their own unique style based on the natural materials at hand in Western Canada. The use of timber and fieldstone would become a hallmark of the farming and ranching lifestyle. However, there were those settler's whose lives were defined by a more manicured eastern style. Two story homes painted white with picket and split rail fencing. Visitors to these homes might pass beneath an arbour; in the evening, neighbours might gather at a community gazebo to share thoughts of their day.

In many respects, these two distinct styles came together and created a new landscape in and around Lethbridge and this is a key part of the vision of Country Meadows.


On the eastern half of the Country Meadows, a pond and wetlands will be the focal gathering point for the community. Split rail or cross-buck fencing in open spaces will transition to residential screen fences along arterial roadways; arbours will be incorporated at open space entrances. Fieldstone pillars will provide an anchor to fence lines and other features. Features such as a gazebo and canoe launch will allow residents to enjoy a range of leisure activities.

As development progresses west, it is anticipated that this estate country style might transition subtly with the introduction of timber elements indicative of a ranching/farming style. Fieldstone will be the anchor between the east and west side of Country Meadows as well as native prairie grasses and flora.

Inspired by a prairie landscape with expansive views, Southgate Commercial Lands Corp. representing six landowners, have teamed together to create a community representative of the pioneering spirit driven by family values.

Country Meadows will be a community built into the rolling prairies, where exploration along a network of pathways and inter-connecting green spaces hails a sense of wonder as the scenic Oldman River Valley welcomes them to a new place called home.

### 5.2 COMMUNITY DESIGN

A Visioning Workshop resulted in unique elements and characteristics that were consistent throughout the discussion and design charette included:

- A school site as a central hub for the community
- A strong connectivity among people that exhibited pronounced pedestrian and bicycle linkages

■ Varied amenities that are well spread throughout the community

- Creative approaches to establish innovative opportunities from presently viewed constraints

The Country Meadows Outline Plan which evolved from the visioning workshop to the conceptual stage incorporated the above elements into a comprehensive community plan.

The concept is based upon a cellular grid system with a central elementary school at is core. The principal land uses in Country Meadows are residential. The arrangement of land uses recognizes the continued strong local demand for suburban style single detached homes but will also include more affordable multi-family sites. The mixed use area will include neighbourhood stores, zones of multi-family and low density residential. The plan will also incorporate Urban Innovation Zones that will be integrated into residential communities around small parks.

## 6 <br> OPEN SPACE LAND USE

### 6.1 OPEN SPACE

The Open Space system within Country Meadows has been comprehensively designed to incorporate the ideas, concepts, and elements identified in the design workshop and the principles and objectives of the Area Structure Plan. Materials and elements used in the plan area will harmonize with the vision and theme as discussed in Section 5 Community Vision \& Design. The entry feature of fieldstone pillar arbours along with native prairie grasses and flora provide a theming anchor throughout the community and split rail or cross-buck fencing transitioning to screen fences will be incorporated in open spaces. Timber elements will enrich the estate country style amenity features. The following summarizes the main amenities of the open space system:

- Neighbourhood Park

The Neighbourhood Park will be designed for more passive recreational activities and serve as community gathering points. Some of the key elements planned for these parks include:

- Gazebos or Open Air amphitheaters
- Pathways
- Natural Prairie Grasses and Wetlands
- Playground Features
- Natural Play Areas

Neighbourhood parks with wet ponds should be planned to take advantage of pond water irrigation through a central pump station as well as use make-up (canal water) to top up the pond during drought conditions. Water line connections between parks areas should be installed through walkways and green belts but should limit conflict areas such as within carriage ways.

- Potential School Site

The potential for a school site that is centrally located in Country Meadows has been provided. Currently the site is unassigned to any specific school district. The site could include a modern school building and a variety of recreational amenities which may include playground equipment, basketball court, and youth soccer pitch. The final programming requirements will be determined in consultation with school officials to ensure their needs are met. Should the parcel not be developed into a school site, a centrally located open space with unique amenities could be completed. Downsizing of the site, from the original plan, has been completed to align with current school site sizing trends within the City of Lethbridge.

- Frontage Parks (Modified Pocket Park)

The design of these parks is integrated with the adjacent Urban Innovation (UI) District. The centrally located unique park design has housing fronting onto the park space, replacing the street with a pedestrian and bicycle corridor, creating a more pedestrian friendly environment as an alternative to conventional design where housing typically backs onto park space. Additionally, a second pocket park will function as a tot lot park featuring naturalized playscape features. The parks provide a visible amenity to residents walking to the northwest wet pond and creates a small MR pocket that will be linked to pathways and sidewalks. Comprehensive planning of this park will be required during the subdivision of the Ul parcel.

- Linear Parks

Linear Parks have been created along existing infrastructure channels and it is anticipated that they will provide interconnectivity between the community, elementary school and larger open spaces through local connector pathways. The connections will be designed to accommodate pedestrians/cyclists and promote alternative transportation within the community.

- Roundabouts

Landscaping treatment of roundabouts will be finalized during detailed design at the subdivision stage.

- Storm Water Management Facilities

The storm water management facilities have been incorporated into the open space designs throughout the community. The facilities will be utilized as an aesthetic amenity and function to enhance a sense of arrival and provide a visual park experience.

### 6.2 CONNECTIVITY

The visioning workshop identified a strong desire to create an efficient network of pathways to promote walkability and encourage alternate modes of transportation. The park network connects people to each area and is supported by strong pedestrian and bicycle routes throughout the community by local connector pathways.

The Country Meadows pedestrian network connects to the existing pathway to the Garry Station development and regional multi-use pathway to provide a strong pedestrian linkage south to the core commercial, educational and recreational amenities. This multi-modal linkage will provide residents in both communities with alternative modes of transportation to and from shared amenity spaces.

### 6.3 RESERVE DEDICATION ANALYSIS

The provision of public parks and open space within Country Meadows represents $10.1 \%$ of the net developable land. Final Municipal Reserve dedications will be finalized through subdivision process and payments of cash in lieu of dedicated space may be utilized by the developer if required. A summary of the total reserve dedication for Country Meadows is as follows:

|  | Developable Area | Reserve Owing | Reserve Provided | Percent of <br> Developable <br> Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country <br> Meadows | $121.35 \mathrm{ha} \pm(299.86 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $12.1 \mathrm{ha} \pm(29.90 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $12.13 \mathrm{ha} \pm(29.97 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $10.14 \%$ |


| Land Owner Breakdown | Reserve Provided | Percent of Developable <br> Area |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Southgate Commercial Lands Corp. | $2.53 \mathrm{ha} \pm(6.253 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $2.08 \%$ |
| 2014836 Alberta Ltd. | $2.56 \mathrm{ha} \pm(6.33 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $2.11 \%$ |
| BW2 West | $3.94 \mathrm{ha} \pm(9.74 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $3.25 \%$ |
| Debra L. Dudley-Olafson | $3.05 \mathrm{ha}(7.54 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $2.55 \%$ |
| City of Lethbridge | $0.23(0.57 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ | $0.19 \%$ |
|  | TOTAL | $12.31 \mathrm{ha} \pm(30.42 \mathrm{ac} \pm)$ |

Figure 6.1, Open Space Network- illustrates the prominent neighbourhood and open space that connects to the city's bikeway and pathway network.

### 6.4 SEASONAL WET AREAS

The developer shall submit Alberta Environment approval concurrent with any request to begin area grading on any seasonal wet area identified in supporting studies. Requirements can be found in the Provincial Wetland Restoration/Compensation Guide, Alberta Environment.
$\bigcirc$ Stantec


| NTS | Legend |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc$ Stantec |  | Country Meadows Amendment Boundar |
|  |  | Public Building, Parks \& Recreation (P-B) |
| 112948065 |  | Parks and Recreation (P-R) |
| February 6, 2019 |  | Open Space (OS $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{c}$ ) |


| $\square$ | Stormwater Management Facility (Wet Pond) | * | Themed Gateway Entrance to Park |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| あ | Stormwater Management Facility (Dry Pond) | * | Themed Park Amenity Features |
| - | Stormwater Management Facility (Hybrid Pond) | 磁 | Community Entry and Themed Elements |
| -........ | Local Connector Pathways | PUL | Public Utility Lot |
| - - | Regional Multi-Use Pathway |  |  |
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## 7

## RESIDENTIAL LAND USE \& DENSITY

The land use districts selected provide the opportunity for single-family homes both laned and laneless, duplex dwellings, and multi-family units including townhomes, and apartments. The selected land uses also provide the opportunity for innovative housing and mixed use developments including a Neighbourhood Commercial zone.

The intent of the Country Meadows OLP amendment is to promote a variety of residential land uses, and to provide a wide range of housing opportunities and levels of affordability.
Figure 7.1, Proposed Land Use, identifies the amended layout and proposed general land uses within the Country Meadows OLP Amendment area boundary and identifies the general existing land uses that have developed since the Original OLP was approved. The use of general land use descriptions rather than prescriptive zonings has been completed at the request of City of Lethbridge Planning. Future prescriptive land use re-zonings will be completed at the time of subdivision. Proposed General Residential Land Uses include Low Density Residential and Medium Density Residential. Unit Statistics are derived as follows:

- Amendment Area: Low Density 25uph, Medium Density 75uph
- Southgate Commercial Lands: Actual completed units and 75 uph for undeveloped medium density
- Dudley-Olafson Lands: Blended Rate for Low Density and 75uph for medium density

Appendix N - Figure 7.2, Proposed Land Use by Owner has been added to show the individual statistics of both owners within the amendment area. The combined amended land use statistics, number of units, and population estimates are detailed in Table 7.1 Land Use and Population Estimates below.

The following is a list of proposed Land Use Districts that may be considered at the time of Land Use Re-designation:

- Low Density Residential District (R-L)
- Comprehensively Planned Low Density Residential District (R-CL)
- Small Parcel Low Density Residential (R-SL)
- Medium Density Residential (R-37)
- Medium Density Residential (R-75)220
- Mixed Density Residential (R-M)
- Neighbourhood Commercial (C-N)
- Urban Innovation (UI)

Figure 7.1, Proposed Land Use identifies the layout and proposed land uses within the Country Meadows Outline Plan boundary.

### 7.1 LAND USE SUMMARY AND STATISTICS

The following table summarizes the land uses and provides statistics for the Country Meadows
Outline Plan application.

### 7.2 SWING SITES

Two swing sites have been identified within the Amendment boundary to provide further development flexibility and opportunity for mixed housing forms. Options for the sites include: neighbourhood commercial, religious assembly, and medium density residential. A combination of these uses is acceptable. Specifics with respect to an internal road layout, land use, density, etc. shall comply with the Country Meadows Outline Plan, and will be reviewed/approved at the time of land use designation and subdivision application.

### 7.3 ATCO PIPELINE RELOCATION

Should the gas line be relocated in the future, Figure 7.1, Proposed Land Use Designations, identifies a potential alternate layout for the Olafson Lands. Discussions around the potential relocation of the ATCO pipeline, that runs through the Outline Plan Area, have been ongoing since the adoption of the Country Meadows Outline Plan in 2012. Current City of Lethbridge Land Use Bylaw 5700, Section 9.16 states that "a minimum distance between the gas line and a wall of a building shall be 15.25 m ."

