2011 - 2020 Capital Improvement Program







CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2011 - 2020 TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION A

LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

Transmittal Letter	A-1
Evaluation Process	A-2
Project & Funding Charts	A-3
Pay-As-You-Go Plan	A-5

SECTION B

DEBT/PAY-AS-YOU-GO PROGRAM

Overview	B-1
Taxation External Debt Graph	B-2
Utility/Self Supported Debt Graph	B-3
Offsite Levy Debt Graph	B-4
Proposed Borrowings	B-5
External Debt Payments	B-6
Internal Loan Payments	B-7
External Debt Balance	B-8
Internal Loan Balance	B-9

SECTION C

TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

Summary of 2011 to 2013 Projects	C-1
Summary of 2011 to 2020 Projects	C-2
Summary of Operating Costs	C-3
Basic Capital	C-4
Pay-As-You-Go Transportation	C-4a
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C-5
Intersection Improvements – Growth	C-6
Intersection Improvements – Non-Growth	C-7
Sidewalk Mobility Ramps	C-8
Bikeways/Pathways along Roadways	C-9
Arterial Rehab Program	C-10
Bridge Rehab Program	C-11
Community Lighting Rehab	C-12
Traffic Signals Replacement	C-13
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C-14
Railway Rehab Program	C-15
North Scenic Dr (5 th Ave N to 26 th Ave N)	C-16
43 rd Street N (Hwy 3 to 9 th Ave N)	C-17
26 th Ave N (Scenic Dr to 28 th Street)	C-18
Mayor Magrath Dr (40 th Ave S to City Limit)	C-19
Mayor Magrath Dr (3 rd Ave S to 3 rd Ave N)	C-20
6 th Ave S (MMDr to Scenic Dr S)	C-21
5 th Ave North (Stafford Dr to MMDr N)	C-22
Whoop-Up Dr/University Dr & Scenic Dr	C-23
13 th St N (Crowsnest Tr to 26 th Ave N)	C-24
University Dr N of Rlwy to Hwy 3 Upgrade	C-25
Transportation Master Plan Update	C-26
Whoop-Up Dr(McMaster to Aquitania Blvd W)	C-27

TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS (cont.)

University Dr (Community Stadium to SunRidge	1
Blvd W)	C-28
26 th Ave North (31 st to 43 rd North)	C-29
28 th Street North (MMD to 26 th Ave N)	C-30
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Dr)	C-31
Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C-32
N Scenic Dr (Uplands to 62 nd Av N)	C-33
44 th Ave N (Scenic Dr to 43 rd St N)	C-34
Jerry Potts Blvd (W of Red Crow to Metis Trail)	C-35
Whoop-Up Dr (Coalbanks gate to 30 th St)	C-36
43 rd Street N (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5)	C-37

SECTION D

COMMUNITY SERVICES PROJECTS

Summary of 2011 to 2013 Projects	D-1
Summary of 2011 to 2020 Projects	D-2
Summary of Operating Costs	D-3
Pay-As-You-Go Community	D-4
Community Arts Centre	D-5
Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre	D-6
Public Operations Bldg	D-7
Access-A-Ride Fleet Replacement	D-8
Transit Fleet Replacement	D-9
ENMAX Centre	D-10
Cemetery Master Plan & New Site	D-11
Aquatics Master Plan	D-12
Arena Master Plan	D-13
Curling Master Plan	D-14
Fire Master Plan	D-15
Office Space Master Plan	D-16
Recreation & Culture Master Plan	D-17
Helen Schuler Nature Ctr Expansion	D-18
Nicholas Sheran Playground	D-19
Mountain Bike Park	D-20
Parks Asset Management	D-21
West Side Depot	D-22
South Side Parks Depot	D-23
LRP Headquarters Expansion	D-24
Transit Facility Expansion	D-25
North Regional Park	D-26
Transit Smart card	D-27
Art Acquisition Program	D-28
Technology Centre	D-29
Twin Ice Centre	D-30
Bill Kergan Centre Renovation	D-31
Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades	D-32
Pathway System	D-33
Liesure Centre Plan	D-34

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM 2008 - 2017 TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION E

ENVIRONMENTAL UTILITIES

Summary of 2010 to 2013 Projects	E-1
Summary of 2011 to 2020 Projects	E-2
Summary of Operating Costs	E-3
Landfill Annual Debt Payments	E-4
Wastewater Annual Debt Payments	E-5
Water Annual Debt Payments	E-6

Solid Waste Utility

Waste & Recycling Centre.	E-7
Environmental Managemer	ntE-8
Gas Management System	E-9

Wastewater Utility

Protective Plumbing Program	E-10
WWTP Bioreactors	E-11
WWTP Digester	E-12
WWTP Cogeneration Upgrade	E-13
WWTP Clarifier Upgrade	E-14
WWTP SCADA Upgrade	E-15
WWTP Security	E-16

Water Utility

Reservoir Upgrades	E-17
Reservoir Security	E-18
No.2 High Lift Pump Switchgear	E-19
SCADA Upgrade	E-20
Pipeline Rehab	E-21
Residuals Mangement	E-22
Treatment Process Redundancy	E-23

Water Utility (Offsite Levy)

Metis Trail Deep Utilities	E-24
NW Lethbridge Utility Servicing	E-25
North sanitary Siphon Twinning	E-26
West Siphon Screen Relocation	E-27
SE Regional Lift Station	E-28
Garry Dr Reservoir	E-29
Distribution System Looping	E-30

SECTION F

ELECTRIC UTILITY

Summary of 2011 to 2013 Projects	.F-1
Summary of 2011 to 2020 Projects	.F-2
Summary of Operating Costs	.F-3
Protection & Control	.F-4
Substation Metering	. F-5
Substation Transformer Upgrades	.F-6
Substation Infrastructure Upgrades	. F-7
Substation Breakers & Switches Upgrades	.F-8
138 kV Switchgear Upgrades	.F-9
Substation Structure & Buswork	.F-10

ELECTRIC UTILITY (cont.)

NW Substation	F-11
Generator Interconnection	F-12
NW Lethbridge Transmission Line	F-13
Rebuild Lines 734L & 813L	F-14
138 kV Tie Line	F-15
Primary Line Extension	F-16
Infrastructure Replacement	F-17
Secondary Line Extension	F-18
Secondary Metering	F-19
Lighting Secondary	F-20
Shared/Dedicated Trans	F-21
Dark Fibre Communications Systems	F-22
Electric System Communications	F-23
Major Tools	F-24
Facilities & Furnishings	F-25
Fleet	F-26
Electric System Environmental	F-27
Facilities Management	F-28
Work & Financial Management	F-29
Critical Infrastructure Protection	F-30
Electric System Communications	F-31

SECTION G

GRANTS & FUNDING

Municipal Sustainablity Initiative (MIS)	G-1
Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP)	G-2
New Deal for Cites & Communities (NDCC)	G-3
Basic Capital	G-4
Offsite Levies	G-5
Pay-As-You-Go Transportation	G-6
Pay-As-You-Go Community Services	G-6
Art Acquisition Program	G-7
Downtown Redevelopment Fund	G-7

SECTION H

FUTURE PROJECTS

ListingH-1

SECTION I

MINUTES

Overview (of	Minutes	 - '	1
Over view v	UI.	minutes	 -	



City of Lethbridge

OFFICE OF CITY MANGER

July 5, 2010

HIS WORSHIP MAYOR TARLECK AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL

RE: 2011 TO 2020 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

The accompanying 2011 to 2020 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) contains all of the amendments approved by Finance Committee to date. The approval of a three (3) year Capital Plan within a ten (10) year context will allow planning and design to proceed in a more timely fashion and all operating costs that flow from the Capital Plan to be incorporated into the 2012-2014 operating budget.

The projects included in this capital plan represent the culmination of multiple planning documents completed over the past few years. The completion of these planning exercises, including the significant public input involved, provides the foundation for the projects included in this Capital Improvement Program. This CIP also includes several planning initiatives that will help to establish projects for consideration in future Capital Improvement Programs.

The projects included in this Capital Improvement Program will shape our community for decades to come. These projects are focused primarily on addressing the infrastructure deficit, sustaining a safe and healthy community, and enhancing the quality of life for our citizens.

This CIP is balanced with both project costs and funding totaling \$298 million in the three (3) year approval period (2011-2013). This funding is derived from many different sources, the most significant being Provincial and Federal infrastructure grants. The City of Lethbridge has also been successful in securing approximately \$23 million of funding under infrastructure stimulus programs which has been applied to high priority projects. The support of senior levels of government is very much appreciated and is important in meeting the infrastructure needs of our community.

Respectfully submitted,

Garth Sherwin, CA City Manager

A - 2

CITY OF LETHBRIDGE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM EVALUATION PROCESS

OVERVIEW

The ten year Capital Improvement Program was developed to provide a consistent evaluation process and to establish predictable capital spending and debt limits. An evaluation matrix was created to assist in the process of prioritizing various capital projects. Because of their nature, projects pertaining to ongoing maintenance, such as street and recreation facility upgrading, are considered as high priority projects and are therefore excluded from the evaluation process. To allow the high priority projects to proceed on a timely basis and to avoid large fluctuations in annual debt charges, a Major Capital Projects Reserve was established. It is recognized that new projects may be identified and/or certain events may occur which could change the relative ranking of existing projects. As a result, the ranking of projects is reevaluated each time a Capital Improvement Program is prepared (every 3 years).

EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria form the basis of the evaluation matrix. Scoring for each criteria depends on the degree of impact - ie: the less the impact, the smaller the score. Guidelines are available to assist in the scoring. It is recognized that some criteria are more important than others, therefore a higher weighting is assigned to their scores. The total points for each criteria are then calculated by multiplying the score by the weight.