### 7.4 SECONDARY SUITES

Siting of secondary suites on single detached lots shall be finalized at the time of zoning and must consider infrastructure capacities, access and parking. Secondary suites may be permitted provided they are located only in areas with lane access, preferably on corner parcels and not on cul-de-sac bulbs or roundabouts where parking will not be an issue.

Table 7.1
Land Use and Population Estimates

|  | Area <br> (ha) | Area (ac) | \% of GDA |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Area | 121.35 | 299.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Reserve | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Developable Area (GDA) | 121.35 | 299.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Land Use |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public Right of Ways | 29.37 | 72.58 | 24.20\% |  |  |  |  |
| Open Space (P-B) | 3.94 | 9.74 | 3.25\% |  |  |  |  |
| Open Space Creditable | 8.37 | 20.68 | 6.90\% |  |  |  |  |
| Open Space Non Creditable | 12.20 | 30.15 | 10.05 |  |  |  |  |
| Public Subtotal | 53.88 | 133.15 | 44-40\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Population Estimates |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Density | Density | Total | Area |
| Residential Land Use |  |  |  | (UPH) | (UPA) | Units | Population |
| Low Density Residential (BW2 West) | 14.05 | 34.72 | 11.58\% | 25 | 10 | 351 | 1017 |
| Low Density Residential (2014836 Alberta Ltd.) | 13.19 | 32.59 | 10.87\% | 25 | 10 | 330 | 957 |
| Low Density Residential (Dudley-Olafson Lands) | 11.09 | 27.40 | 9.14\% | ***22.8 | 9 | 253 | 733 |
| Low Density Residential (Southgate Commercial Lands) | 14.24 | 35.19 | 11.73\% | **22.3 | 9 | *306 | 887 |
| Low Density Sub Total | 52.57 | 129.90 | 43.32\% |  |  | 1240 | 3594 |
| Medium Density Residential (BW2 West) | 1.27 | 3.14 | 1.05\% | 75 | 30 | 95 | 180 |
| Medium Density Residential (Dudley-Olafson Lands) | 1.39 | 3.43 | 1.15\% | 75 | 30 | 104 | 197 |
| Medium Density Residential (Southgate Commercial Lands) | 5.35 | 13.22 | 4.41\% | 75 | 30 | 403 | 761 |
| Existing/ Future Row Medium Density Residential (Southgate Commercial Lands) | 1.59 | 3.93 | 1.31\% | **40.3 | 16.3 | *64 | 121 |
| Medium Density Sub Total | 9.60 | 23.72 | 7.92\% |  |  | 666 | 1259 |
| Swing Site (BW2 West) | 2.26 | 5.58 | 1.89\% | 75 | 30 | 169 | 321 |
| Swing Site (2014836 Alberta Ltd.) | 1.78 | 4.40 | 1.47\% | 75 | 30 | 133 | 253 |
| Swing Site Sub Total | 4.04 | 9.98 | 3.36\% |  |  | 302 | 574 |
| Residential Sub Total | 66.21 | 163.60 | 54.56\% |  |  | 2206 | 5427 |
| Neighborhood Commercial | 1.26 | 3.11 | 1.04\% |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 121.35 | 299.86 | 100.0\% |  |  |  |  |

## Notes:

*Actual Unit Counts were used
**UPA Calculated based on Existing Build Out information
***UPA Calculated from a blend of the Specific Land Use Designations from Original OLP
All Open Space ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{B}$ ) is Creditable MR
UPH-Units per Hectare
UPA-Units per Acre
Low Density Residential 2.9 people/Unit
Medium Density Residential 1.9 people/Unit

Stantec

A comparison of original development and proposed development statistics is provided in Table 7.2 Population/Units Comparison below.

| Table 7.2 <br> Population/Units Comparison |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Units | Area Population |
| Original Outline Plan Land Use | 2125 | 5103 |
| Revised Land Use | 2206 | 5427 |
| Net Increase (+)/Decrease $(-)$ | +81 | +324 |

$\bigcirc$ Stantec

| Country Meadows Unit / Population Statistics By Owner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amendment Area |  |  |
| BW2 WEST |  |  |
|  | Total Units | Area Population |
| Low Density (2.9 P/Unit) | 351 | 1017 |
| Medimum Density (1.9 P/Unit) | 95 | 180 |
| Swing Site (1.9 P/Unit) | 169 | 321 |
| Sub Total | 615 | 1518 |
| 2014836 ALBERTA LTD. |  |  |
| Low Density (2.9 P/Unit) | 330 | 957 |
| Swing Site (1.9 P/Unit) | 133 | 253 |
| Sub Total | 463 | 1210 |
| Total Amendment Area | 1078 | 2728 |
| Existing and Future Area |  |  |
| SOUTHGATE COMMERCIAL LANDS |  |  |
| Low Density (2.9 P/Unit) | 306 | 887 |
| Medimum Density (1.9 P/Unit) | 401 | 761 |
| Existing and Future Row Medium Density (1.9 P/Unit) | 64 | 121 |
| Sub Total | 771 | 1769 |
| DUDLEY-OLAFSON |  |  |
| Low Density (2.9 P/Unit) | 253 | 733 |
| Medimum Density (1.9 P/Unit) | 104 | 197 |
| Sub Total | 357 | 930 |
| Total Existing/Future Area | 1128 | 2699 |
|  |  |  |
| Country Meadows Total | 2206 | 5427 |
| 2012 OLP | 2125 | 5103 |
| Net Increase(+) / Decrease(-) | + 81 | + 324 |



ALTERNATE SHADOW PLAN
OLAFSON LANDS
Note: MR Dedication would remain the same. Land Owner has Note: MR Dedication would remain the same. Land Owner has
been consulted with respect to MR \& Stormwater Faciity Changes,




[^1] Future School Site
Stormwater Management Facility (Wet Pond) Stormwater Management Facility (Dry Pond) Stormwater Management Faciitiy (Dry Pond)
Stormwater Management Faciilty (Hybrid Pond)

## 8 <br> ARCHITECTURAL STANDARDS

The built form of the development will be subject to architectural standards and design guidelines. These guidelines will be initiated and implemented by the development team and will include design guidelines such as:

- Minimum/Maximum building footprints;
- Requirements for attached/detached garages;
- Fencing design and materials;
- Roofing materials;
- Diversity of building design;
- Exterior finish; and
- Landscaping requirements.

The detailed design guidelines will be developed and enforced at the subdivision stage of development. In general terms, the use of fieldstone and local materials will be promoted to establish a "Country Style" as outlined in Section 5. It is anticipated that a "craftsman" or "country estate" style of architecture will be the focus of development east of 30th Street and that "ranch" style elements may be incorporated into neighbourhoods west of 30 th Street.

## TRANSPORTATION

Figure 9.1 Preliminary Transportation Network has been updated to reflect the proposed amendment and identifies proposed roadway classifications, intersection spacings and Roundabout locations.

Figure 9.2 Roundabouts: Lotting Concept \& Restriction has been deleted from future design criteria to reflect the current roundabout initiatives for Collector-Collector intersections within the City of Lethbridge. Refer to item 9.3 below.

An updated Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) that reflects the proposed amendment is included in Appendix B. The analysis indicates the additional units will have a minor impact to the anticipated operations of surrounding internal intersections and broader external intersections. The intersection geometries and traffic control measures previously assumed as part of the Country Meadows TIA are expected to be adequate to accommodate the proposed land use revisions.

### 9.1 ARTERIAL ROAD DEVELOPMENT, DRAINAGE \& SITE ACCESS

Country Meadows will be bounded by future arterial roadways on all 4 of its boundaries. Metis Trail on the east and Garry Drive on the south boundary have undergone a functional design process which established the alignment and grade for Metis Trail and Garry Drive. Walsh Drive on the north boundary is existing and consideration of existing grades has been considered in the preparation of this document. The future Chinook Trail on the west boundary is yet to be designed; however, existing topography in the area has been considered in the development of grading and drainage.

Country Meadows has 5 access points as indicated in Figure 9.1: Preliminary Transportation Networkand described below:

Walsh Drive West: 1 access<br>Metis Drive West: 1 access<br>Garry Drive West: 2 accesses<br>Chinook Trail West: 1 access

Emergency Services Access shall be provided to the development during phases development. Please refer to Section 12 for information on Interim Secondary access location options.

Drainage from arterial roads has been accommodated up to the centre line of the adjacent arterial, drainage, and topography from beyond the centre line has been considered in the development of this Plan. Please refer to Section 11.1 Stormwater Managementfor more details.

### 9.2 ROAD CLASSIFICATIONS

A circulation collector roadway has been established through previous planning at the Area Structure Plan level.

Centerline to Centerline spacing has been identified on the future collector roads shown on Figure 9.1 Preliminary Transportation Network. The following is a list of Roadway Classifications that have been proposed for Country Meadows. Refer to Appendix B Traffic Impact Assessmentfor final roadway classifications.

- Community Entrances / Super Collector
- Major Collectors
- Minor Collectors
- Local Roads \& Cul-de-sacs
- Lanes


### 9.3 ROUNDABOUTS

In areas where roundabouts are located on residential frontages, neither parking nor driveway access shall be permitted between the outside edge of crosswalk and the circulatory roadway. Roundabouts will be appropriately sized, accommodating WB-17 left turn movements, City Bus and Fire Truck U-Turn movements, with a minimum 4 metre diameter raised centre island; including mountable aprons.

### 9.4 TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT

A Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been completed following City of Lethbridge TIA guidelines and roadway classifications have been determined using City of Lethbridge Design Standards 2011. Refer to Appendix B Traffic Impact Assessment and Community Entrance Noise Assessment.

### 9.5 NOISE LEVEL ASSESSMENT

A Community Entrance Traffic Noise Assessment has been completed. The purpose of the assessment is to provide noise attenuation design requirements at the 10 year and, if required,

20 year horizon for the surface traffic resulting from the proposed Community Entrance Road connecting Metis Trail on the east side of Country Meadows.

Two scenarios were analyzed in the report: Scenario 1 - level lots layout assumptions and Scenario 2 - walkout lot substitutes. For both scenarios noise levels are expected below the City of Lethbridge LEQ noise limit of $60 \mathrm{~dB}(\mathrm{~A})$ for roadways classified as non-truck routes in the 10 year horizon. Therefore, no sound attenuation measures are required for Country Meadows, and an analysis of the 20 year horizon data will not be required. Refer to Appendix B Traffic Impact Assessment and Community Entrance Noise Assessmentfor further details of the assessment.

### 9.6 CHINOOK TRAIL \& COMMUNITY ENTRANCE

The completion of the Chinook Trail Right of Way from the transportation perspective is not anticipated to be completed in the near term. However, infrastructure installations (high pressure gas line relocation and overhead electrical transmission lines) are anticipated in the near term within this future Right of Way.


Notes: Refer to Appendix C, TIA Detailed Roadway Analysis.

| NTS | Cegend | Country Meadows Amendment Boundary |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Community Entrance/Super Collector Collector |  |  |

Preliminary Transportation Network
Outline Plan Amendment
PREPARED FOR: BW2 WEST \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd.