Transportation & Utility Projects

- Effect on ability to provide services
 - o Likelihood of current assets failing
 - Condition of asset
 - Effectiveness of maintenance protocols
 - Functionality
 - Maintainability
 - Capacity
 - o Consequence of failure of current assets
 - Health & safety of public & employees
 - Compliance with regulations
 - Financial consequence
 - Community/public image
- Health & safety
- Legislative requirements
- Environmental enhancement
- Economic viability
- Public image & quality of life
- Ability to respond to changing trends

Community Projects

- Quality of life considerations
 - Support of Council strategic goals
 - Level of service
 - Community support
 - Health & Safety
 - Environmental impact
 - Social impact
 - Cultural impact
- Financial Considerations
 - Outside capital contributions
 - Operating expenditures impact
 - Economic development
 - Fully Scoped





(3 years)







CITY OF LETHBRIDGE

PAY-AS-YOU-GO PLAN

EFFECT ON TAXATION

	2011	2012	2013	2014
	\$	\$	\$	\$
Taxation supported Pay-as-you-go finan	cing			
Transportation	1,709,000	1,744,000	1,779,000	1,814,000
Community	2,150,000	2,250,000	2,350,000	2,450,000
-	3,859,000	3,994,000	4,129,000	4,264,000
Add annual debt charges to taxation	3,758,823	3,993,503	4,381,791	4,193,123
Add (less) taxation contribution to (from) Major Capital Projects Reserve	443,177	208,497	-179,791	8,877
_	8,061,000	8,196,000	8,331,000	8,466,000
Increase to taxation over prior year	125,000	135,000	135,000	135,000
 % Increase to taxation over prior year 	0.14%	0.15%	0.15%	0.15%

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
	1,849,000	1,884,000	1,919,000	1,954,000	1,989,000	2,024,000
	2,550,000	2,650,000	2,750,000	2,850,000	2,950,000	3,050,000
	4,399,000	4,534,000	4,669,000	4,804,000	4,939,000	5,074,000
	4,250,344	3,428,326	2,908,239	2,893,476	2,891,231	2,878,280
	-48,344	773,674	1,293,761	1,308,524	1,310,769	1,323,720
_						
-	8,601,000	8,736,000	8,871,000	9,006,000	9,141,000	9,276,000
_	135,000	135,000	135,000	135,000	135,000	135,000
-						
	0.15%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%	0.14%

CITY OF LETHBRIDGE

PAY-AS-YOU-GO PLAN

OVERVIEW

The objective of this plan is to build into current spending levels, ie: the three year operating budget, the costs associated with capital projects which are recurring, are of relatively small scale, or are for short-lived improvements.

The plan was first introduced in 1991 and was fully implemented and funded by 1994. Short term financing of this plan is through the Major Capital Projects Reserve along with some internal borrowings from the Municipal Revenue Stabilization Reserve. In the longer term, debt servicing costs contained within the annual operating budgets will be replaced with the costs of the annual capital program, thereby saving the taxpayers within the City of Lethbridge the foregone interest charges.

The major **benefits** of this plan include:

- affordability
- elimination of interest charges from future budgets
- greater flexibility provided in future operating budgets (ie. less funds will be committed to fixed debt servicing costs)
- a paid for infrastructure being left to the next generation
- sound financial planning to assist and attract private investment in our community.



Existing Taxation Supported

Taxation Supported External Debt for the City of Lethbridge peaked in 1987 at \$26 million. In 2006 the City of Lethbridge made its last debenture payment to become free of taxation supported external debt. The elimination of this taxation supported debt is a direct result of the Pay-As-You-Go plan implemented by the City beginning in 1991. The benefits of the Pay-As-You-Go plan are outlined on page B-1.

There is no proposed taxation supported external debt in this ten year Capital Improvement Program (CIP).

B - 2



Existing Utility & Self Supported Proposed Utility & Self Supported

Utility supported debt includes borrowings for the Water Utility, Wastewater Utility, Solid Waste & Recycling Utility, and Electric Utility. Self supported debt includes borrowings for local improvements, land development, and debt on behalf of external agencies.

There are significant utility capital projects required within this ten-year capital improvement program. Proposed borrowings for these projects are listed on page B-5.

B - 3



Offsite Levy supported debt includes borrowings to fund growth related projects that will be repaid from offsite levies.

A levy increase is required to fund the offsite projects proposed in this Capital Improvement Program.

2010	\$149,000/ha
2011	\$164,000/ha
2012	\$179,000/ha
2013	\$195,000/ha

See page B-5 for a listing of proposed offsite levy supported borrowings contained in this ten-year capital improvement program.

B - 4

City of Lethbridge

Proposed Borrowings

Internal Borrowings (from Reserves)

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
		All amounts below are in thousands of dollars										
General Fund												
Enmax Centre	D - 10	2,508	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,508
Parks Asset Management	D - 21	833	833	833	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,499
Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters	D - 24	-	-	2,725	11,928	1,197	-	-	-	-	-	15,850
Twin Ice Centre	D - 30	5,000	5,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,200
		8,341	6,033	3,558	11,928	1,197	-	-	-	-	-	31,057

External Borrowings

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	Il amounts	below are	in thousan	ds of dolla	ars			
Joint Utility Funded												
Public Operations Building	D - 7	980	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	980
Waste & Recycling Services												
Cell Development	E - 7	4,500	-	200	5,500	-	-	-	20	460	-	10,680
Environmental Management	E-8	660	660	-	-	550	275	-	-	-	-	2,145
Gas Management System	E-9	-	-	-	2,200	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	4,200
		5,160	660	200	7,700	2,550	275	-	20	460	-	17,025
Wastewater Utility												
Bioreactor	E - 11	-	-	-	-	-	3,900	-	-	4,350	-	8,250
Digester	E - 12	-	-	-	2,400	2,500	1,300	-	-	-	-	6,200
Cogeneration Upgrade	E - 13	1,575	1,650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,225
WTP Headworks and Clarifier	E - 14	500	600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,100
Plant Security	E - 16	-	-	-	-	-	650	675	-	-	-	1,325
		2,075	2,250	-	2,400	2,500	5,850	675	-	4,350	-	20,100
Water Utility												
Reservoir Upgrades	E - 17	-	-	287	2,100	1,875	-	-	1,050	1,088	-	6,400
Plant & Reservoir Security	E - 18	-	-	-	-	-	650	675	-	-	-	1,325
SCADA Upgrade	E - 20	-	-	230	-	625	-	-	-	-	-	855
Residuals Management	E - 22	-	5,250	5,500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10,750
Process Redundancy	E - 23	-	-	1,050	2,200	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	5,550
,		-	5,250	7,067	4,300	4,800	650	675	1,050	1,088	-	24,880
Electric Utility												
Infrastructure Replacement Program	F - 17	5,250	5,513	5,788	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,551
		5,250	5,513	5,788	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16,551
Offsite Levy Projects												
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	-	-	1,650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,650
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18	-	1.050	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.050
Mayor Magrath Dr (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19	-	-	-	-	2.700	-	-	-	-	-	2,700
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd	C - 27	-	-	-	-	-	2,400	-	-	-	-	2,400
University Dr (Community Stadium to	C - 28	-	-	-	-	-	_,	-	3 345	-	-	3 345
Sun Ridae Blvd W)	0 20								0,010			0,010
26th Ave North (31st to 43st North)	C - 29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.375	3.375
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6 800	6,800
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)	C - 31	3 000	-	2 200		-	-	-		-	- 0,000	5 200
Garry Dr. (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C - 32	3,000	-	2,200		-	-	-		-	-	3,000
North Scenic Dr. (Unlands Dr.N. to 62 Ave N)	C - 33	-	-	-		-	-	-		5 900	-	5 900
Wheen Up Dr (Cealbanks Gate to 30th	C - 36				2 200					5,500		2 200
42rd St S (Hung 4 to Hung 5)	C - 37				2,200				6 383			6 383
Asid St S (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5) Motio Troil Drive Deep Utilities Phase II	E - 24								0,303		9 500	0,505
Netthweet Lethbridge Utility Servicing	E - 24	-	-	2 500	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,500	22 800
North Conitory Cinhon Turinging	E - 20	14,500	0,000	2,300	-	-	-	-	-	2 0 4 0	-	23,000
North Sanitary Siphon Twinning	E - 20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,029	3,940	-	1,111
SE Regional Lift Station	E - 28	-	-	-	-	10,484	9,608	-	-	-	-	20,092
Garry Drive Reservoir	E - 29	5,155	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,155
		25,655	7,850	6,350	2,200	13,184	12,008	-	13,557	9,848	19,675	110,327
												100
		39,120	21,523	19,405	16,600	23,034	18,783	1,350	14,627	15,746	19,675	189,863

B - 6

City of Lethbridge

External Debt Payments

Including Borrowings Proposed in this Capital Improvement Program

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
			Ą	II amounts	below are	in thousand	ds of dollars	8		
Taxation Supported	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Self Supported										
Local Improvement	149	108	108	61	26	26	26	26	26	-
Land Development	553	553	553	553	553	553	553	553	553	553
External Agencies	151	151	151	151	-	-	-	-	-	-
	853	812	812	765	579	579	579	579	579	553
Utility Supported Waste & Recycling Services										
Collection	536	429	429	429	321	321	136	136	136	65
Landfill	3,723	4,045	3,859	3,777	3,962	4,222	3,195	3,195	3,148	1,971
	4,259	4,474	4,288	4,206	4,283	4,543	3,331	3,331	3,284	2,036
Wastewater	4 570	4 004	4 004	4 004	4 004	445				
Buildings	1,576	1,691	1,691	1,691	1,691	115	-	-	-	-
Collection	182	182	125	125	125	125	125	125	125	125
Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	152	311	311	311
Ireatment	1,224	1,423	1,710	1,204	1,766	2,352	3,087	2,498	2,498	2,896
	2,982	3,296	3,526	3,020	3,582	2,592	3,364	2,934	2,934	3,332
Water										
Buildings	401	736	736	736	736	736	736	736	736	736
Distribution	521	387	387	417	631	822	822	822	930	1.041
Equipment	22	-	-	54	54	200	267	336	282	282
Treatment	1,133	1,133	1,669	1,814	1,801	1,957	1,783	1,663	1,663	1,663
	2.077	2.256	2.792	3.021	3.222	3.715	3.608	3.557	3.611	3.722
		,		- / -	- 1		-)	- ,	-) -	- 1
Electric										
Distribution	-	519	1,063	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635
	-	519	1,063	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635	1,635
Offsite Levy Supported										
Transportation	1.180	3.094	4.563	5,660	6.368	5.436	4.046	2,838	4.084	4.884
Water & Wastewater	1.365	3.322	3.322	3.322	3.322	4.222	5.046	5.046	5.510	5.988
	2 545	6 4 1 6	7 885	8 982	9 690	9.658	9 092	7 884	9 594	10.872
	2,040	0,410	1,000	0,002	0,000	0,000	0,002	7,004	0,004	10,072
Total External Debt Payments	12,716	17,773	20,625	22,991	22,722	21,609	19,920		21,637	22,150
Daht Sarvica Limit										
Est Debt Service Limit (note 1)	63 085	64 979	66 027	68 035	71 002	73 132	75 307	77 597	70 015	82 212
Est Debt Service Limit (note 1)	50 369	47 205	46 561	47 306	48 012	50 411	53 718	57 667	58 278	60 162
	00,000	,200	10,001	,000	10,012	00,711	00,110	0,001	00,210	00,104

Note 1: The debt service limit is calculated as 0.25 times the revenue of the City (as defined in Alberta Regulations 375/94). Incurring debt beyond this limit requires approval by the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

В	-	7
---	---	---

City of Lethbridge

Internal Loan (Reserve Repayments) Including Borrowings Proposed in this Capital Improvement Program

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
			A	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	ls of dollars	6		
Taxation Supported										
Buildings & Facilities	3,176	4,346	4,908	5,038	5,095	4,258	3,722	3,707	3,705	3,692
Technology & Systems	791	361	187	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transportation & Parking	254	132	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vehicles	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44	44
	4,265	4,883	5,271	5,082	5,139	4,302	3,766	3,751	3,749	3,736
Self Supported										
Local Improvement	234	229	257	284	312	306	281	257	220	220
Land Development	1,749	556	556	556	556	556	556	556	556	556
	1,983	785	813	840	868	862	837	813	776	776
Utility Supported										
Collection	108	108	108							
Treatment	181	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	379	255	198							
		200	100							
Total Reserve Repayments	6,627	5,923	6,282	5,922	6,007	5,164	4,603	4,564	4,525	4,512