## 10 TRANSIT \& MAILBOX SERVICES

### 10.1 TRANSIT ROUTES

Transit Routes shall be planned for bi-directional service utilizing collector roads. Transit routing is at the discretion of the City of Lethbridge Transit Department and subject to the City's transit master plan.

### 10.2 COMMUNITY MAILBOX LOCATIONS

The final location of community mailboxes will be determined in conjunction with Canada Post at the time of detailed design. Community mailboxes are typically located adjacent the City sidewalk along the long frontage of corner lots, or along the openings to park space. Transit bus stop locations will not be combined with community mailbox sites.
$\bigcirc$ Stantec
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## SITE SERVICING

The following sections provide a brief overview of the servicing strategy for Country Meadows. It is understood that final designs at the time of subdivision will adhere to current municipal and provincial standards.

### 11.1 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

### 11.1.1 Background Information

Where practical, catchment areas have been defined by natural topography in an effort to minimize excessive earthwork; these boundaries extend to the centerline of the adjacent arterial roadways thereby allowing for the combined control of runoff from the development and arterials with the exception of the northeast corner of Country Meadows, where existing topography and functional road design make a combined Stormwater Management Facility prohibitive.

Due to offsite constraints, Country Meadows storm water ponds will need to operate at a "zero" release rate. The City has indicated that Country Meadows storm water detention facilities will need to connect to the 1800 mm diameter storm line that extends from the west boundary of West Highlands along the projection of Tartan Boulevard. Record drawings indicate that the depth of this existing line should be sufficient in order to service the western boundary of the development.

The City has indicated that storage of $1000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ ha should be allowed for at the Outline Plan stage of planning. This is a volume equivalent to the $90 \%$ of the 1:100 year, 24 hour rainfall volume ( $\sim 110 \mathrm{~mm}$ rainfall). This is based on no allowance for initial abstraction, depression storage or infiltration.

### 11.1.2 Design Assumptions

The development area has been divided into 6 catchments that drain into 6 ponds. The ponds and catchment areas have been identified on Figure 11.1A - Stormwater Management Major System.

As specified in the City of Lethbridge Design Standards, storm water ponds must be designed to fully accommodate runoff from the 1:100 year, 24 hour rain event. As shown in Table 1, the ponds are capable of accommodating this volume.

With regard to pond discharge, it is anticipated that all pond outlets will connect to a future sewer trunk that will extend from the existing 1800 mm diameter pipe located at the west end of Tartan Boulevard in the West Highlands Area. All ponds will be serviced by a minor storm sewer system sized to convey runoff from up to the 1:5 year rainfall event.

Design Criteria used for the major and minor storm sewer systems are:

- $1000 \mathrm{~m}^{3} /$ ha of detention storage
- 200 L/s/ha for Major System Flows
- $90 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s} /$ ha for Minor System Flows

Overland flow routes are to be designed to convey the 1:100 year storm event and not exceed Alberta Environment guidelines for safe velocities and depths. Overland flow routes will incorporate trapped lows at strategic locations. Trapped low areas will:

- Increase surface run-off capture
- Provide for energy dissipation during extreme rain fall events ("stilling" basins)
- Allow for the practical creation of overland flow routes given localized topographical constraints.
- Meet City of Lethbridge design guidelines for maximum depth of 300 mm .

In addition to the above, overland flow within a drainage boundary has been proportioned in a way that evenly distributes overland flow routes throughout the drainage boundary. This has been completed to reduce the cumulative effects of long overland flow routes. Special attention at the detailed design stage may be required where two intersecting overland flow routes meet. Where possible, this point of intersection should occur in close proximity to a storm water management facility.

The final design of overland flow routes must be confirmed during the detailed design of a storm water management facility and its upstream catchment.

In areas where the back of lots drain to an arterial right of way, the City will require (at subdivision and detailed design) that either the arterial road and associated drainage system be constructed to convey storm water to an approved detention facility, or, in the absence of arterial road development, the lots be designed to drain from back to front.

### 11.1.3 Proposed Storm Water Management Infrastructure

This amendment proposes minor changes to storm water management to accommodate the reconfiguration of open space and the additional residential development. The pond catchments have been revised to follow natural topography where possible.

Future Pond Designs must consider water quality best practices including the supply of make-up water and effects of solar heating. Refer to Figure 11.1B - Stormwater Management Minor System or proposed make up water supply line alignment. Final determination of pond areas, volumes and upstream catchments will be required during detailed master servicing design and subdivision. It is recommended that other stormwater management strategies and systems be investigated at detailed design including combination facilities, treatment forebays, wetlands and green infrastructure (low impact design techniques). These facilities have been identified as hybrid ponds. Provision for a make-up water system from Garry Drive should be implemented along the central north-south green strip.

Pond $\mathbf{A}$ is an existing wet pond that discharges to an existing storm trunk extending from Tartan Boulevard. Pond catchment areas will remain unchanged.

Pond B is an existing dry pond that discharges to an existing storm trunk in Metis Trail. Pond catchment areas will remain unchanged.
Pond C has been designated a Hybrid Pond. The facility's location has been chosen due to natural topography and its service boundary has increased and has been accounted for in Table 1. Ultimately, the facility will discharge to a future trunk line in Walsh Drive as identified in the Walsh Drive Preliminary Design Report November 2012 (drawings included in Appendix M - Walsh Drive Preliminary Design Report drawings). In the interim, this pond will discharge to Country Meadows Boulevard via a temporary lift station.

Pond D has been designated a Hybrid Pond. The facility's location has been chosen due to natural topography and its service boundary has increased and has been accounted for in Table 1. Ultimately, the facility will discharge to a future trunk line in Walsh Drive as identified in the Walsh Drive Preliminary Design Report November 2012. In the interim, this pond will discharge to Country Meadows Boulevard via a temporary lift station and be connected to Pond C via a large diameter pipe.

Pond E has been designated a Wet Pond. The facility's location has been chosen due to natural topography and its service boundary has decreased and has been accounted for in Table 1. The facility will discharge to a future trunk line in Metis Trail.
Pond F has been designated a Dry Pond and has been created due to natural topography and the functional design grades of Garry Drive. The facility will discharge into a future storm trunk line in Garry Drive.

Figure 11.1A Storm Water Management Major System has been updated to reflect the proposed amendment and future pipe information has been moved and added to an additional Figure 11.1B Storm Water Management Minor System to add clarity.

Table 1: Pond Statistics

| Drainage <br> Boundary \& Pond | Pond Type | Catchment Area | Active Storage Pond Volume |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Wet | 42.9 ha | $42,900 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| B | Dry | 3.3 ha | $3,300 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| C | Hybrid | 36.9 ha | $36,900 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| D | Hybrid | 19.9 ha | $19,900 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| E | Wet | 30.5 ha | $30,500 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| F | Dry | 3.8 ha | $3,800 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |

Table 2: Minor \& Major Storm Flows

| Catchment |  | Sub Catchment* |  | Minor System Flow m³/s | Major System Flow m³/s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ID | Area (ha) | ID | Area (ha) |  |  |
| A | 42.9 | A1 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 10.3 | 0.9 | 2.1 |
|  |  | A $2 \rightarrow$ Pond | 3.0 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{3} \rightarrow$ Pond | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{4} \rightarrow$ Pond | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.1 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{5} \rightarrow$ Pond | 2.3 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
|  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A6 }}{\stackrel{\text { A }}{\rightarrow} \rightarrow} \rightarrow \text { Pond }$ | 9.2 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  | 9.0 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  | 18.2 | 1.6 | 3.6 |
|  |  | Pond "A" Area | 6.6 | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | Total Area "A" | 42.9 | N/A | N/A |
| B | 3.3 | Total Area "B" | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
| C | 36.9 | C1 $\rightarrow$ Pond Subtotal | 8.6 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|  |  |  | 10.3 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \rightarrow$ Pond Subtotal | 8.7 | 0.8 | 1.7 |
|  |  |  | 10.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 |
|  |  |  | 19.4 | 1.8 | 3.8 |
|  |  | Pond "C" Area | 7.2 | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | Total Area "C" | 36.9 | N/A | N/A |
| D | 19.9 | D1 $\rightarrow$ Pond Subtotal | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
|  |  |  | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  | 5.8 | 0.5 | 1.1 |
|  |  | D2 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 3.3 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
|  |  | D4 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 4.5 | 0.4 | 0.9 |
|  |  | Pond "D" Area | 6.3 | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | Total Area "D" | 19.9 | N/A | N/A |
| E | 30.5 | $\mathrm{E}_{1} \rightarrow$ Pond | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
|  |  | E2 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{E}_{3} \rightarrow$ Pond | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  | E4 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 |
|  |  | $\checkmark$ E6 $\rightarrow$ Pond | 11.5 | 1.0 | 2.3 |
|  |  | Subtotal | 25.8 | 2.3 | 5.2 |
|  |  | Pond "E" Area | 4.7 | N/A | N/A |
|  |  | Total Area "E" | 30.5 | N/A | N/A |
| F | 3.8 | Total Area "F" | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0.8 |



NOTE: TRAPPED LOWS HAVE NOT BEEN INDICATED BUT WILL BE INCORPORATED AT STRATEGIC LOCATIONS DURING DETAILED DESIGN.
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COUNTRY MEADOWS OUTLINE | FIGURE 11.1A
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### 11.2 SANITARY SERVICING

### 11.2.1 Background Information

Previous planning documents have indicated that substantial upgrades or new installations will be required to the sanitary sewer collection system on the City of Lethbridge's West Side in order to provide adequate service to future developments. It is understood that the City will construct a new sanitary sewer trunk line through the Bridge Drive Utility Corridor that will be installed along Walsh Drive, Metis Trail and Garry Drive. The proposed sewer trunk in Metis Trail will match the existing 600 mm diameter sewer that extends west of West Highlands along the projection of Tartan Boulevard.

Initially, the Outline Plan area will be provided sanitary sewer service by connecting to the existing sanitary sewer trunk extending into Metis Trail from Tartan Boulevard. This connection will allow the first phase of development to proceed. Upon completion of the Bridge Drive Utility Corridor a total of 60 ha of development shared between the Country Meadows and Garry Station plan areas can be served through the Tartan Boulevard trunk. Ultimately, capacity will be provided through a new sanitary sewer trunk running east along Walsh Drive and north along Metis Trail. The ultimate servicing trunks will be constructed as required to meet development demand.

The Country Meadows ASP indicates that a 1200 mm diameter sewer trunk will be extended from Walsh Drive along Metis Trail and that a 450mm diameter sewer trunk will be installed along Garry Drive as part of the City's long range Capital Improvement Plan.