City	of	Le	eth	hb	ric	dge	
		_		_			

External Debt Balance

Including Borrowings Proposed in this Capital Improvement Program

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
			ŀ	All amounts	below are	in thousan	ds of dollar	S		
Taxation Supported		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Self Supported										
Local Improvement	366	272	174	119	97	74	50	25	-	-
Land Development	4,118	3,744	3,354	2,947	2,520	2,075	1,609	1,122	614	82
External Agencies	398	274	142	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4,882	4,290	3,670	3,066	2,617	2,149	1,659	1,147	614	82
Utility Supported										
Waste & Recycling Services		4					- 10	405		
Collection	2,244	1,907	1,556	1,193	925	646	543	435	322	2/6
Landini	19,585	17,307	14,625	19,363	19,032	16,146	13,857	11,434	9,396	7,954
	21,829	19,214	16,181	20,556	19,957	16,792	14,400	11,869	9,718	8,230
Wastewater										
Buildings	6,021	4,671	3,240	1,721	110	-	-	-	-	-
Collection	1,052	917	832	744	652	555	454	348	237	121
Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	650	1,210	968	712	440
Ireatment	4,622	5,689	4,278	5,693	6,728	9,934	7,395	5,302	7,434	4,943
	11,695	11,277	8,350	8,158	7,490	11,139	9,059	6,618	8,383	5,504
Water										
Buildings	9,273	8,480	7,638	6,745	6,035	5,361	4,821	4,368	3,887	3,377
Distribution	3,062	2,804	2,821	4,627	6,106	5,611	5,090	5,594	6,063	5,366
Equipment	-	-	230	189	771	1,265	1,746	1,511	1,317	1,112
Treatment	970	5,748	11,576	13,259	14,916	14,137	13,309	12,432	11,501	10,513
	13,305	17,032	22,265	24,820	27,828	26,374	24,966	23,905	22,768	20,368
Flectric										
Distribution	5,250	10,530	15,828	15,053	14,235	13,371	12,460	11,497	10,481	9,408
	5,250	10,530	15,828	15,053	14,235	13,371	12,460	11,497	10,481	9,408
Transportation	13 153	18 750	21 307	21 001	18 011	15 677	12 258	22 317	25.016	31 230
Water & Wastewater	34,705	33,210	31,639	29,989	38,739	46,240	43,761	44,980	45,859	54,512
	47 858	51 969	53.036	50,000	56 750	61 917	56 019	67 297	70.875	85 751
	,000	51,505	00,000	50,550	50,750	01,017	50,015	07,207	10,013	00,701
Total External Debt	104,819	119,330		122,643	128,877	131,742	118,563	122,839		129,343
Debt Limit										
Estimated Debt Limit (note 1)	378,513	389,868	401,564	413,611	426,019	438,800	451,964	465,523	479,489	493,874
Estimated Debt Limit remaining	273,694	275,556	282,234	290,968	297,142	307,058	333,401	343,190	356,650	364,531

Note 1: The debt limit is calculated as 1.5 times the revenue of the City (as defined in Alberta Regulations 375/94). Incurring debt beyond this limit requires approval by the Minister of Municipal Affairs.

City of Lethbridge

Internal Loan Balance

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
			А	II amounts	below are	in thousand	ds of dollars	3		
Taxation Supported										
Buildings & Facilities	23,105	26,118	26,282	34,690	32,768	30,382	28,401	26,321	24,124	21,812
Technology & Systems	507	176	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transportation & Parking	244	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vehicles	385	361	335	309	281	252	221	189	155	119
	24,241	26,780	26,617	34,999	33,049	30,634	28,622	26,510	24,279	21,931
Self Supported										
Local Improvement	1,225	1,255	1,263	1,247	1,205	1,169	1,159	974	814	645
Land Development	9,553	9,177	8,784	8,373	7,945	7,497	7,030	6,542	6,032	5,500
	10,778	10,432	10,047	9,620	9,150	8,666	8,189	7,516	6,846	6,145
Utility Supported Wastewater										
Collection	366	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Treatment	53	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	419	188	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Internal Loans	35,438	37,400	44,669	42,199	39,300	36,811	34,026		281,,0125	

INFRASTRUCTURE

TRANSPORTATION

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

2011-2020

City of Lethbridge Infrastructure - Transportation Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020 Projects Commencing in the First Three Years

		Project Costs						
Project Costs	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014-2020			
Infrastructure - Transportation			All amounts below an	e in thousands				
Transportation								
Maintain Safety								
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C - 5	700	525	550	1,750			
Intersection Improvements - Growth	C - 6	1,000	1,051	1,104	9,440			
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	1,000	1,051	1,104	9,440			
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps	C - 8	250	263	275	912			
Bikeways/Pathways along Roadways	C - 9	500	525	551	4,706			
		3,450	3,415	3,584	26,248			
Preserve Existing Infrastructure								
Arterial Rehab Program	C - 10	1,000	1,051	1,104	9,439			
Bridge Rehab Program	C - 11	1,315	319	293	6,853			
Community Lighting - Rehab	C - 12	300	316	332	2,841			
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	300	315	330	2,818			
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	190	200	210	1,802			
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	1,250	1,250	518	4,254			
		4,355	3,451	2,787	28,007			
Upgrade Existing System	C 16	0.500	9 500					
A 3rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	9,500 300	9,500	6 600				
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C 18	500	2 100	0,000				
Mayor Magrath Dr (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C 19		2,100		840			
Mayor Magrath Drive (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design	C - 19		7,000		040			
6th Avenue S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr S) Design	C - 20		315					
our Avenue 3 (Mayor Magrain Dr to Scenic Dr 3) Design		0.800	10.230	6 600	840			
Provide Access for Growth (Offsite Levy)		9,800	19,230	0,000	040			
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)	C - 31	3,000		2,200	5,825			
Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C - 32	3,000						
North Scenic Dr (Uplands Dr N to 62 Ave N)	C - 33			315	5,900			
44th Ave N (Scenic Dr N to 43rd St N) Design	C - 34			315				
Whoop Up Dr (Coalbanks Gate to 30th street)	C - 36		2,200					
	-	6,000	2,200	2,830	11,725			
	TOTAL PROJECTS	23,605	28,296	15,801	66,820			
Project Funding		.,						
Grant - AMIP		3,500	13,762	1,238				
Grant - Basic Capital		2,413	5,285	7,729	33,499			
Grant - Build Canada Fund		6,000						
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		1,149	1,212	551	4,706			
Grant - MSI		473	486	404	4,307			
Grant - Provincial Rail Program		750	750					
Offsite Levy		6,363	2,982	4,798	16.958			
Operating Budget		45	47	49	421			
PAYG - Transportation		869	1.422	1.033	7.579			
Prior Year Funding		1.893	.,	.,	1.550			
Reserve - Operating		150	150		.,			
Subdivision Surplus			2.200		(2.200)			
	TOTAL FUNDING	23.605	28.296	15.801	66,820			

Amended May 2012

City of Lethbridge Infrastructure - Transportation Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

	Proiect Costs											
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Project Costs						All amou	nts below a	re in thous	ands			
Infrastructure - Transportation												
Transportation Maintain Safety												
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C - 5	700	525	550	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	3,525
Intersection Improvements - Growth	C - 6	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps	C - 8	250	263	275	289	304	319					1,700
Bikeways/Pathways along Roadways	C - 9	500	525	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	6,282
Preserve Existing Infrastructure												
Arterial Rehab Program	C - 10	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,554	12,594
Bridge Rehab Program	C - 11	1,315	319	293	998	2,100	308	324	340	2,426	357	8,780
Community Lighting - Rehab	C - 12	300	316	332	349	367	385	404	424	445	467	3,789
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	300	315	330	346	363	381	401	421	442	464	3,763
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	190	200	210	221	232	244	256	269	283	297	2,402
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	1,250	1,250	518	540	563	585	608	630	653	676	7,272
Upgrade Existing System	C - 16	0 500	0.500									10.000
43rd St N (Hway 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 10	9,500	9,500	6 600								19,000
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C 18	300	2 100	6,600								6,900
Mover Magrath Dr. (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 18		2,100		0.40							2,100
Mayor Magrath Drive (2 Ave S to 2 Ave N) Design	C - 19		7,000		840							7,840
6th Avenue S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Seenie Dr S) Design	C - 20		315									315
5th Avenue N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Dr N) Design	C - 21		315		0.45							315
Interchanges Wheen Lip/Lip/versity Dr and Seenie Drive De	C - 22				345							345
12th Street N (Crowe Neet Trail to 26th Ave N) Design	C 24				460							460
University Dr. North of Doilyou Trocks to Llux 2 Upgrade Dec	C - 24				345							345
Transportation Master Plan Lindate	G 26					360		075				360
	C - 20						4 000	975				975
Whoop Op Dr (Michaster to Aquitania Bivd W.)	0 - 27						4,800					4,800
26th Aug North (21st to 42st North)	C - 28								7,290			7,290
20th Ave North (31st to 43st North)	C - 29										6,750	6,750
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										13,600	13,600
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)	C - 31	3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C - 32	3.000										3.000
North Scenic Dr (Uplands Dr N to 62 Ave N)	C - 33	.,		315						5.900		6.215
44th Ave N (Scenic Dr N to 43rd St N) Design	C - 34			315						-,		315
Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)	C - 35								640			640
Whoop Up Dr (Coalbanks Gate to 30th street)	C - 36		2 200						• • •			2 200
43rd St S (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5)	C - 37		2,200						6.383			6.383
το	TAL PROJECTS	23,605	28,296	15,801	9,038	8,797	14,868	7,913	24,276	15,577	28,300	176,470
Project Funding												
Developer Levies									150			150
Grant - AMIP		3.500	13.762	1.238								18.500
Grant - Basic Capital		2.413	5.285	7.729	5.151	5.141	6.160	5.059	7.634	5.814	12.588	62.973
Grant - Build Canada Fund		6.000	-,		-, -	- ,	-,	-,	,	- , -	,	6.000
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		1.149	1.212	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	7,618
Grant - MSI		473	486	404	498	830	458	481	505	982	553	5.670
Grant - Provincial Rail Program		750	750									1,500
Offsite Levy		6.363	2,982	4,798	3.021	621	5,893	386	12,833	6.326	10.623	53,846
Operating Budget		45	47	49	52	54	57	60	63	66	69	562
PAYG - Transportation		869	1 422	1 033	1 438	1 043	1 663	1 258	2 389	1 101	3 692	15 908
Prior Year Funding		1 893	.,	1,000	500	500	.,000	.,200	_,000	550	3,00Z	3 443
Reserve - Operating		150	150		550	000				550		300
Subdivision Surplus		100	2 200		(2 200)							0
		00.000	_,	4	(_,_50)	0.535	44.000				00.000	480.150
		23,605	28,296	15,801	9,038	8,797	14,868	7,913	24,276	15,577	28,300	1/6,470

C - 3 **City of Lethbridge** Infrastructure - Transportation Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

Net Operating Cost

	Project Estimated Operating Costs (All amounts below are in thousands)												
	Page	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Infrastructure - Transportation													
Transportation													
Preserve Existing Infrastructure													
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	35	42	48	51	53	56	59	62	65			
Upgrade Existing System													
North Scenic Dr (5th Ave N to 26th Ave N)	C - 16		88	93	97	102	72	112	118	124			
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17			88	91	98	103	108	113	118			
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		42	44	47	49	51	54	56	59			
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19					98	103	108	113	118			
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd.)	C - 27						71	75	88	82			
University Dr (Comm Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd	C - 28								173	181			
W)													
Provide Access for Growth (Offsite Levy)													
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)	C - 31	56	57	155	162	170	178	187	274	287			
Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C - 32	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	78	82			
North Scenic Dr (Uplands Dr N to 62 Ave N)	C - 33									124			
Whoop Up Dr (Coalbanks Gate to 30th street)	C - 36				65	68	71	75	78	82			
43rd St S (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5)	C - 37								157	165			
TOTAL O	PERATING COSTS	147	288	490	578	706	776	853	1,310	1,487			
Increase to taxation over prior year		147	141	202	88	128	70	77	457	177			
% Increase to taxation over prior year		0.16%	0.15%	0.21%	0.09%	0.12%	0.07%	0.07%	0.40%	0.15%			

	C-4	
Grant -	Basic	Capital
	Forecast	

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
-				A	Il amounts	below are in	n thousands	s of dollars				
Opening Balance		2,372	5,165	3,427	1,063	1,681	(1,310)	(1,861)	(1,228)	(3,084)	(3,032)	
Capital Requirements												
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C-5		(354)	(371)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(1,901)
Intersection Improvements - Growth	C-6	(712)	(748)	(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,107)	(8,968)
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	(713)	(749)	(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,107)	(8,970)
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps	C - 8	(178)	(188)	(196)	(206)	(216)	(227)					(1,211)
Arterial Rehab Program	C - 10			(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,106)	(7,507)
Bridge Rehab Program	C - 11		(197)	(207)	(334)	(1,121)	(218)	(229)	(240)	(1,320)	(252)	(4,118)
Community Lighting - Rehab	C - 12	(225)	(237)	(249)	(262)	(275)	(289)	(303)	(318)	(334)	(350)	(2,842)
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	(206)	(217)	(227)	(239)	(251)	(263)	(277)	(290)	(305)	(320)	(2,593)
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	(135)	(142)	(149)	(156)	(164)	(172)	(180)	(189)	(199)	(209)	(1,695)
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	(75)	(75)	(259)	(270)	(281)	(293)	(304)	(315)	(326)	(338)	(2,535)
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	(169)		(3,713)								(3,881)
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		(788)									(788)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19		(2,925)			(3,375)						(6,300)
Mayor Magrath Drive (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design	C - 20		(225)									(225)
6th Avenue S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic D S) Design	r C-21		(177)									(177)
5th Avenue N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Drive N) Design	C - 22				(194)							(194)
Interchanges - Whoop Up/University Dr and Scenic Drive Design	C - 23				(328)							(328)
13th Street N (Crows Nest Trail to 26th Ave N Design	I) C - 24				(194)							(194)
University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy Upgrade Design	3 C - 25					(68)						(68)
I ransportation Master Plan Update	C - 26							(731)				(731)
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd W	.) C - 27						(1,800)					(1,800)
University Dr (Community Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)	C - 28								(2,734)			(2,734)
26th Ave North (31st to 43st North)	C - 29										(2,531)	(2,531)
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										(5,100)	(5,100)
Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)	C - 35								(368)		,	(368)
		(2,413)	(7,022)	(7,729)	(4,826)	(8,516)	(6,160)	(5,059)	(7,634)	(5,814)	(12,588)	(67,760)
Additions:												
Grant Funding		5,206	5,284	5,364	5,444	5,526	5,608	5,693	5,778	5,865	5,953	55,721
J. J		5,206	5,284	5,364	5,444	5,526	5,608	5,693	5,778	5,865	5,953	55,721
Closing Balance		5,165	3,427	1,063	1,681	(1,310)	(1,861)	(1,228)	(3,084)	(3,032)	(9,667)	

PAYG - Transportation Forecast

				FUIE	idsi							
_	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	II amounts	below are ir	n thousands	of dollars				
Opening Balance		1,248	1,338	497	6	582	263	484	1,145	710	1,598	
Capital Requirements												
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C-5		(171)	(179)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(924)
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	(287)	(302)	(318)	(334)	(351)	(368)	(386)	(405)	(426)	(448)	(3,625)
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility	C - 8	(72)	(75)	(79)	(83)	(88)	(92)					(489)
Community Lighting - Rehab	C - 12	(75)	(79)	(83)	(87)	(92)	(96)	(101)	(106)	(111)	(117)	(947)
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	(94)	(98)	(103)	(108)	(113)	(118)	(124)	(131)	(138)	(145)	(1,170)
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(145)
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	(275)	(275)	(259)	(270)	(281)	(293)	(305)	(315)	(326)	(338)	(2,936)
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	(56)		(1,238)								(1,294)
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		(262)									(262)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19		(1,163)			(1,125)						(2,288)
Mayor Magrath Drive (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design	C - 20		(90)									(90)
6th Avenue S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr S) Design	C - 21		(59)									(59)
5th Avenue N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Drive N) Design	C - 22				(64)							(64)
Interchanges - Whoop Up/University Dr and Scenic Drive Design	C - 23				(132)							(132)
13th Street N (Crows Nest Trail to 26th Ave N) Design	C - 24				(65)							(65)
University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3 Upgrade Design	3 C - 25					(23)						(23)
Transportation Master Plan Update	C - 26							(244)				(244)
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd W.)) C - 27						(600)					(600)
University Dr (Community Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)	C - 28								(1,211)			(1,211)
26th Ave North (31st to 43st North)	C - 29										(844)	(844)
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										(1,700)	(1,700)
Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)	C - 35								(122)			(122)
		(869)	(2,585)	(2,270)	(1,238)	(2,168)	(1,663)	(1,258)	(2,389)	(1,101)	(3,692)	(19,233)
Additions:												
Current Year Funding		1,709	1,744	1,779	1,814	1,849	1,884	1,919	1,954	1,989	2,024	18,665
		1,709	1,744	1,779	1,814	1,849	1,884	1,919	1,954	1,989	2,024	18,665
Previously Approved Allocations:												
West Side Snow Site		(750)										(750)
		(750)										(750)
		4 000	407		200			4 4 4 5	240	4 500	(30)	
Closing Balance		1,338	497	6	582	263	484	1,145	710	1,598	(70)	



Project Title: Gravelled Roadway Upgrading



All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		650	472	494	225	225	225	225	225	225	225	3,191
		650	472	494	225	225	225	225	225	225	225	3,191
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		50	53	56	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	334
		50	53	56	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	334
Total Costs		700	525	550	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	3,525
- Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital			354	371	168	168	168	168	168	168	168	1,901
PAYG - Transportation			171	179	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	924
Prior Year Funding		700										700
-		700	525	550	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	3,525
Total Funding		700	525	550	250	250	250	250	250	250	250	3,525

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

C - 5



Capital Improvement Program



Project Title: Intersection Improvements - Growth

Dept - Project #: 32a Start Year: 2011	End Year:	Ongoing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpose 8	Justification
Intersection improvements (new traffic signal improvements, pedestrian accommodations, markings, replacmenet/refurbishment of traffi controllers, cabinets, signal heads, vehicle de addressing capacity requirements related to areas of the City.	s, geometric pavement ic signal etectors etc) growth in the new	These intermaintained Also, they road user of driver/neig	rsection improvements will ensure safety is and acceptable levels of service are restored. typically reduce traffic congestion, traffic delays, costs, travel times, vehicle emissions and hbourhood frustration.
The attached map identifies administrations e intersections that may be improved in the sho years).	estimate of ort term (3-5	Example o - level of s - volume to - pedestria - traffic cor	f analysis and warrants include; ervice (LOS) o capacity n safety ntrol devices
Intersections are reviewed on a case by case and type of intersection improvement is base analysis and recognised safety warrants.	e basis. The timing d on operational	- geometrie - etc. as identifie and the Ins	c standards ed by Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) stitute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).
The following intersections are identified for i 2011 to 2013: 13 St/26 Ave N Garry Drive/Squamish Blvd. 43 St./2 Avenue N 43 St./5 Avenue N University Dr./Highlands Blvd. W	mprovements in		

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Shareable													
Construction		875	919	965	1,013	1,064	1,117	1,173	1,232	1,294	1,359	11,011	
Consultive Services		75	79	83	87	91	96	101	106	111	117	946	
		950	998	1,048	1,100	1,155	1,213	1,274	1,338	1,405	1,476	11,957	
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Other		50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638	
		50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638	
Total Costs		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595	
- Funding													
Grant - Basic Capital		712	748	786	825	866	910	956	1,004	1,054	1,107	8,968	
Offsite Levies		288	303	318	334	351	368	386	405	426	448	3,627	
		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595	
Total Funding		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595	

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments





Project Title: Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth

Dept - Project #: 6a Start Year: 2011	End Year:	Ongoing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpos	se & Justification
Intersection improvements (new traffic signals, signal equipment upgrade, geometric improvements, pedes accommodation, pavement markings, etc) addressing and safety requirements.	l trian g capacity	These maintai Also, th road us driver/r	intersection improvements will ensure safety is ined and acceptable levels of service are restored. ney typically reduce traffic congestion, traffic delays, ser costs, travel times, vehicle emissions and neighbourhood frustration.
The attached map identifies administrations estimate intersections that may be improved in the short term years).	of (3-5	These benefit	types of improvements typically provide the highest -cost ratio of all capital roadway improvements. These ctions are not specifically related to growth but are
Intersections are reviewed on a case by case basis. and type of intersection improvement is based on operanalysis and recognized safety warrants.	The timing erational	safety i intersed and loc	mprovements which are required for arterial ctions; or safety/capacity improvements on collector al roadways.
The following intersections are identified for improver during 2011 to 2013: MMDr/5 Ave S	ments	Examp - level o - volum	le of analysis and warrants include; of service (LOS) ie to capacity
9 Ave/36th St N 23 St/9 Ave N 13 St/5 Ave N		- pedes - traffic - geom	strian safety control devices etric standards
13 St/6 Ave S 16 Ave/13 St S		- etc. as iden and the	tified by Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).
If Warranted in 2014-2020: MMDr/Scenic Dr S MMDr/32 Avenue S/Fairmont Blvd. S MMDr/34 Avenue S/South Gate Blvd. S			
All om	ounto holowy	·	nde of dollars