### 11.2.2 Design Standards

City of Lethbridge Design Standards for residential flows has been used for analysis.

| Dry Weather Flow: | 500L/cap/day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Wet Weather Flow: | 400 L/cap/day |
| Infiltration: | $150 \mathrm{~L} /$ cap/day |
| Harmon's Peaking Factor: | $[14 /(4+\sqrt{ } / P)]+1$ |

### 11.2.3 SANITARY SERVICING

The sanitary servicing strategy remains largely unchanged aside from the additional residential development. The revised population statistics for Country Meadows indicate a total residential population of 5427 people. The revised sanitary sewage flow estimates have been identified by sewershed in Table 3 below. A total peak sewage flow of 119L/s was identified in 2012, and this total flow has increased to 122L/s based on new population. Based on the as-built sewer trunk exiting Country Meadows at Metis Trail ( $\varnothing_{4} 00$ @ $0.4 \%$ ), the system has a capacity of $132 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ which is sufficient for the servicing of Sewershed A. Sewershed B will be serviced in the future by a sewer extension along Garry Drive west of 3oth Street.

Figure 11.2 Sanitary Servicing \& Connection Points has been updated to reflect the proposed amendment.

Table 3-Sanitary Sewage Flow Estimates by Sewershed

| Sewershed | Gross Area (ha) | Population | Peak Flow (L/s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 99.1 | 4427 | 100 |
| B | 22.3 | 1000 | 22 |

### 11.3 WATER SERVICING

### 11.3.1 Background Information

The servicing of Country Meadows, from the perspective of potable water, will be ultimately supported by the development of a Treated Water Reservoir/Pumping Station that will be located on the north side of Garry Drive within the Country Meadows Outline Plan Boundary. Construction of this reservoir is currently underway.

The development will be flanked by major transmission lines--specifically a future 600 mm diameter water line in Garry Drive and the existing 400 mm diameter water line located in the future Metis Trail. In the future, water transmission lines will extend along Walsh Drive and Chinook Trail as well.

### 11.3.2 Design Standards

The following acceptable delivery pressures are stated in the 2009 City of Lethbridge Design Standards, Level of Service Objectives.

- No less than 310 kPa (45 psi) during peak hour demand
- No less than $345 \mathrm{kPa}(50 \mathrm{psi})$ at maximum day demand
- Maximum delivery pressure will not exceed 620 kPa (90 psi)

The water system must also be able to supply adequate flow to hydrants. A minimum of $75 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ with a minimum residual pressure of 150 kPa must be maintained during maximum day demand. Specific land uses and structures may require higher fire flows. The distribution system must be able to meet the requirements described in "Water Supply for Fire Protection" published by Fire Underwriters Survey.

### 11.3.3 WATER SERVICING

The water servicing strategy remains largely unchanged aside from the additional water demand on the system due to the additional residential development. Table 4 below identifies the revised estimated water demands.

Figure 11.3 Water Servicing \& Connection Points has been updated to reflect the proposed amendment.

Table 4: Estimated Water Demands

| Average Day Demand (415L/cap/day) | $2.26 \mathrm{ML} /$ day |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum Day Demand ( $2.2 \times$ ADD*) | $4.97 \mathrm{ML} /$ day |
| Peak Hour ( $3.5 \times$ ADD) | $7.91 \mathrm{ML} /$ day |

*ADD - Average Day Demand
*Note: Water Usage based on an estimated population from Land Use Statistics.

### 11.4 SHALLOW UTILITIES

### 11.4.1 Existing Infrastructure

## ATCO PIPELINES

ATCO Pipelines has been contacted with regard to the integration and/or relocation of their existing high pressure lines in the Country Meadows Area. Discussions between the Developer, ATCO Pipelines and the City of Lethbridge will be continued during the development of Country Meadows. At this time, it is proposed that Public Utility Corridors be established along the existing pipe alignments combined with pathways. We wish to note that this proposal does not prevent future developer's from relocating the line through a
planning adjustment. ATCO has provided Stantec with their "ATCO Pipelines Guidelines Controlling Development and/or Landscaping of High Pressure Natural Gas Rights of Way" (enclosed). It is anticipated that the Developer/City of Lethbridge will submit plans to ATCO Pipelines during the Gate 3 design stage. ATCO's current easement widths must be maintained along with cover above the existing line. However, the addition of fill material above the line will be permitted to a height of $2 m$ above the crown of the gas line. Minimum cover is 1.2 m .

Correspondence with ATCO Pipelines indicates that an easement currently registered to Canadian Western Natural Gas on SW 34-8-22-4 has no corresponding infrastructure installed within it and has been discharged.

It is understood that City of Lethbridge Land Use Bylaws will govern the development of land beyond the gas line right of way (buildings shall be a minimum of 15.25 m from the gas line).

## ATCO GAS

It is anticipated that the existing ATCO gas facilities will be relocated and integrated into the community at the subdivision detailed design stage.

## TELUS

It is anticipated that the existing Telus facilities will be relocated and integrated into the community at the subdivision detailed design stage.

## FORTIS

It is anticipated that the existing Fortis facilities will be relocated and integrated into the community at the subdivision detailed design stage by the City of Lethbridge and their Electrical Department.




| NTS | Legend | Country Meadows Amendment Boundary | * |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Connection to |
| :--- |
| Future Water Trunk |

Water Servicing \& Connection Points
Outline Plan Amendment
PREPARED FOR:BW2 WEST \& 2014836 Alberta Lłd.

## 12

## PROPOSED STAGING

Figure 12.1 Proposed Phasing has been renamed to Figure 12.1 Proposed Development Staging. This change will give more flexibility to the developer at time of subdivision to adjust their phase size based on current market conditions. Staging will utilize the existing infrastructure, and the construction of critical new infrastructure including storm water management facilities, to provide logical extensions to the community. Table 12.1 Staging Requirements
Table 12.1-Staging Requirements

| Area | SWMF | Water | Sanitary | Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | C | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B | A,C | 1,2 | 1 | 1 |
| C | D | 1,2 | 1 | 1,7 |
| D | $A, C, D,[E, F]$ | $1,2,3$ | 1 | $1,3,7$ |

At time of development, infrastructure looping and road accesses will be reviewed based on the most current City of Lethbridge Standards. Phased construction shall meet with current City guidelines with respect to Water Distribution, Sanitary and Storm Sewer Systems, and Storm Water Management Facilities. Offsite Infrastructure may be required to facilitate development, Ponds and pond outlets must be constructed concurrently with the first phase requiring the facility. All phases will be provided overland flow routes to the ponds.
School site development within Country Meadows would occur during the development of Stage D based on the logical extension of utility servicing from the north. Accelerated development of the school site would be at the discretion of the land owner and subject to the ability to bring electrical and storm water management infrastructure to the site.

Regarding secondary emergency services access, Areas A \& B will require a secondary access at some point during subdivision phasing. Two options have been identified for a temporary secondary emergency access. The final location and design of this interim access will occur at subdivision and detailed design in consultation with City of Lethbridge Emergency Services.



Service Area D
Infrastructure Connection Points
Storm Water Management Facility
Secondary Emergency Access
(To Be Confirmed During Detailed Design)

COUNTRY MEADOWS | FIGURE 12.1
Proposed Development Staging
Outline Plan Amendment
PREPARED FOR:BW2 WEST \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd.

## 13 <br> FIRE PROTECTION

Public roadways will be designed to meet the current City of Lethbridge Design Standards to ensure safe emergency vehicle passage.

The City of Lethbridge "Emergency Response Time Modeling" for Country Meadows will need to be reviewed at the time of subdivision in order to meet applicable provincial regulations.
Refer to Appendix H, High Intensity Fire Response Analysis City of Lethbridge.

## 14 SUSTAINABILITY

Country Meadows has incorporated principles of sustainable design into the community. A variety of land use districts have been selected throughout the plan area to accommodate a mix of housing styles to address a wide range of market demographics. The land uses also provide opportunities to implement innovative design and creativity.

A comprehensive open space network achieves connectivity while creating a sense of place which promotes active and passive recreational opportunities as well as promoting alternative modes of transportation. The pedestrian and pathway network constructed of sustainable materials connects future residents with the amenities to the south. Bicycling friendly pathways and amenities will further enhance opportunities for neighbourhood socializing and interaction.

In the interest of sustainable development, high maintenance park areas have been minimized and xeriscaping principles will be used to create an aesthetic and functional open space network. Consideration of different lighting forms and power sources will be initiated as well as provisions for recycling sites. Building designs will also be explored to provide orientation for maximum feasible use of solar design and equipment.

The layout of the community was strategically designed to minimize grading, including locating storm water management facilities in natural low areas. Storm Water Management Facilities will be utilized to irrigate open space areas along with make-up water from the Lethbridge Northern Irrigation District: Refer to Appendix G: Lethbridge Northern Irrigation District Water Conveyance Letter.

## 15 <br> CONCLUSION

The Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment continues to provide a logical extension to development in the communities of Garry Station, The Crossings and The Piers. The design of the Outline Plan conforms to the policies and intent of the Country Meadows Area Structure Plan. The Plan incorporates a variety of residential land uses providing the flexibility to incorporate innovative housing design and concepts. The extensive open space has created a community that offers modal choices to future residents.

Inspired by the early settlement of Western Canada, a new landscape of "country living" emerges to create a community representative of a pioneering spirit driven by family values. Here east meets west - where manicured two storey homes surrounded by white picket fences transition subtly with the introduction of the timber and fieldstone ranching lifestyle.

BW2 West \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd respectfully requests OLP Amendment approval by the Municipal Planning Commission to accommodate the continued development of Country Meadows. Following MPC Approval, Land Use Bylaw Amendments and re-designations will be completed in the future at the discretion of the developer.

# Appendix A 

## Certificate of Title



## LAND TITLE CERTIFICATE

## S

| LINC | SHORT LEGAL | TITLE NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0019856798 | $4 ; 22 ; 8 ; 33 ; N E$ | 161073829 |

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

MERIDIAN 4 RANGE 22 TOWNSHIP 8 SECTION 33
THE SOUTH HALF OF THE NORTH EAST QUARTER CONTAINING 32.4 HECTARES ( 80 ACRES) MORE OR LESS
EXCEPTING THEREOUT ALL MINES AND MINERALS
AND THE RIGHT TO WORK THE SAME

ESTATE: FEE SIMPLE

MUNICIPALITY: CITY OF LETHBRIDGE

REFERENCE NUMBER: 051183050

| REGISTERED OWNER(S) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161073829 | 23/03/2016 | TRANSFER OF LAND | \$4,000,000 | \$4,000,000 |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |
| BW2 DEVELOPM | NTS LTD. |  |  |  |
| OF 1111 3RD | VE SOUTH |  |  |  |
| LETHBRIDGE |  |  |  |  |
| ALBERTA T1J |  |  |  |  |
| ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS \& INTERESTS |  |  |  |  |
| REGISTRATION |  |  |  |  |
| NUMBER | DATE (D/M/Y) | PARTICULAR |  |  |

751003319 14/01/1975 UTILITY RIGHT OF WAY
GRANTEE - CANADIAN WESTERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY LIMITED. "DISCHARGED EXCEPT AS TO PORTION DESCRIBED BY 761072087"

RE : ACCESS
CAVEATOR - SERVUS CREDIT UNION LTD.
480 SCENIC DRIVE S.
LETHBRIDGE
ALBERTA T1J4S3

161073830

161073831
23/03/2016 CAVEAT
RE : ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS AND LEASES
CAVEATOR - ALBERTA TREASURY BRANCHES.
ATTENTION: DIRECTOR
601 MAYOR MAGRATH DRIVE SOUTH
LETHBRIDGE
ALBERTA T1J4M5
AGENT - NOLAN B JOHNSON

161073832 23/03/2016 CAVEAT
RE : AGREEMENT CHARGING LAND
CAVEATOR - ALBERTA TREASURY BRANCHES.
ATTENTION: DIRECTOR
601 MAYOR MAGRATH DRIVE SOUTH
LETHBRIDGE
ALBERTA T1J4M5
AGENT - NOLAN B JOHNSON

TOTAL INSTRUMENTS: 005

THE REGISTRAR OF TITLES CERTIFIES THIS TO BE AN ACCURATE REPRODUCTION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TITLE REPRESENTED HEREIN THIS 5 DAY OF DECEMBER, 2017 AT 10:01 A.M.