		AII	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		875	919	965	1,013	1,064	1,117	1,173	1,232	1,294	1,359	11,011
Consultive Services		75	79	83	87	91	96	101	106	111	117	946
		950	998	1,048	1,100	1,155	1,213	1,274	1,338	1,405	1,476	11,957
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638
		50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638
Total Costs		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		713	749	786	825	866	910	956	1,004	1,054	1,107	8,970
PAYG - Transportation		287	302	318	334	351	368	386	405	426	448	3,625
		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595
Total Funding		1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,555	12,595

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments





Project Title: Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps

Dept - Project #: 4 Start Year: 2011	End Year:	201	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location This program involves construction of mobility ramps intersections and handicap parking areas in the Dow which are not currently constructed to this standard. Approximately 25 intersections and 25 handicap part per year will be reconstructed for the next 6 years.	s. All intown area king stalls		Purpose & Justification There are approximately 400 intersections and 400 handicap parking stalls which were constructed prior to the current standards being implemented. Upgrading of these intersections and parking areas to comply with the existing standards will enhance accessibility and safety for people requiring the use of wheel chairs, walkers, strollers and carts
Note: The attached map shows the areas to be comp downtown, the hospital area and senior complexes.	pleted;		

		All	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		238	250	261	274	288	302					1,613
		238	250	261	274	288	302					1,613
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		13	13	14	15	16	17					88
		13	13	14	15	16	17					88
Total Cos	ts	250	263	275	289	304	319					1,700
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		178	188	196	206	216	227					1,211
PAYG - Transportation		72	75	79	83	88	92					489
		250	263	275	289	304	319					1,700
Total Fundir	ig	250	263	275	289	304	319					1,700

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

<u>Comments</u>





Project Title: Bikeways/Pathways along Roadways

Dept - Project #: 111 Start Year: 2011	End Year:	Ongo	oing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Ju	stification
The intent of this project is to implement new path bikeways within Public Road rights-of-ways identit Bikeways and Pathways Master Plan 2007.	ways and fied in the	 	There are a nu needed to com identified in the project will con	mber of cycling/pedestrian corridors that are plete existing corridors along roadways, as Parks Bikeway/Pathway Master Plan. This pplete missing links and develop new
Identified locations during 2011 to 2013 include: 13 Street bicycle lanes from 16 Ave South / 26 Av Pathway along Scenic Drive from 1 Ave S/5 Ave N Bike lanes on 10 Avenue S between MMDr/ 13 St	re N N S		pathway/bikew	ay routes along the existing roadways.
As Warranted from 2014 to 2020: Bike Lanes on 3 Ave S from MMDr/Scenic Dr S Bike Lanes on 9 Ave N between 13 St/Scenic Dr I	N			

			amounts	Delow a		isanus u	uonars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		425	446	468	491	516	542	569	597	627	658	5,339
Consultive Services		50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638
		475	499	524	550	578	607	637	668	702	737	5,977
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		25	26	27	28	29	30	32	34	36	38	305
		25	26	27	28	29	30	32	34	36	38	305
Total Costs		500	525	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	6,282
- Funding												
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		500	525	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	6,282
-		500	525	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	6,282
Total Funding		500	525	551	578	607	637	669	702	738	775	6,282

All amounts below are in thousands of dollar

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments





Major Program: Preserve Existing Infrastructure

Project Title: Arterial Rehab Program

Dept - Project #: 9a Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

This program targets rehabilitation of Arterial Roadways throughout the City of Lethbridge transportation network. Locations are targeted using the Municipal Pavement Management Application (MPMA). This system relies on a data base of condition assessments to determine roadway sections that require further evaluation by technical professions. Currently the analysis done by MPMA indicates that the arterial road system is in relatively good condition and that regular investments as indicated in this project should protect that investment. This "hands on" approach results in achieving the best value for our arterial rehabilitation budget.

The work typically involves milling a portion of the existing asphalt and replacing it with new or recycled asphalt. This typically extends the life of the pavement another 15 years. Note: Attached map shows entire arterial network. Purpose & Justification

Council's direction is to protect the investment the City has made in our assets. In order to protect a very large capital investment and provide safe roadway conditions to City residents, it is necessary to maintain the backbone of our transportation network; the arterial roadway system. Investing in the correct maintenance activity at the right time prevents further deterioration of the infrastructure - reducing the total life cycle costs (cost of building and maintaining over the life of an asset) of the asset. The City of Lethbridge's maintenance program includes crack sealing, applying "skin coats", and pot hole patching. This project funds a major rehabilitation of the pavement surface. For each \$1.00 invested at the optimal time in the pavement structures life cycle will result in future savings of \$3.00 to \$4.00. Operating expenses are 15% lower for vehicles driven on roads in good condition over those of vehicles operated on poorly maintained roadways.

There are presently 277 lane kilometers of arterial roads with an asset replacement value of \$310 million. Industry best practices indicate that on average, these roadways should be overlaid every 15 years and our proposed investment strategy with this project will allow this.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
-	Prior 2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Shareable													
Construction	950	998	1,048	1,100	1,155	1,213	1,274	1,338	1,405	1,475	11,956		
	950	998	1,048	1,100	1,155	1,213	1,274	1,338	1,405	1,475	11,956		
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Other	50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638		
-	50	53	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	79	638		
Total Costs	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,554	12,594		
– Funding													
Grant - Basic Capital			786	825	866	910	956	1,004	1,054	1,106	7,507		
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)	649	687									1,336		
Grant - MSI	351	364	318	334	351	368	386	405	426	448	3,751		
-	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,554	12,594		
Total Funding	1,000	1,051	1,104	1,159	1,217	1,278	1,342	1,409	1,480	1,554	12,594		
-													

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments
C - 10a



Major Program: Preserve Existing Infrastructure

Project Title: Bridge Rehab Program

Dept - Project #: 13a Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

in

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
This program targets rehabilitation of bridge and overpasses throughout the City of Lethbridge transportation network and urban parks systems. Locations are targeted using the Municipal Bridge Management System. This system relies on a data base of condition assessments to determine bridge structures that require further evaluation by technical professions.	Council's direction is to protect the investment the City has made in our assets. In order to protect a very large capital investment and provide safe conditions to City residents, it is necessary to maintain the bridges in our Transportation Network. Major/Minor Rehabilitation work has been identified, analyzed and prioritized based on condition/severity index using the Bridge Management System Software.
There are a total of 53 structures with a capital asset value worth over \$120 million. The average age of all bridge structures is 25 years and the average life span is 50 years.	Work programs generated from the software consist of a 10-year work plan for rehabilitation activities. This activity will ensure that rehabilitation work is done at the appropriate time to minimize life cycle costs and ensure the structural integrity
Note: The attached map shows all bridge and culvert structures.	of the structures. Currently the analysis done by the software and inspections indicate that the bridges and structures are in good condition and that identified investments as indicated in this project should protect our investment. Rehabilitation needs for 2011 to 2020 are based on 2008 and 2009 condition assessments.
	Rehabilitation work performed at the right time reduces costly

rehabilitation or replacement costs on a very large capital investment. It also significantly reduces the risk of catastrophic structural failures. All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Total Prior **Costs - Shareable** Construction 1,250 1,995 2,310 8,290 1,250 1,995 2,310 8,290 **Costs - Non-Shareable** Total Costs 1,315 2,100 2,426 8,780 Funding Grant - Basic Capital 1,121 1,320 4,118 Grant - MSI 1,919 Prior Year Funding 1,193 2,743 1,315 2,100 2,426 8,780 **Total Funding** 1,315 2,100 2,426 8,780

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

Other





Major Program: Preserve Existing Infrastructure

Project Title: Community Lighting - Rehab

Dept - Project #: 226 Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The primary objective is timely replacement of Street Light poles as they deteriorate, before they fail and increase City liability. A secondary objective is to install new street lights with energy efficient LED bulbs or other lower power consumption techniques.

In 2010 Transportation is initiating its condition assessment program, at the completion of this program we will know the existing condition and predict the remaining life cycle of the street light system and assist in our community lighting rehabilitation program. A regular street light inspection program will identify the annual funding levels required to maintain an acceptable condition.

Purpose & Justification

The only regular preventative maintenance presently conducted on the Street Lighting system is pole painting (\$40,000 annually) and replacing damaged street lights generally recovered through insurance or the Community Lighting program budget. Hit and runs are presently paid through the Street Light maintenance program through a transfer to Electric Operations. Power consumption and basic maintenance is paid for from Community Lighting program.

		AII	amounts	b below a		usanus u	u uullais					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		270	284	298	313	329	345	362	380	399	419	3,399
Consultive Services		30	32	34	36	38	40	42	44	46	48	390
		300	316	332	349	367	385	404	424	445	467	3,789
Total Co	osts	300	316	332	349	367	385	404	424	445	467	3,789
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		225	237	249	262	275	289	303	318	334	350	2,842
PAYG - Transportation		75	79	83	87	92	96	101	106	111	117	947
		300	316	332	349	367	385	404	424	445	467	3,789
Total Fund	dina	300	316	332	349	367	385	404	474	445	467	3 789

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



C - 12a





COMMUNITY LIGHTING REHAB

BEFORE



Major Program: Preserve Existing Infrastructure

Project Title: Traffic Signals Replacement

Dept - Project #: 230 Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing

Start Year: 2011	End Year:	On	ngoing	2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification	
Description & Location Timely replacement of Traffic Control Devices end of their service life. Replacements may in detectors, signal poles, traffic controllers, and The estimated cost to replace two signals per approximately \$150,000 per signal for a total of year.	as they reach the clude bases, cabinets etc. year at of \$300,000 per		Purpose & Justification A regular inspection progr requirements for Traffic S replacement requirements acceptable level of service signals and 20 pedestrian the steel components of tr with the inspections for the program).	ram will identify the maintenance ignals and determine the is to maintain a consistent and e. There are currently 120 traffic actuated flashers. Inspections for raffic signals will be initiated in 2010 e street lights (Community Lighting
	All amounts holow	aro ir	in thousands of dollars	

		AII	amounts	below a	re in thoi	usands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		275	289	303	318	334	351	369	387	406	426	3,458
		275	289	303	318	334	351	369	387	406	426	3,458
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		25	26	27	28	29	30	32	34	36	38	305
		25	26	27	28	29	30	32	34	36	38	305
Total Costs		300	315	330	346	363	381	401	421	442	464	3,763
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		206	217	227	239	251	263	277	290	305	320	2,593
PAYG - Transportation		94	98	103	108	113	118	124	131	138	145	1,170
-		300	315	330	346	363	381	401	421	442	464	3,763
Total Funding		300	315	330	346	363	381	401	421	442	464	3,763

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





TRAFFIC SIGNAL REPLACEMENT

BEFORE







Major Program: Preserve Existing Infrastructure

Project Title: Major Sidewalk Rehab Program

replacement sections. The program will provide for approximately two to three 1- block sections to be

Dept - Project #: 14a Start Year: 2011

rehabilitated/replaced annually.