ORDER NUMBER: 34180034

CUSTOMER FILE NUMBER:


THIS ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED LAND TITLES PRODUCT IS INTENDED FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER, AND NONE OTHER, SUBJECT TO WHAT IS SET OUT IN THE PARAGRAPH BELOW.

THE ABOVE PROVISIONS DO NOT PROHIBIT THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER FROM INCLUDING THIS UNMODIFIED PRODUCT IN ANY REPORT, OPINION, APPRAISAL OR OTHER ADVICE PREPARED BY THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER AS PART OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER APPLYING PROFESSIONAL, CONSULTING OR TECHNICAL EXPERTISE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CLIENT (S).


## LAND TITLE CERTIFICATE

```
S
LINC SHORT LEGAL TITLE NUMBER
0022 087 977 4;22;8;33;NE 171 051 016
LEGAL DESCRIPTION
MERIDIAN 4 RANGE 22 TOWNSHIP 8
SECTION 33
THE NORTH HALF OF THE NORTH EAST QUARTER
CONTAINING 32.4 HECTARES (80 ACRES) MORE OR LESS
EXCEPTING 1.03 ACRES FOR ROADWAY AS SHOWN ON PLAN 1618LK
EXCEPTING THEREOUT ALL MINES AND MINERALS
AND THE RIGHT TO WORK THE SAME
ESTATE: FEE SIMPLE
MUNICIPALITY: CITY OF LETHBRIDGE
REFERENCE NUMBER:741 052 929
```



ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS \& INTERESTS

```
REGISTRATION
    NUMBER DATE (D/M/Y) PARTICULARS
741 052 928 03/06/1974 CAVEAT
    CAVEATOR - THE OLDMAN RIVER REGIONAL PLANNING
    COMMISSION.
```

751003057 14/01/1975 UTILITY RIGHT OF WAY
GRANTEE - CANADIAN WESTERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY

PAGE 2
\# 171051016

REGISTRATION
PARTICULARS

LIMITED.
"DISCHARGED AS TO 20' STRIPS IN NE 1/4 BY INST
761072085"

171018001
19/01/2017 CAVEAT
RE : VENDOR'S LIEN
CAVEATOR - MARLENE M BROWN
CAVEATOR - CLIFFORD R BROWN
BOTH OF:
C/O SHIM LAW
201, 1100 - 8TH AVENUE SW
CALGARY
ALBERTA T2P3T8

171051017 02/03/2017 MORTGAGE
MORTGAGEE - MARLENE M BROWN
MORTGAGEE - CLIFFORD R BROWN
BOTH OF:
310-30 STREET W
LETHBRIDGE
ALBERTA T1J4S6
ORIGINAL PRINCIPAL AMOUNT: $\$ 3,900,000$

171164868
25/07/2017 CAVEAT
RE : BENEFICIAL OWNER
CAVEATOR - DAYTONA LAND COUNTRY MEADOWS LTD.
C/O MISHNA BRIERE \& OEHM LLP
11524170 ST NW
EDMONTON
ALBERTA T5S1J7
AGENT - MICHAEL L OEHM

TOTAL INSTRUMENTS: 005

THE REGISTRAR OF TITLES CERTIFIES THIS TO BE AN ACCURATE REPRODUCTION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TITLE REPRESENTED HEREIN THIS 23 DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2017 AT 02:34 P.M.

ORDER NUMBER: 34129273
CUSTOMER FILE NUMBER:

*END OF CERTIFICATE*

THIS ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED LAND TITLES PRODUCT IS INTENDED FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER, AND NONE OTHER, SUBJECT TO WHAT IS SET OUT IN THE PARAGRAPH BELOW.

THE ABOVE PROVISIONS DO NOT PROHIBIT THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER FROM INCLUDING THIS UNMODIFIED PRODUCT IN ANY REPORT, OPINION, APPRAISAL OR OTHER ADVICE PREPARED BY THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER AS PART OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER APPLYING PROFESSIONAL, CONSULTING OR TECHNICAL EXPERTISE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CLIENT (S).


LAND TITLE CERTIFICATE

```
S
```

LINC SHORT LEGAL
0035075507 4;22;8;33;SE

TITLE NUMBER
121002636 +1

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

MERIDIAN 4 RANGE 22 TOWNSHIP 8 THE NORTH HALF OF THE SOUTH EAST QUARTER OF SECTION 33
CONTAINING 32.4 HECTARES ( 80 ACRES) MORE OR LESS
EXCEPTING THEREOUT:

| PLAN | NUMBER | HECTARES | ACRES | MORE OR LESS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SUBDIVISION | 1210033 | 3.01 | 7.44 |  |  |
| EXCEPTING THEREOUT ALL MINES AND MINERALS |  |  |  |  |  |

ESTATE: FEE SIMPLE
MUNICIPALITY: CITY OF LETHBRIDGE
REFERENCE NUMBER: 061218951

| REGISTERED OWNER(S) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REGISTRATION | DATE (DMY) | DOCUMENT TYPE | VALUE | CONSIDERATION |
| 121002636 | 04/01/2012 | SUBDIVISION PLAN |  |  |
| OWNERS |  |  |  |  |
| DEBRA L DUDLEY-OLAFSON |  |  |  |  |
| OF BOX 511 |  |  |  |  |
| LETHBRIDGE |  |  |  |  |
| ALBERTA T1J 3 |  |  |  |  |

ENCUMBRANCES, LIENS \& INTERESTS

## REGISTRATION

NUMBER DATE (D/M/Y)
PARTICULARS

751006966
27/01/1975 UTILITY RIGHT OF WAY
GRANTEE - CANADIAN WESTERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY LIMITED.
"DISCHARGED EXCEPT AS TO A 20 FOOT STRIP SEE INSTRUMENT 761072088"
( CONTINUED )

## PAGE 2

\# 121002636 +1
REGISTRATION
PARTICULARS
(DATA UPDATED BY: 131020588 )

20/07/2016 UTILITY RIGHT OF WAY
GRANTEE - THE CITY OF LETHBRIDGE.
AS TO PORTION OR PLAN:1611776

TOTAL INSTRUMENTS: 006

THE REGISTRAR OF TITLES CERTIFIES THIS TO BE AN ACCURATE REPRODUCTION OF THE CERTIFICATE OF TITLE REPRESENTED HEREIN THIS 23 DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2017 AT 02:34 P.M.

ORDER NUMBER: 34129273

CUSTOMER FILE NUMBER:

*END OF CERTIFICATE*

THIS ELECTRONICALLY TRANSMITTED LAND TITLES PRODUCT IS INTENDED FOR THE SOLE USE OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER, AND NONE OTHER, SUBJECT TO WHAT IS SET OUT IN THE PARAGRAPH BELOW.

THE ABOVE PROVISIONS DO NOT PROHIBIT THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER FROM INCLUDING THIS UNMODIFIED PRODUCT IN ANY REPORT, OPINION, APPRAISAL OR OTHER ADVICE PREPARED BY THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER AS PART OF THE ORIGINAL PURCHASER APPLYING PROFESSIONAL, CONSULTING OR TECHNICAL EXPERTISE FOR THE BENEFIT OF CLIENT (S).

# Appendix B 

## TIA Amendment Memo

| To: | Adam St. Amant | From: | Angela Forsyth <br> City of Lethbridge |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| File: | 112948170 | Date: | January 24, 2019 |
|  | 112948065 |  |  |

## Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment

## Background

Stantec was retained by BW2 West \& 2014836 Alberta Ltd to prepare a revised transportation impact assessment associated with a land use re-designation and reconfiguration of a portion of internal roadway network within the Country Meadows development. Country Meadows is generally located south of Walsh Drive West, east of the future Chinook Trail, west of Métis Trail, and north of Garry Drive West. The proposed changes include:

- Reducing the area of the school site.
- Relocation of north Modified Linear Parks.
- Relocation of north community entrance road.
- Addition of 137 low-density single-family dwelling units.
- Removal of 88 medium-density R75 dwelling units.

The cumulative changes result in a net increase of approximately 49 residential dwelling units. To support these density and access changes, the layout of the roadway network area has been revised to accommodate the plan changes. The revised plan is shown in Figure 1.

A revised trip generation and intersection analysis was conducted based on the proposed changes and is summarized in this memorandum. Analysis was conducted for both the Weekday AM Peak Hour and Weekday PM Peak Hour using the volumes from the approved TIA and revised site-generated traffic volumes and patterns estimated in this memorandum.

## Trip Generation and Trip Distribution

Based on the proposed revisions, a net increase of approximately 137 low-density units and a net decrease of 88 medium-density residential units is anticipated. The associated trip generation for this land use revision is noted below in Table 1.

Adam St. Amant
Page 2 of 14

Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment


Table 1: Trip Generation for Land Use Revision

| Land Use | Intensity | AM |  |  | PM |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total Trips (vph) | In | Out | Total Trips (vph) | In | Out |
| Low Density Residential | Trip Generation Characteristics | $\begin{gathered} 0.77 \\ \text { trips/DU } \end{gathered}$ | 26\% | 74\% | $\begin{gathered} 1.02 \\ \text { trips/DU } \end{gathered}$ | 64\% | 36\% |
|  | 137 units | 105 | 27 | 78 | 140 | 90 | 50 |
| Medium Density Residential | Trip Generation Characteristics | $\begin{gathered} 0.75 \\ \text { trips/DU } \end{gathered}$ | 29\% | 71\% | $\begin{gathered} 0.92 \\ \text { trips/DU } \end{gathered}$ | 61\% | 39\% |
|  | -88 units | -66 | -19 | -47 | -81 | -49 | -32 |

## Trip Distribution and Site-Generated Traffic Volumes

Figure 1.2 of the Country Meadows TIA illustrates the original study area's intersection numbers and is included as an attachment. The site-generated traffic volumes from Table 1 were added to the original sitegenerated and background traffic volumes within the amendment area and re-distributed to the internal and external intersections with consideration for the new internal road network. As the revised internal road network has been altered due to the land use revision, all intersections were analyzed as part of this revised TIA.

## Post-Development Traffic Volumes

The site-generated volumes for the land use revision area were added to the full-build background traffic volumes and full-build site-generated traffic volumes illustrated in Figure 3.7, Figure 3.8, Figure 3.13 and Figure 3.14 of the Country Meadows TIA to develop revised full-build post-development AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour traffic volumes. The full-build post-development AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour volumes are illustrated in Figure 3 and Figure 4, respectively. Volumes for the revised land use area were redistributed over the new internal road network, which in effect altered the volumes on the external road network.

## Intersection Analysis

Intersection analyses using the revised post-development AM Peak Hour and PM Peak Hour were conducted using Synchro and RODEL software packages. It should be noted that the previous intersection analyses conducted in the Country Meadows TIA used older versions of the Synchro software package and utilized the SIDRA software package for roundabouts. Therefore, analysis results will vary from the Country Meadows TIA due to volume differences as well as software types and versions. For consistency purposes, internal and external intersections analyzed as signalized intersections, stop-controlled intersections and roundabouts in the Country Meadows TIA were analyzed similarly in this analysis.

Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment
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Figure 3 COUNTRY MEADOWS

OUTLINE PLAN AMENDMENT

Volumes Revised Full-Build Post-Development Traffic Volumes PM Peak Hour

## Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment

The intersection analysis for the internal intersections was undertaken using the Synchro 10 software package, which is based on the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 2000). For unsignalized intersections, the methodology considers the intersection geometry, the traffic volumes, the posted speed limit and the type of intersection control. The average delay for each individual movement from the minor street, the major street left-turn movements and the overall intersection are calculated. An operation level of service (LOS) is then assigned based on the calculated average delay. For signalized intersections, the methodology considers the intersection geometry, the traffic volumes, the posted speed limit, the traffic signal phasing/timing plan as well as pedestrian volumes. The average delay for each lane group and the overall intersection are calculated. An operation LOS is then assigned based on the calculated average delay. The level of service criteria for both signalized and unsignalized intersections is described in Table 2.

The volume-to-capacity $(\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c})$ ratio was also considered. If the $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for a movement is greater than 1.00 , then that movement has technically exceeded capacity.

Table 2: Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Average Control Delay <br> (seconds per vehicle) |  | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Signalized <br> Intersection | Unsignalized <br> Intersection |  |
| A | 10.0 or less | 10.0 or less | Very good operation |
| B | 10.1 to 20.0 | 10.1 to 15.0 | Good operation |
| C | 20.1 to 35.0 | 15.1 to 25.0 | Acceptable operation |
| D | 35.1 to 55.0 | 25.1 to 35.0 | Congestion |
| E | 55.1 to 80.0 | 35.1 to 50.0 | Significant congestion |
| F | More than 80.0 | More than 50.0 | Unacceptable operation |

Roundabout analysis was conducted using the RODEL software. When conducting the roundabout analysis, the LOS delay and v/c ratio estimates were conducted using HCM 2010.

The results of the post-development intersection analyses are summarized in Table 3. It should be noted that Intersection 46 has been altered to operates as a stop-condition in lieu of a roundabout. The results of the analysis indicate all study intersections are expected to operate at an acceptable LOS, v/c ratios and 95th Percentile Queues that are not expected to block adjacent intersections. Two intersections have been altered, as shown in Figure 4. The southbound to westbound bypass lane has been eliminated at the intersection of Walsh Drive and Métis Trail, while the right turn storage length on the southbound approach at Garry Drive and Métis Trail has been increased.

Page 7 of 14

## Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment

Table 3: Revised Full-Build Horizon (2031) Post-Development Operating Conditions
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## Roadway Classifications

As a result of the changes in roadway layout and traffic volumes, roadway classifications were re-visited to determine suitability for the amended conditions. Updated estimated internal daily traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 5, while internal road network classifications are shown in Figure 6.

Adam St. Amant
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Reference: Country Meadows Outline Plan Amendment: Transportation Impact Assessment

## Entrance Road Staging

Estimated daily traffic volumes for each stage of construction were reviewed to assess the timing of construction for each additional access to the development. Currently, the only access road constructed is the Métis Trail access, with a current build-out of 263 single family dwelling units. A second access will need to be constructed upon opening Stages A, B and C, namely the Walsh Drive access point. These two accesses are anticipated to operate acceptably upon the opening of Stage D. Table 4 outlines the dwelling units and estimated vehicle trips, while Figure 7 depicts the stages of construction.

Table 4: Anticipated Access Requirements for Staging

| Stage | Dwelling Units |  | Vehicle Trips Per Day | Capacity | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single <br> Family | Multi Family |  |  |  |
| Existing | 263 | 0 | 2,860 | 8,000 |  |
| Existing + A | 383 | 0 | 3,900 | 8,000 |  |
| Existing + $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ | 643 | 95 | 7,420 | 8,000 |  |
| Existing $+\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}$ | 816 | 228 | 10,400 | 8,000 | Walsh Drive access required |
| Existing + A + $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}$ | 930 | 397 | 13,110 | 16,000 |  |

Adam St. Amant
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## Conclusions

The proposed land use revision is expected to result in and additional 137 low-density residential units and a decrease of 88 medium-density residential units within the Country Meadows community. The results of the transportation impact analysis indicate the additional units will have minimal impact to the anticipated operations of surrounding internal intersections and broader external intersections. With minor alterations, the intersection geometries and traffic control measures previously assumed as part of the Country Meadows TIA are expected to be adequate to accommodate the proposed land use revisions.

## Stantec Consulting Ltd.



Angela Forsyth P.Eng.
Transportation Engineer

Phone: 4033324876
Fax: 4033280664
Angela.Forsyth@stantec.com

```
Attachment: Synchro Outputs Rodel Outputs
```

c. Brad Schmidtke, Stantec

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 49 | 42 | 177 | 32 | 116 | 173 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 49 | 42 | 177 | 32 | 116 | 173 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 56 | 48 | 201 | 36 | 132 | 197 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 690 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 224 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 466 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.45 | 6.25 | - | - | 4.15 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.45 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.45 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.545 | 3.345 | - | - | 2.245 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 406 | 803 | - | - | 1307 | - |
| Stage 1 | 806 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 625 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 355 | 794 | - | - | 1300 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 710 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 621 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.6 |  | 0 |  | 3.2 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 477 | 1300 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.217 | 0.101 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 14.6 | 8.1 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0.3 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 184 | 0 | - | 0 | 320 | 145 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 140 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 180 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.15 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.245 | - | - |  | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1373 | - | - | - | 673 | 902 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 887 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 851 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1365 | - | - | - | 662 | 892 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 662 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - |  | 877 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 846 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.3 |  | 0 |  | 13.7 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1365 | - | - | - | 670 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 | - | - | - | 0.388 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.6 | 0 | - | - | 13.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh |  | 0 | - | - | - | 1.8 |

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
|  | Entrance 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Garry Drive | 90 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Garry Drive | 270 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
|  | Entrance 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass <br> Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 281 |  | 6 |  | 1324 | 1205 |  | 0.2332 |  |
|  | Garry Drive | None | 467 |  | 267 |  | 20 | 2053 |  | 0.2275 |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive | None | 969 |  | 361 |  | 373 | 1959 |  | 0.4946 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service <br> Type |  | Entry |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 3.78 |  | 3.78 | 0.91 |  | A | A |
| 2 | Garry Drive | None | 2.75 | 2.75 | 1.11 | A | A |  |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive | None | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.03 | A |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ 1 | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％${ }^{1+1}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ 7 | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 292 | 718 | 220 | 327 | 260 | 175 | 81 | 414 | 558 | 173 | 247 | 128 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 292 | 718 | 220 | 327 | 260 | 175 | 81 | 414 | 558 | 173 | 247 | 128 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 90.0 |  | 55.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ m ） | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.98 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 | 3038 | 3202 | 1413 | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 132 |  |  | 199 |  |  | 381 |  |  | 145 |


| Link Speed（k／h） | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 400.5 |  |  | 202.5 |  |  | 782.2 |  |  | 628.0 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 24.0 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 46.9 |  |  | 37.7 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃hr） |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 332 | 816 | 250 | 372 | 295 | 199 | 92 | 470 | 634 | 197 | 281 | 145 |


| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 332 | 816 | 250 | 372 | 295 | 199 | 92 | 470 | 634 | 197 | 281 | 145 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width $(m)$ |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Link Offset（m） |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width $(m)$ |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |


| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（m） | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Trailing Detector（m） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Detector 1 Position（m） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Detector 1 Size（m） | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |


| Detector 1 Type $\quad \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |

Switch Phase

Country Meadows TIA - Full Build Post- Development Traffic Volumes
AM Peak
18: Metis Trail \& Garry Drive

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 13.0 | 28.5 |  | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 45.4 | 45.4 | 25.0 | 46.4 | 46.4 | 13.0 | 31.6 |  | 18.0 | 36.6 | 36.6 |
| Total Split (\%) | 20.0\% | 37.8\% | 37.8\% | 20.8\% | 38.7\% | 38.7\% | 10.8\% | 26.3\% |  | 15.0\% | 30.5\% | 30.5\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 | 39.9 | 39.9 | 21.0 | 40.9 | 40.9 | 9.0 | 26.1 |  | 14.0 | 31.1 | 31.1 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |  | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 |  | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Act Effict Green (s) | 17.6 | 47.5 | 47.5 | 18.9 | 48.8 | 48.8 | 8.3 | 22.2 | 120.0 | 12.4 | 26.3 | 26.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.22 | 0.22 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.39 | 0.78 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.44 | 0.79 | 0.45 | 0.63 | 0.40 | 0.34 |
| Control Delay | 59.8 | 34.0 | 15.5 | 60.1 | 25.6 | 5.0 | 60.2 | 56.8 | 1.0 | 53.4 | 47.4 | 18.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.8 | 34.0 | 15.5 | 60.1 | 25.6 | 5.0 | 60.2 | 56.8 | 1.0 | 53.4 | 47.4 | 18.4 |
| LOS | E | C | B | E | C | A | E | E | A | D | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.8 |  |  | 35.7 |  |  | 27.5 |  |  | 42.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 38.8 | 83.2 | 18.6 | 43.5 | 24.1 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 55.8 | 0.0 | 22.9 | 34.6 | 5.7 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 52.0 | 110.2 | 42.0 | 57.6 | 36.7 | 14.5 | 18.9 | 69.8 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 44.9 | 22.9 |
| Internal Link Dist ( m ) |  | 376.5 |  |  | 178.5 |  |  | 758.2 |  |  | 604.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 90.0 |  | 55.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 507 | 1266 | 635 | 535 | 1302 | 689 | 229 | 696 | 1413 | 356 | 829 | 471 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.64 | 0.39 | 0.70 | 0.23 | 0.29 | 0.40 | 0.68 | 0.45 | 0.55 | 0.34 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green, Master Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 34.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 18: Metis Trail \& Garry Drive


Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 23
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach Bearing (deg) | Grade Separation G | Half Width V | Approach Lanes n | Entry Width E | Entry <br> Lanes <br> n | Flare Length L' | Entry Radius R | Entry Angle Phi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{C}$ | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n c}$ | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive <br> Entrance 2 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Intersection 23 <br> (East Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 3 | Garry Drive <br> Entrance 2 <br> 4 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |  |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 174 |  | 34 |  | 110 | 1189 |  | 0.1463 |  |
|  | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | None | 73 |  | 185 |  | 23 | 1106 |  | 0.0660 |  |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 67 |  | 53 |  | 205 | 1178 |  | 0.0569 |  |
|  | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 51 |  | 93 |  | 27 | 1157 |  | 0.0441 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| Leg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 3.45 | 3.45 | 0.51 | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | None | 3.40 | 3.40 | 0.21 | A |  |  |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 3.15 | 3.15 | 0.18 | A | A |  |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 3.17 | 3.17 | 0.14 | A | A |  |  |

Country Meadows TIA - Full Build Post- Development Traffic Volumes

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 23 | 8 | 33 | 45 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 23 | 8 | 33 | 45 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 26 | 9 | 38 | 51 | 3 |



## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach Bearing (deg) | Grade Separation G | Half Width V | Approach Lanes n | Entry Width E | Entry Lanes n | Flare Length L' | Entry Radius R | Entry Angle Phi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Intersection 47 <br> (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 47 <br> (East Leg) <br> 2 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Garry Drive <br> Entrance 1 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |
| 3 | Intersection 47 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | None | 129 |  | 7 |  | 238 | 1203 |  | 0.1072 |  |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 112 |  | 110 |  | 26 | 1147 |  | 0.0977 |  |
| 3 | Intersection 47 (West Leg) | None | 178 |  | 67 |  | 155 | 1170 |  | 0.1521 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |
| 1 | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | None | 3.26 | 3.26 | 0.35 |  | A | A |
| 2 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 3.39 | 3.39 | 0.32 | A | A |  |
| 3 | Intersection 47 (West Leg) | None | 3.53 | 3.53 | 0.53 | A |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 53
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook Trail <br> Entrance | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |
| 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook Trail <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |  |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass <br> Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 108 |  | 18 |  | 138 | 1197 |  | 0.0902 |  |
|  | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 120 |  | 72 |  | 54 | 1168 |  | 0.1028 |  |
| 3 | Chinook Trail Entrance | None | 62 |  | 94 |  | 98 | 1156 |  | 0.0537 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |  |
| 1 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.22 | 3.22 | 0.29 |  | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.34 | 3.34 | 0.34 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Chinook Trail Entrance | None | 3.21 | 3.21 | 0.17 | A | A |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 84
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Walsh Drive <br> Entrance | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Circulating <br> Collector (North | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| Section) <br> Circulating <br> Collector (West | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |
| Section) <br> Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Walsh Drive <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Circulating <br> Collector (North <br> Section) <br> Circulating <br> Collector (West | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Section) <br> Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 84
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Walsh Drive Entrance | None | 79 |  | 117 |  | 139 | 1144 |  | 0.0691 |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (North Section) | None | 114 |  | 115 |  | 81 | 1144 |  | 0.0996 |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 128 |  | 67 |  | 162 | 1170 |  | 0.1094 |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 127 |  | 129 |  | 66 | 1137 |  | 0.1117 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Walsh Drive Entrance | None | 3.29 | 3.29 | 0.22 |  | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (North Section) | None | 3.40 | 3.40 | 0.33 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.36 | 3.36 | 0.36 | A | A |  |  |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 3.47 | 3.47 | 0.37 | A | A |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 86
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 86 <br> (North Leg) | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Circulating <br> Collector (East <br> Section) | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (Norht <br> Section) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 86 <br> (North Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 3 | Circulating <br> Collector (East | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Section) <br> Circulating <br> Collector (Norht <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 86
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Intersection 86 (North Leg) | None | 44 |  | 123 |  | 62 | 1140 |  | 0.0386 |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 103 |  | 43 |  | 124 | 1183 |  | 0.0870 |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (East Section) | None | 153 |  | 123 |  | 23 | 1140 |  | 0.1342 |  |
| 4 | Circulating Collector (Norht Section) | None | 119 |  | 66 |  | 210 | 1171 |  | 0.1016 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Intersection 86 (North Leg) | None | 3.20 | 3.20 | 0.12 |  | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 3.24 | 3.24 | 0.28 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (East Section) | None | 3.55 | 3.55 | 0.46 | A | A |  |  |  |
| 4 | Circulating Collector (Norht Section) | None | 3.33 | 3.33 | 0.33 | A | A |  |  |  |

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 0 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Metis Trail | 180 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 2 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 448 |  | 120 |  | 200 | 2185 |  | 0.2050 |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail | None | 881 |  | 401 |  | 167 | 1922 |  | 0.4584 |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 267 |  | 53 |  | 1229 | 1188 |  | 0.2247 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 2.17 |  | 2.17 | 0.83 |  | A | A |
| 2 | Metis Trail | None | 3.43 | 3.43 | 2.76 | A | A |  |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 3.80 | 3.80 | 0.86 | A | A |  |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | - | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 210 | 14 | 65 | 84 | 18 | 198 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 210 | 14 | 65 | 84 | 18 | 198 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 239 | 16 | 74 | 95 | 20 | 225 |



## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

## Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 0 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | 90 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Metis Trail | 180 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | 270 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 AM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 355 |  | 390 |  | 750 | 2031 |  | 0.1748 |  |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | None | 383 |  | 414 |  | 331 | 2015 |  | 0.1901 |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail | None | 861 |  | 293 |  | 504 | 2096 |  | 0.4107 |  |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | None | 408 |  | 732 |  | 422 | 1802 |  | 0.2265 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Average Delay (sec) |  |  | 95\% Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 2.70 |  | 2.70 | 0.82 |  | A |  | A |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | None | 3.88 |  | 3.88 | 1.27 |  | A |  | A |
| 3 | Metis Trail | None | 3.89 |  | 3.89 | 2.94 |  | A |  | A |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | None | 3.07 |  | 3.07 | 1.10 |  | A |  | A |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor $\quad$ N | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 465 | 0 | - | 0 | 505 | 341 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 336 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 169 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.15 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.245 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1081 | - | - | - | 527 | 701 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 724 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 861 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1075 | - | - | - | 515 | 693 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 515 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 712 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 856 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.6 |  | 0 |  | 15.2 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1075 | - | - | - | 521 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.011 | - | - | - | 0.327 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.4 | 0 | - | - | 15.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | C |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 1.4 |

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
|  | Entrance 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Garry Drive | 90 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Garry Drive | 270 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
|  | Entrance 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Garry Drive | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass <br> Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 187 |  | 15 |  | 1719 | 1202 |  | 0.1556 |  |
|  | Garry Drive | None | 1359 |  | 178 |  | 24 | 2133 |  | 0.6371 |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive | None | 662 |  | 1072 |  | 465 | 1301 |  | 0.5088 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service <br> Type |  | Entry |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 3.45 |  | 3.45 | 0.54 |  | A | A |
| 2 | Garry Drive | None | 5.39 | 5.39 | 6.78 | A | A |  |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive | None | 5.68 | 5.68 | 3.89 | A |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{1 *}$ | 个个 | F＇ | ${ }^{1+1}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 208 | 470 | 144 | 553 | 754 | 319 | 244 | 439 | 534 | 227 | 450 | 361 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 208 | 470 | 144 | 553 | 754 | 319 | 244 | 439 | 534 | 227 | 450 | 361 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 | 1750 |
| Lane Width（m） | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 90.0 |  | 55.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util．Factor | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 0.95 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.98 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.98 |
| Frt |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |  |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 | 3038 | 3202 | 1432 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 | 3038 | 3202 | 1413 | 3038 | 3202 | 1404 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 132 |  |  | 321 |  |  | 343 |  |  | 233 |


| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |  | 60 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 379.0 |  |  | 226.3 |  |  | 790.4 |  |  | 606.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 22.7 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 47.4 |  |  | 36.4 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Adj．Flow（vph） | 236 | 534 | 164 | 628 | 857 | 363 | 277 | 499 | 607 | 258 | 511 | 410 |


| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 236 | 534 | 164 | 628 | 857 | 363 | 277 | 499 | 607 | 258 | 511 | 410 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width $(m)$ |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Link Offset $(m)$ |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width $(m)$ |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |  | 1.6 |  |


| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Headway Factor | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.13 | 1.10 | 1.10 |
| Turning Speed（k／h） | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru | Right |
| Leading Detector（m） | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.0 |
| Trailing Detector（ m ） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Detector 1 Position（m） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Detector 1 Size（m） | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 2.0 |


| Detector 1 Type $\quad \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Detector 1 Channel

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Detector 1 Extend（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Queue（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Detector 1 Delay（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |

Switch Phase

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 13.0 | 28.5 |  | 13.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 16.0 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 37.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 18.0 | 34.0 |  | 18.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 13.3\% | 25.8\% | 25.8\% | 30.8\% | 43.3\% | 43.3\% | 15.0\% | 28.3\% |  | 15.0\% | 28.3\% | 28.3\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 12.0 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 33.0 | 46.5 | 46.5 | 14.0 | 28.5 |  | 14.0 | 28.5 | 28.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.5 |  | 3.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 |  | 4.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  | 17.0 | 17.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 | 5 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 12.5 | 33.2 | 33.2 | 29.1 | 49.7 | 49.7 | 14.1 | 25.1 | 120.0 | 13.7 | 24.6 | 24.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 0.85 | 0.65 | 0.47 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.87 |
| Control Delay | 67.2 | 43.1 | 12.6 | 55.2 | 31.8 | 6.6 | 66.8 | 51.6 | 1.0 | 61.3 | 33.8 | 33.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 67.2 | 43.1 | 12.6 | 55.2 | 31.8 | 6.6 | 66.8 | 51.6 | 1.0 | 61.3 | 33.8 | 33.2 |
| LOS | E | D | B | E | C | A | E | D | A | E | C | C |
| Approach Delay |  | 43.8 |  |  | 34.8 |  |  | 32.4 |  |  | 39.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 27.6 | 59.8 | 5.8 | 72.6 | 89.7 | 6.3 | 32.4 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 | 63.1 | 46.9 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#44.7 | 80.7 | 23.8 | 88.0 | 107.0 | 26.1 | \#50.2 | 72.4 | 0.0 | m42.4 | m67.8 | m99.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 355.0 |  |  | 202.3 |  |  | 766.4 |  |  | 582.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 90.0 |  | 55.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  | 75.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 323 | 884 | 483 | 835 | 1334 | 772 | 368 | 760 | 1413 | 362 | 760 | 511 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.34 | 0.75 | 0.64 | 0.47 | 0.75 | 0.66 | 0.43 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.80 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type:

## Other

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBT and 6:WBT, Start of Green, Master Intersection
Natural Cycle: 85
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87
Intersection Signal Delay: $36.8 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.1\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 18: Metis Trail \& Garry Drive


Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 23
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach Bearing (deg) | Grade Separation G | Half Width V | Approach Lanes n | Entry Width E | Entry Lanes n | Flare Length L' | Entry Radius R | Entry Angle Phi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Garry Drive <br> Entrance 2 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Intersection 23 <br> (East Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 3 | Garry Drive <br> Entrance 2 <br> 4 | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 23
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 153 |  | 46 |  | 207 | 1182 |  | 0.1294 |  |
|  | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | None | 50 |  | 145 |  | 54 | 1128 |  | 0.0443 |  |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 238 |  | 61 |  | 134 | 1174 |  | 0.2028 |  |
|  | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 36 |  | 217 |  | 82 | 1089 |  | 0.0331 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| Leg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 3.40 | 3.40 | 0.44 | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Intersection 23 (East Leg) | None | 3.25 | 3.25 | 0.14 | A |  |  |  |
| 3 | Garry Drive Entrance 2 | None | 3.74 | 3.74 | 0.76 | A | A |  |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 3.33 | 3.33 | 0.10 | A | A |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | -1 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 25 | 30 | 126 | 44 | 49 | 116 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 25 | 30 | 126 | 44 | 49 | 116 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 28 | 34 | 143 | 50 | 56 | 132 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 422 | 178 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 173 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 249 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 588 | 865 | - | - | 1375 | - |
| Stage 1 | 857 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 792 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 556 | 855 | - | - | 1367 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 556 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 814 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 787 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 10.8 |  | 0 |  | 2.3 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 687 | 1367 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.091 | 0.041 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 10.8 | 7.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.3 | 0.1 | - |



| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 95 | 0 | - | 0 | 92 | 63 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 63 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 29 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.15 | - | - | -6.45 | 6.25 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.45 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | -5.45 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.245 | - | - | -3.545 | 3.345 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1480 | - | - | - | 901 | 993 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 952 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 986 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1480 | - | - | - | 897 | 993 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 897 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 948 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 986 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.9 | 0 | 9.2 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | A |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1480 | - | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.004 | - | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.4 | 0 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - |
| H | 0.2 |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 47
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach Bearing (deg) | Grade Separation G | Half Width V | Approach Lanes n | Entry Width E | Entry Lanes n | Flare Length L' | Entry Radius R | Entry Angle Phi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Intersection 47 (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 47 <br> (East Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |
| Garry Drive <br> Entrance 1 <br> Intersection 47 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | None | 86 |  | 22 |  | 300 | 1195 |  | 0.0720 |  |
|  | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 302 |  | 74 |  | 34 | 1167 |  | 0.2589 |  |
| 3 | Intersection 47 (West Leg) | None | 136 |  | 186 |  | 190 | 1105 |  | 0.1231 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Intersection 47 (East Leg) | None | 3.16 |  | 3.16 | 0.23 |  | A | A |
| 2 | Garry Drive Entrance 1 | None | 4.05 | 4.05 | 1.05 | A | A |  |  |
| 3 | Intersection 47 (West Leg) | None | 3.62 | 3.62 | 0.42 | A |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 920 | 320 | 0 | 0 | 397 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 315 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 605 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.15 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.245 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 301 | 721 | - | - | 1145 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 740 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 545 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 253 | 713 | - | - | 1139 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 253 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 626 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 542 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 25 |  | 0 |  | 2.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBT | NBRL | BLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 367 | 1139 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.52 | 0.122 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 25 | 8.6 |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | A |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh |  | - | - | 2.9 | 0.4 | - |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 53
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 0 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook Trail <br> Entrance | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (West <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chinook Trail <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |  |

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass <br> Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
|  | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 126 |  | 93 |  | 187 | 1156 |  | 0.1090 |  |
|  | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 158 |  | 53 |  | 166 | 1178 |  | 0.1341 |  |
| 3 | Chinook Trail Entrance | None | 202 |  | 78 |  | 133 | 1164 |  | 0.1735 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  |
| Leg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.40 | 3.40 | 0.36 |  | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.43 | 3.43 | 0.46 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Chinook Trail Entrance | None | 3.64 | 3.64 | 0.62 | A |  |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 84
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Walsh Drive <br> Entrance | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Circulating <br> Collector (North | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| Section) <br> Circulating <br> Collector (West | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |
| Section) <br> Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n c}$ | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Walsh Drive <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Circulating <br> Collector (North | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| Section) <br> Circulating <br> Collector (West | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |  |
| Section) <br> Intersection 84 <br> (West Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 84
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Walsh Drive Entrance | None | 279 |  | 103 |  | 139 | 1151 |  | 0.2423 |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (North Section) | None | 140 |  | 239 |  | 143 | 1076 |  | 0.1301 |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 135 |  | 146 |  | 233 | 1127 |  | 0.1198 |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 84 |  | 158 |  | 123 | 1121 |  | 0.0749 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Walsh Drive Entrance | None | 4.01 | 4.01 | 0.96 |  | A | A |  |  |
| 2 | Circulating Collector (North Section) | None | 3.75 | 3.75 | 0.45 | A | A |  |  |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (West Section) | None | 3.53 | 3.53 | 0.40 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Intersection 84 (West Leg) | None | 3.38 | 3.38 | 0.24 | A | A |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 86
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Intersection 86 <br> (North Leg) | 0 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 90 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Circulating <br> Collector (East <br> Section) | 180 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (Norht <br> Section) | 270 | 0 | 4.50 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |  |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n c}$ | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Intersection 86 <br> (North Leg) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.50 | 1 |
| 2 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 3 | Circulating <br> Collector (East <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Circulating <br> Collector (Norht <br> Section) | 40.00 | 5.00 | 1 |  |  | 4.50 | 1 |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 86
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Intersection 86 (North Leg) | None | 34 |  | 104 |  | 167 | 1151 |  | 0.0295 |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 303 |  | 34 |  | 104 | 1188 |  | 0.2550 |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (East Section) | None | 119 |  | 290 |  | 47 | 1048 |  | 0.1135 |  |
| 4 | Circulating Collector (Norht Section) | None | 99 |  | 172 |  | 237 | 1113 |  | 0.0889 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names |  | Bypass |  | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Intersection 86 (North Leg) | None | 3.14 | 3.14 | 0.09 | A | A |  |  |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 3.95 | 3.95 | 1.02 | A |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Circulating Collector (East Section) | None | 3.78 | 3.78 | 0.39 | A | A |  |  |  |
| 4 | Circulating Collector (Norht Section) | None | 3.46 | 3.46 | 0.29 | A | A |  |  |  |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 88
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 0 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Metis Trail | 180 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 270 | 0 | 4.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

## Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 2 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 5.00 | 1 | 10.00 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Metis Trail <br> Entrance | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 | 4.00 | 1 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 1121 |  | 79 |  | 253 | 2222 |  | 0.5045 |  |
| 2 | Metis Trail | None | 966 |  | 982 |  | 218 | 1385 |  | 0.6977 |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 175 |  | 157 |  | 1790 | 1151 |  | 0.1520 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Average Delay (sec) |  |  | 95\% Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 3.43 |  | 3.43 | 3.43 |  | A |  | A |
| 2 | Metis Trail | None | 10.66 |  | 10.66 | 11.44 |  | B |  | B |
| 3 | Metis Trail Entrance | None | 3.59 |  | 3.59 | 0.53 |  | A |  | A |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\mathbf{T}$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 134 | 17 | 262 | 192 | 22 | 152 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 134 | 17 | 262 | 192 | 22 | 152 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 152 | 19 | 298 | 218 | 25 | 173 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 986 | 172 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 167 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 819 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.15 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.245 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1382 | - | 275 | 872 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 863 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 433 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1374 | - | 205 | 862 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 205 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 646 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 430 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 |  | 4.8 |  | 13.6 |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 613 | - | - | 1374 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.323 | - | - | 0.217 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 13.6 | - | - | 8.3 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | B | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 1.4 | - | - | 0.8 | - |

Project: Country Meadows TIA Amendment
Scheme: Intersection 98
Rodel-Win1 - Full Geometry

## Operational Data

## Main Geometry (m)

## Approach and Entry Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Approach <br> Bearing <br> (deg) | Grade <br> Separation <br> $\mathbf{G}$ | Half Width <br> $\mathbf{V}$ | Approach <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Entry <br> Width <br> $\mathbf{E}$ | Entry <br> Lanes <br> $\mathbf{n}$ | Flare <br> Length <br> $\mathbf{L}^{\prime}$ | Entry <br> Radius <br> $\mathbf{R}$ | Entry <br> Angle <br> Phi |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 0 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | 90 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 3 | Metis Trail | 180 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | 270 | 0 | 7.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |

Circulating and Exit Geometry

| Leg | Leg Names | Inscribed <br> Diameter <br> D | Circulating <br> Width <br> C | Circulating <br> Lanes <br> nc | Exit <br> Width <br> Ex | Exit <br> Lanes <br> nex | Exit <br> Half Width <br> Vx | Exit Half <br> Width Lanes <br> nvx |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 3 | Metis Trail | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | 60.00 | 10.00 | 2 | 8.50 | 2 | 7.00 | 2 |

## Operational Results

## 2031 PM Peak - 60 minutes

Flows and Capacity

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass Type | Flows (veh/hr) |  |  |  |  | Capacity (veh/hr) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Arrival Flow |  | Opposing Flow |  | Exit <br> Flow | Capacity |  | Average VCR |  |
|  |  |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |  | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 998 |  | 436 |  | 888 | 2000 |  | 0.4989 |  |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | None | 769 |  | 994 |  | 440 | 1626 |  | 0.4730 |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail | None | 740 |  | 954 |  | 809 | 1653 |  | 0.4477 |  |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | None | 286 |  | 1038 |  | 656 | 1596 |  | 0.1792 |  |

Delays, Queues and Level of Service

| Leg | Leg Names | Bypass | Average Delay (sec) |  | $95 \%$ Queue (veh) |  | Level of Service <br> Type |  | Entry |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Bypass | Leg | Entry | Bypass | Entry | Bypass | Leg |  |
| 1 | Metis Trail | None | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.26 |  | A | A |  |
| 2 | Walsh Drive | None | 6.81 | 6.81 | 4.87 | A | A |  |  |
| 3 | Metis Trail | None | 4.96 | 4.96 | 3.43 | A | A |  |  |
| 4 | Walsh Drive | None | 3.26 | 3.26 | 0.83 | A | A |  |  |

## Appendix M

## Walsh Drive Preliminary Design Report Drawings (November 2012)



WALSH DRIVE W




|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| - $-\ldots-$ |  |  |
|  | *----------***** |  |
|  | EX culver |  |
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##  <br>  <br> ${ }^{\text {Issled }}$ <br> Peminiseal <br>  <br> Doter Der $3 / 2$ ca, <br>  <br> clientrojoiect <br> CITY OF LETHBRIDGE <br> WALSH DRIVE IMPROVEMENTS PRELIMINARY ROADWAY DESIG PRELIMINARY ROADNAY LETHBRIDGE AB CANADA <br> WALSH DRIVE PROFILE STA $3+200$ TO STA $3+350$ <br> $\underset{\substack{\text { Projed } 1 \text { No. } \\ 11244550}}{\text {. }}$

## Appendix N

## Amendment Area Land Statistics by Owner
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Open Space (Credit) swing Site

Future School Site Stormwater Management Faciility (Hybrid Pond)
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