Description & Location



Capital Improvement Program End Year: Ongoing 2011 to 2020 **Purpose & Justification** This program targets major rehabilitation of sidewalks In order to protect a very large capital investment and provide throughout the City of Lethbridge transportation network. a safe environment for City residents, it is necessary to Locations are targeted by the Sidewalk Inventory System. This maintain a safe sidewalk network particularly due to the high system relies on condition assessments to determine population of seniors in the city.

> There are presently 640 kilometers of sidewalks with an asset replacement value of \$70 million. On average sidewalks should be replaced every 30 years however many last 50years if properly maintained..

> Most sidewalk rehabilitation is funded by the operating budget. However, major rehabilitation projects which are about one block or more in size, are eligible to be cost-shared from the Basic Capital Grant Program.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
<u> </u>	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		180	189	198	208	218	229	240	252	265	278	2,257
-		180	189	198	208	218	229	240	252	265	278	2,257
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	145
-		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	145
Total Costs		190	200	210	221	232	244	256	269	283	297	2,402
- Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		135	142	149	156	164	172	180	189	199	209	1,695
Operating Budget Existing		45	47	49	52	54	57	60	63	66	69	562
PAYG - Transportation		10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	145
-		190	200	210	221	232	244	256	269	283	297	2,402
Total Funding		190	200	210	221	232	244	256	269	283	297	2,402

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Μ

Project Title: Railway Rehab Program

Dept - Project #: 248 Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

lajor	Progr	am: F	reserve	EXIS	sting	Infrastructure	
_							



These improvements will meet current regulations, reduce traffic delays, road user costs, and driver frustration.

towards the highest priority signalized rail to road crossing

improvements over the next two years.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		1,100	1,100	345	360	375	390	406	420	435	451	5,381
		1,100	1,100	345	360	375	390	406	420	435	451	5,381
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Construction		150	150	173	180	188	195	203	210	218	226	1,891
		150	150	173	180	188	195	203	210	218	226	1,891
Total Costs		1,250	1,250	518	540	563	585	608	630	653	676	7,272
- Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital		75	75	259	270	281	293	304	315	326	338	2,535
Grant - Provincial Rail Program		750	750									1,500
PAYG - Transportation		275	275	259	270	281	293	305	315	326	338	2,936
Reserve - Operating		150	150									300
		1,250	1,250	518	540	563	585	608	630	653	676	7,272
Total Funding		1,250	1,250	518	540	563	585	608	630	653	676	7,272
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		17	35	42	48	51	53	56	59	62	65	





Project Title: North Scenic Dr (5th Ave N to 26th Ave N)

Dept - Project #: 41			Canital Improvement Program
Start Year: 2009	End Year:	2012	2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpo	se & Justification
Scenic Drive North - construct 2 and 4 lane arte from 5th Avenue North to 26 Avenue N. This li the arterial loop around East Lethbridge.	erial roadways nk will complete	Increa gener develo anticip	sed traffic volumes have resulted from significant al community growth and commercial and residential opment in North Lethbridge. Significant development is pated to continue.
2010: Complete detailed Design from 9 Ave to	Stafford Dr N		
2011-2012: Construction of Stage-1 of the road to Stafford Dr N.	dway from 9 Ave	are in requir collec	creasing which suggest capacity improvements are ed. In addition, 9th Avenue North is a residential tor street and was never intended to carry significant
The preliminary design was completed in 2010 construction of 2 lanes of the ultimate 4 lane ar maintain/restore acceptable levels of service for roadways and complete the planned arterial loo Lethbridge. The construction of this arterial will service, enhance safety, reduce traffic congest delays, and road user costs.	and the terial will or adjacent op around East restore levels of ion, traffic	truck v conne tempo North. Street Ridge signifi north traffic	volumes. It was only designated to be a truck route action between Scenic Drive and Stafford Drive on a arrary basis until Scenic Drive was linked to 26th Avenue The section from Stafford Drive to 26th Avenue / 13th was constructed in 2000. Upland/Legacy /Hardieville Area Structure Plan has identified cant residential and commercial development potential of 26th Avenue North, which will generate additional on 9th Avenue North.
		When Staffo levels intend	Scenic Drive is completed, 9th Avenue North west of rd Drive will revert to a local/collector road and the of service will be improved to the level originally red.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		9,000	9,000									18,000
Consultive Services	850											850
Other	100											100
-	950	9,000	9,000									18,950
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other	50	500	500									1,050
	50	500	500									1,050
Total Costs	1,000	9,500	9,500									20,000
– Funding												
Grant - AMIP	1,000	3,500	9,500									14,000
Grant - Build Canada Fund		6,000										6,000
-	1,000	9,500	9,500									20,000
Total Funding	1,000	9,500	9,500									20,000
- Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	88	93	97	102	72	112	118	124	
Comments												

C - 16





Project Title: 43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)

Dept - Project #: 48 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2013



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

	201110 2020
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
43rd Street North preliminary design between Hwy 3 and 62 ave N and Stage-2 construction between Hwy 3 and 9 Ave N.	43rd Street North serves not only as a truck route arterial roadway but a major commuter route as well. This project will include new turn lanes, signalisation and construction of two
2011: Complete preliminary design preliminary design between Hwy 3 and 62 ave N.	additional lanes on 43rd Street North to develop the full 4-lane divided cross section for this arterial roadway.
2013: Twinning between Hwy 3 and 9 Ave N	Intersection improvements have been completed on 9 ave N in 2009 and improvements at 5 Ave and 2 Ave N are planned to be completed in 2010. These improvements will improve the traffic conditions until the twinning between Hwy 3 and 9 Ave N in 2013.
	 This busy industrial arterial has seen a significant increase in traffic, in particular trucks, due to continuing industrial and commercial growth in the northeast. Specific improvements will: Accommodate peak hour volumes of both transport trucks and people employed in the industrial park. Channel the various users to accommodate the type of vehicle and destination. Provide better site lines. Accommodate large truck turning volumes. Reduce queuing of vehicles near the CPR track crossing.
	These improvements will restore levels of service and improve safety on this major arterial. Traffic congestion and delays will be reduced. There will be a reduction in road user costs, travel times, vehicle emissions, driver frustration and vehicle conflicts.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction				5,170								5,170
Consultive Services		285		1,100								1,385
		285		6,270								6,555
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other		15		330								345
_		15		330								345
Total Costs		300		6,600								6,900
Funding												
Grant - AMIP				1,238								1,238
Grant - Basic Capital		169		3,713								3,881
Offsite Levies		75		1,650								1,725
PAYG - Transportation		56										56
		300		6,600								6,900
Total Funding		300		6,600								6,900
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	88	91	98	103	108	113	118	
<u>Comments</u>												



be much lower throw away costs with the ultimate four lane

roadway vs interim signals.

Project Title: 26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)

Dept - Project #: 110 Capital Improvement Program Start Year: 2010 End Year: 2012 2011 to 2020 Description & Location Purpose & Justification 26th Avenue is a major east\west arterial roadway in north 26th Avenue North construction from a 2 lane to a 4 lane arterial roadway from Scenic Drive to 28th Street North. Lethbridge and increased traffic volumes have resulted from significant general community growth in and commercial and 2012: Complete design and construction of a 4 lane arterial residential developments in North Lethbridge. roadway from west of 28 Street (existing four lanes) to west of Significant commercial developments are anticipated to 23 Street. By four laning of this roadway at this time there will continue in Sherring attracting increased traffic volumes.

> The construction of these additional lanes and intersection improvements will restore levels of service, enhance safety and reduce traffic congestion, traffic delays, vehicle emissions and driver frustration.

> These improvements have been deferred by up to six years to allow a more moderate congestion rate and encourage alternate transportation.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars	s below are in thousands of dollars
---	-------------------------------------

Costs - Shareable Construction Consultive Services				1 500									
Construction Consultive Services				1 500									
Consultive Services				1,500									1,500
Costs - Non-Shareable		485		300									785
Costs - Non-Shareable		485		1,800									2,285
GST		15											15
Landscaping				300									300
	_	15		300									315
Tota	al Costs	500		2,100									2,600
Funding													
Grant - Basic Capital		188		788									976
Offsite Levies		250		1,050									1,300
PAYG - Transportation		62		262									324
	_	500		2,100									2,600
Total F	unding	500		2,100									2,600
Estimated Debt Charges			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Cos	sts		0	0	42	44	47	49	51	54	56	59	





C - 18a

Project Title: Mayor Magrath Dr (40th Ave S to City Limit)

Dept - Project #: 29 Start Year: 2012

End Year: 2014

7,640



Capital Improvement Program . 2011 to 2020

Description & Location					Pur	oose & J	ustificati	on				
Mayor Magrath Drive South ex Six Mile coulee with extended and roadway construction to up lane arterial roadway from 40th limits.	As o Sou gen incre be r	As commercial and residential development occurs in Southeast Lethbridge and industrial and passenger traffic generated from the Lethbridge County Airport continue to increase, roadway capacity improvements are anticipated to be required.										
 2012: Detailed Design and construction of the ultimate four lane arterial roadway. This will involve widening the embankment across the Six Mile Coulee to accommodate two additional traffic lanes and a pathway as well as address some of the stormwater management and erosion concerns adjacent to Six Mile Coulee and Southridge. This improvement will accommodate an access into Prairie Arbour Estates Subdivision. Four lanes will be built to the City limits, and taper back to two lanes outside of the City. 2014: Engineering and construction of the top lift of asphalt on all four lanes, installation of permanent line markings and landscaping. 						viding alte aggement rath Driv roved em I Street S rovement vide a cor er and exi improve lired to p cles to en entrance eloped to reconstru ance leve	ernate ac t strategy e and Un ergency s South is a t to Mayou nection of it Southea ment of M rovide a c nter and e t to the C connect uction of els of serv avel time	cess is a to relieve iversity E service ro planned r Magrath of sufficie ast Lethb Mayor Ma connectic exit South ity from H with Hwy this road vice, redu s, vehicle	n importa e future p Drive, as v butes. Th alternate n Drive wi nt capaci ridge. agrath Dri n of suffic heast Let Hwy 5 unt v 4. will provi uce traffic e emissio	nt traffic ressures well as pri- access a ill be requ ity for vel ve will al- cient cap hbridge a til 43 Stree de neede congesti ns and d	s on Mayo rovide uction of and this uired to hicles to so be pacity for and is the eet is ed acces ion, road river	or S,
	re in thou	usands o	f dollars									
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction			6,100		580							6,680
Consultive Services			900		60							960

Costs	-	Non-Shareable	

Costs - Non-Shareable											
Other				200							200
				200							200
Total Costs		7,000		840							7,840
Funding											
Grant - AMIP		4,262									4,262
Grant - Basic Capital		1,188		325							1,513
Offsite Levies		1,550		315							1,865
PAYG - Transportation				200							200
		7,000		840							7,840
Total Funding		7,000		840							7,840
Estimated Debt Charges	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs	0	0	90	92	95	98	103	108	113	118	
<u>Comments</u>											

640

7,000



Dept - Project #: 249

Project Title: Mayor Magrath Drive (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design



Capital Improvement Program

End Year:	2012	2011 to 2020
	Pur	pose & Justification
ction of roadway ntersection	May con Leti 3 A spe des and con	yor Magrath Drive is a major north-south arterial necting the residential and the industrial areas of north hbridge with South Lethbridge. The existing road between ve S and 3 Ave N has major congestion and safety issues, refically at MMD and 2 Avenue North. The preliminary ign will identify the improvements needed to this roadway I pathway, and provide cost estimates for future struction.
	Wit proj Cor the resi red	h the upgrade of 28 Street N along with 5 Avenue N, this ject will provide a high quality arterial from 26 Ave N to the nmercial areas in South Lethbridge. The construction of se additional lanes and intersection improvements will tore levels of service, enhance safety, and overall uction in traffic congestion, delays, and vehicle emissions.
	ction of roadway ntersection	ction of roadway ntersection 3 A spe des and con Wit pro Cor the: rest red

	All	amounts	below a	re in thoi	usands o	t dollars					
Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
		300									300
		300									300
		15									15
		15									15
		315									315
		225									225
		90									90
		315									315
		315									315
	Prior	Prior 2011	All allocations Prior 2011 2012 300 300 315 315	Air aniounits berow a Prior 2011 2012 2013 300 300 15 15 315 225 90 315 315	An anounts below are in tho Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 300 300 15 15 15 315 225 90 315 315 315 315	Air amounts below are in thousands of Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 300 315	Air aniounits below are in modsands of donars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 300 300 300 300 300 300 300 15 15 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315 315	Air amounts below are in thousants of donars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 300 315	Air aniodinis below are in titodisands of donars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 300 300 300	Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 300 300 300	Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 300 300 300



Dept - Project #: 250

Proiect Title:	6th Avenue S	(Mayor Ma	agrath Dr to	Scenic Dr S	S) Design
1 10,000 1100.	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(.g		-, <u>-</u>



Capital Improvement Program

Start Year: 2012	End Year:	2012		2011 t	o 2020	gram						
Description & Location		Pur	oose & Justification	<u>1</u>								
6 Avenue S upgrade between Scenic Drive Magrath Dr S.	e S and Mayor	6th / betw Who	6th Avenue S is an important arterial providing connection between the West Lethbridge to the rest of the City via the Whoop Up Dr river crossing. With City Council deciding to									
2012: Complete preliminary design from S Magrath Drive S.	cenic Dr S to Mayor	dela cono third	y the third river cros gestion issues will ar river crossing on O	sing, it is expective rise on 6th Ave Idman River.	ted that n S in the a	najor bsence of	fa					
		Prel strat	iminary design inforr egic road network p	mation is neede lanning.	d to for th	ie overall						
	All amounts below	are in thou	sands of dollars									
Prior	2011 2012 2013	2014	2015 2016	2017 2018	2019	2020	Total					

	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services				299									299
Other				16									16
	_			315									315
	Total Costs			315									315
Funding	-												
Grant - Basic Capital				177									177
Offsite Levies				79									79
PAYG - Transportation				59									59
	_			315									315
т	otal Funding			315									315
Estimated Debt Charges	s												

Projected Net Operating Costs



C - 22



Project Title: 5th Avenue N (Stafford	Dr to Mayor Magrati	h Drive N) Design	Leinoriage
Dept - Project #: 252 Start Year: 2014	End Year:	2014	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location 5th Avenue N upgrade between Stafford Dr to Drive N. 2014: Complete preliminary design from Staffor Magrath Drive N.	Mayor Magrath ord Dr to Mayor	Purpose & Ju 5th Avenue N north side resi Mayor Magrati expected that Preliminary de process in the	is an important arterial providing access to the idential areas with Scenic drive, Stafford Dr. h Drive and 13 Street. With growth in traffic, it is 5 Ave N will need upgrades. esign information is needed the overall planning City.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services						328							328
Other	_					17							17
						345							345
	Total Costs					345							345
Funding	-												
Grant - Basic Capital						194							194
Offsite Levies						86							86
PAYG - Transportation	_					64							64
	_					345							345
	Total Funding					345							345

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Major Program: Upgrade Existing System



C - 23

Major Program: Upgrade Existing System

Dept - Project #: 253

Project Title: Interchanges - Whoop Up/University Dr and Scenic Drive Design



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Start Year: 2014	End Year:	2014	2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
Whoop Up/University Dr and Whoop Up/Scenic Drive Interchanges Upgrade			With the growth in traffic on Whoop Up Drive river crossing, it is expected that major capacity issues will arise on the two interchanges at the east and west end of the river crossing.
2014: Complete preliminary design of the interchange improvements.			With growth in traffic, these two interchanges will need upgrades to improve capacity and level of service.
			Preliminary design information is needed for the overall planning process in the City.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Consultive Services					437							437
					437							437
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other					23							23
					23							23
Total Costs					460							460
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital					328							328
PAYG - Transportation					132							132
					460							460
Total Funding					460							460
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: 13th Street N (Crows Nest Trail to 26th Ave N) Design

C - 24



Dept - Project #: 251 Start Year: 2014	End Year:	2014	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpose &	Lustification
13th Street N between Crows Nest Trail to 2014: Complete preliminary design from C	26th Ave N. Crows Nest Trail to 26	13th Stree the reside With grow	t N is an important north-south Arterial. It connects ntial areas in north with Downtown and Hospital. th in traffic, it is expected that 13 St N will need
Avenue N.			
		Preliminar planning p	y design information is needed for the overall rocess in the City.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services						327							327
Other						18							18
						345							345
	Total Costs					345							345
Funding	-												
Grant - Basic Capital						194							194
Offsite Levies						86							86
PAYG - Transportation						65							65
	_					345							345
	Total Funding					345							345

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



C - 25



Major Program:	Upgrade Existing	l System
----------------	------------------	----------

Project Title: University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3 Upgrade Design

Dept - Project #: 254 Start Year: 2015	End Year:	2015	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location University Drive between North of Railway T Upgrade. 2015: Complete preliminary design for the to North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3	Tracks to Hwy 3 winning between	Pur Wit the a 3 Uni nee rails Pre pro	pose & Justification In the overall growth in west Lethbridge, particularly with new ASP for the employment areas and in the absence of rd river crossing it is expected that traffic will increase on versity Drive. With growth in traffic, the University Dr will ed its capacity to be increased by twinning north of the way tracks up to Hwy 3. Iliminary design information is needed the overall planning cess in the City.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services							342						342
Other							18						18
	_						360						360
	Total Costs						360						360
Funding	-												
Grant - Basic Capital							68						68
Offsite Levies							270						270
PAYG - Transportation							23						23
	_						360						360
	Total Funding						360						360

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: Transportation Master Plan Update

Dept - Project #: 16 Start Year: 2017





Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location Purpose & Justification The City is required by Legislation (Cities Transportation Act) Retain planning and transportation consultants to update the 2010 Transportation Master Plan in 2017. The transportation to have a Transportation Master Plan. It is also a master plan of 2010 includes transit and transportation policies requirement to obtain provincial transportation grants. components and the TMP update in 2017 will review plan implementation for the roadway, transit and policy components There is a need to regularly update the Transportation Master of the 2010 TMP and provide direction for future. Plan (TMP) to confirm the long-term vision of the City's major roadway network and confirm future transportation needs and improvements. The TMP is a key component to establishing and revising the Capital Improvement Programs. Updates to the Master Plan will ensure the City's roadway network, transit and transportation policies remain up to date, efficient and cost effective, and community funds are invested to ensure the greatest return. The TMP of 2010 will be an intergraded plan for all modes (roads, rail, pedestrian facilities & transit) of transportation that will ensure an efficient and cost effective multi-modal transportation system. Its update in 2017 will ensure a continuity in this new vision of sustainablity in the transportation network in the City.

018 2019 2020 T
9
:
(



Project Title:	Whoop Up	Dr (McMaster	to Aquitania	Blvd W.)
----------------	----------	--------------	--------------	----------



		All	amounts	below a		isanus u	ruonars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction							3,900					3,900
Consultive Services							600					600
							4,500					4,500
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Dther							300					300
							300					300
Total Costs							4,800					4,800
- Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital							1,800					1,800
Offsite Levies							2,400					2,400
PAYG - Transportation							600					600
							4,800					4,800
Total Funding							4,800					4,800
- 		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		-	0	0	0	0	0	71	75	20	82	

C - 27





Project Title:	University Dr (Community Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)
----------------	---



Dept - Project #: 106 Start Year: 2018	End Year:	201	8 Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
University Drive construction from a 2 lane to 4 roadway from the Community Stadium to Sun I 2018: Complete detailed design and construct arterial - the project is delayed from its original due to intersection improvements on University Mountain Dr and University Dr/MacLeod Drive	l lane arterial Ridge Blvd W. ion of a 4 lane schedule (2014) / Dr/Rocky W.		University Drive is a major north/south arterial roadway in West Lethbridge and increased traffic volumes have resulted from significant general community growth in Riverstone, Mountain Heights, Sun Ridge and the Canyons. The construction of these additional lanes and intersection improvements will restore levels of service, enhance safety and reduce traffic congestion, traffic delays, vehicle emissions and driver frustration.

- - --

		AII	amounts	below a	re in thou	isanus o	i uoliars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction									5,800			5,800
Consultive Services									1,115			1,115
									6,915			6,915
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other									375			375
									375			375
Total Costs									7,290			7,290
- Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital									2,734			2,734
Offsite Levies									3,345			3,345
PAYG - Transportation									1,211			1,211
-									7,290			7,290
Total Funding									7,290			7,290
- Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	173	181	

C - 28


Major Program: Upgrade Existing System

Project Title: 26th Ave North (31st to 43st North)

Dept - Project #: 108 Start Year: 2020



Capital Improvement Program

Start Year: 2020)		End	l Year:		2011 to 2020							
Description & Location					!	Purpo	se & Ji	ustificati	on				
26th Avenue North constr roadway from 31st Street 2020: Complete detailed o	uction from 2 to 43rd street design and cc	lane to 4 la North.	ane arteri of a 4 lar	al 1e	2 ! !	26th Avenue is a major east-west arterial roadway in north Lethbridge and increased traffic volumes have resulted from significant general community growth and commercial and residential development in North Lethbridge.							
arterial roadway.	-				i v	Signific in She volume	cant co rring ar es.	mmercial	l develop 26 Ave a	ment is a ttracting i	inticipate	d to cont d traffic	inue
					 	The co improv and re emissi	instruct rements duce tra ons an	ion of the s will rest affic conç d driver f	ese additi ore level: gestion, to rustratior	onal lane s of servi raffic dela ı.	es and int ce, enhai ays, vehic	tersection nce safet cle	n ty
					 	This pr accour also to moder	oject h nt for sl encou ate am	as been o ower that rage alte ounts of	delayed/o n anticipa rnative tr congestic	deferred l ated deve ansporta on.	by two ye lopment tion by cr	ears to activity a reating	and
						<u>.</u>							
		All	amounts	below a	re in t	thousa	ands of	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	201	14	<u>2015</u>	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction												5,850	5,850
Consultive Services												600 6 450	600
Costs - Non-Shareable												0,-00	0,+00
Other												300	300
												300	300
Total	Costs											6,750	6,750
Funding	_												
Grant - Basic Capital												2,531	2,531
Offsite Levies												3,375	3,375
PAYG - Transportation												844	844
T.4.1 F												6,750	6,750
I otal Fi	unding											6,750	6,750
Estimated Debt Charges Projected Net Operating Cos	.ts												
Comments													



C - 29a

Major Program: Upgrade Existing System

Project Title: 28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)

Dept - Project #: 38 Start Year: 2008

End Year:



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
28th Street North - Upgrade from 2 lane to 4 lane arterial from MMD to 5th Avenue North to 26th Avenue North. Includes; intersection upgrades on 28th Street (excluding 26 Ave N), major intersection upgrades at MMD and 5th Ave North (dual free flow lanes from northbound MMD to eastbound 5th Ave, 5th Ave North and 28th street (dual left turn lanes from eastbound 5th to northbound 28th street, property acquisition, sound attenuation (if required), and landscaping.	28th Street North is planned as an Arterial roadway carrying major north\south arterial traffic. The intent of this project is to plan, design and construct a route which will handle increased volumes of traffic generated by Sherring commercial and industrial development as well as residential traffic from Uplands and Blackwolf.
2008: Complete detailed design.	
2010 to 2011: Complete Stage 1 construction of a 4 lane arterial roadway from MMD to 26th ave N.	
2020: Completed Stage 2, detailed design and construction of a 4 lane arterial from 5th Ave to 18th Ave N	

		AII	amounts	below a	re in thou	isanus o	ruonars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction	7,500										11,300	18,800
Consultive Services	1,276										1,300	2,576
Property Acquisition	1,200											1,200
	9,976										12,600	22,576
Costs - Non-Shareable												
GST	324											324
Landscaping	500											500
Other											1,000	1,000
	824										1,000	1,824
Total Costs	10,800										13,600	24,400
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital	4,050										5,100	9,150
Offsite Levies	5,400										6,800	12,200
PAYG - Transportation	1,350										1,700	3,050
	10,800										13,600	24,400
Total Funding	10,800										13,600	24,400
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

C - 30



Project Title: Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)

Dept - Project #: 105 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2018

Capital Improvement Program

Description & Location

Construct the first two lanes of Metis Trail (formerly Benton Drive) from Simon Fraser to Garry Dr W.

2011 : Detail design and construct the first 2 lanes of the ultimate 4-lane arterial from Whoop Up Drive to Temple Blvd. This is to accommodate traffic from Copperwood (via. Coalbanks Link) and Varsity Village (via. Temple Blvd).

2013: Detail design and construct the first 2 lanes of the ultimate 4-lane arterial from Simon Fraser to Temple to connect with the roadway built in 2011. This would be development driven and depends on the Copperwood Phase 2 development. The Copperwood Phase 2 Outline Plan is currently underway and this would be their primary point of access.

2016: Detail design and construction of the first 2 lanes of the ultimate 4-lane arterial from Whoop Up Drive to Caledonia Blvd. This section would be necessary when the residential development occurs in the area north of Caledonia Blvd. causing increases in traffic volumes to require a second access into the Crossings.

2018: Detail design and construction of the first 2 lanes of the ultimate 4-lane arterial from Jerry Potts Blvd to Garry Drive. This section would be constructed to accommodate increase traffic volumes in Indian Battle Heights area as well as provide an second access for Garry Station. The need would be development driven. If this section of roadway is constructed the City would extend Jerry Potts Blvd to connect with Metis Trail (with its own funding source).

Purpose & Justification

Metis Trail will become a major north-south arterial roadway (similar to University Drive) and will provide the necessary access to the proposed developments west of Metis Trail as well as assisting with traffic calming in existing West Lethbridge neighbourhoods and reduce traffic demands on University Drive.

The construction of this road will provide needed access to Copperwood (via. Coalbanks Link) and the Crossing's subdivision via. Caledonia Blvd.) and restore levels of service. It will reduce traffic congestion, road user costs, travel times, vehicle emissions and driver frustration.

At present there are over 500 vehicles per day using the existing gravel road on Metis Trail from Temple Blvd. to Whoop Up Drive. This is expected to increase further with growth of Copperwood regardless if the roadway is upgraded. Once this roadway is upgraded Copperwood would have a second access to the subdivision, Varsity Village would have alternate access and City Transit may use this route for a Transit route. We presently have funding for the construction of Coalbanks Link (collected from the developer) to extend this roadway to connect with Metis Trail.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		2,600		1,900			2,725		2,350			9,575
Consultive Services		400		300			400		350			1,450
-		3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
Total Costs		3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
Funding												
Offsite Levies		3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
		3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
Total Funding		3,000		2,200			3,125		2,700			11,025
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	56	57	155	162	170	178	187	274	287	
<u>Comments</u>												



2011 to 2020



Project Title: Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)

Dept - Project #: 37 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2011

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

		2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpose & Justification
Garry Drive extension from existing to 600m west of	Metis Trail	Development west of Metis Trial has began and further development is anticipated. This arterial will provide the
2011: Complete detail design and construct the first the ultimate 4 lane arterial roadway	2 lanes of	access required for these developments to start and continue to grow as required by development which is 100% offsite levy funded. This project does not have an ASP in place so
This project was previously approved but delayed un by development.	ntil required	the construction would be development driver an ASP is endorsed by City Council. The construction of the roadway is also dependant on establishing water and sewer servicing in the area.
All ar	nounts below are i	in thousands of dollars
Prior 2011	2012 2013 2	2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 Total
Costs - Shareable		
Construction 2 700		2 700

-	FIIUI	2011	2012	2013	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		2,700										2,700
Consultive Services		300										300
		3,000										3,000
Total Costs		3,000										3,000
Funding												
Offsite Levies		3,000										3,000
		3,000										3,000
Total Funding		3,000										3,000
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Casts		0	56	59	62	65	68	71	75	78	82	

Crry of Lethbridge CAPITAL **IMPROVEMENT** PROJECT GARRY DRIVE WEST West of Squamish Blvd to 400m W. of Metis Tr HIGHWAY 3 AVE E

2,000

500 1,000

0

3,000

4,000

Meters

Legend

Road Network Upgrades

---- Future Roadways (2011 - 2020)

C - 33

Major Program: Provide Access for Growth (Offsite Levy)

Project Title: North Scenic Dr (Uplands Dr N to 62 Ave N)



Dept - Project #: 50 Start Year: 2013	End Year:	2019	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpo	se & Justification
North Scenic Drive extension from Uplands North	Blvd to 62 Ave	Uplano identifi potenti	d/Legacy Ridge/Hardieville Area Structure Plan has ed significant residential and commercial development al north of 26th Avenue North.
2013: Complete preliminary design from Up	ands Blvd to 62nd		
Ave North		Constr needeo	uction of North Scenic Drive will provide access d for new growth areas as well as access to the future
2019: Uplands Blvd to 44th Ave North - Cor design and construction of 2 lanes of the ult	nplete detailed timate 4 Iane	realign	ed Trade/National Highway north of the City.
arterial.		Counc	il has approved new Cemetery location northwest of 44
		Ave N/	Scenic Dr N. The upgrades to this roadway will provide

Preliminary design information is needed to allow planning of developments to proceed in a timely manner.

access to the Cemetery.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction										4,500		4,500
Consultive Services				315						1,100		1,415
_				315						5,600		5,915
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other										300		300
_										300		300
Total Costs				315						5,900		6,215
 Funding												
Offsite Levies				315						5,900		6,215
=				315						5,900		6,215
Total Funding				315						5,900		6,215
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	
<u>Comments</u>												



Project Title: 44th Ave N (Scenic Dr N to 43rd St N) Design



Dept - Project #: 49a			Capital Improvement Dragram
Start Year: 2013	End Year:	201	2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
44th Avenue North preliminary design from So (Scenic Drive) to 43rd Street N.	cenic Dr. North		Upland/Legacy Ridge/Hardieville Area Structure Plan has identified significant residential and commercial development potential north of 26th Avenue North. Industrial and
2013: Preliminary Design from Scenic Dr. N to	o 43rd street N.		Commercial Development is also identified in the Sherring Area Structure Plan.
			Construction of 44th Avenue North will provide access needed for new growth areas.
			Functional and preliminary design information is needed to allow planning of developments to proceed.

		All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Consultive Services				315								315
				315								315
Total Costs				315								315
Funding												
Offsite Levies				315								315
				315								315
Total Funding				315								315
Estimated Debt Charges												
Projected Net Operating Costs												
Comments												

C - 34a



Project Title: Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)



Dept - Project #: 39 Capital Improvement Program 2018 Start Year: 2018 End Year: 2011 to 2020 Description & Location **Purpose & Justification** Jerry Potts Blvd extension from Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail. Jerry Potts Blvd connects Red Crow Blvd to future Metis Trail. This would coincide with the extension of Metis Trail from Jerry The construction of this roadway was deferred within the Potts to Garry Drive. development agreements since there was no need or value to constructing the road during development. It only begins to serve its function when Metis Trail is connected. Therefore 2018: Complete detailed design and construct a collector the construction has been timed to coincide with the roadway. construction of Metis Trail. Funding for this improvement has already been collected from the developer for this road construction. This will allow new growth areas to be established West of Metis Trail, assist with traffic calming within neighbourhoods in West Lethbridge and reduce traffic demands on University Drive and other internal roadways such as Red Crow and Squamish Blvd. The construction of this arterial, as well as Garry Drive, was part of the recommended long term solution to improve levels of service on Squamish Blvd. The construction of this roadway, as well as Benton Drive between Jerry Potts Blvd and Garry Drive was part of the recommended long term solution to address traffic issues on Squamish Blvd.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction									600			600
Consultive Services									20			20
									620			620
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Other									20			20
									20			20
Total Cos	sts								640			640
Funding												
Developer Levies									150			150
Grant - Basic Capital									368			368
PAYG - Transportation									122			122
									640			640
Total Fundir	ng								640			640

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

C - 35



Amended April 30, 2012

Major Program: Provide Access for Growth (Offsite Project Title: Whoop Up Dr (Coalbanks Gate to 30 Dept - Project #: 36a	Capita	S. Lethbridge	
Start Year: 2012 End	d Year: 201	2	2011 to 2020
Description & Location Whoop Up Drive extension from Coalbanks Gate to 30th S 2012: Detail design and construction of the first 2 lanes of ultimate 4 lane arterial. This project was previously approved and was delayed unt required by development. The original approved CIP project was to be constructed in 2014. However due to the requirement to alleviate traffic congestion generated by the schools, library and residence Copperwood and to support the construction of the Twin Ic project, it is proposed that this project be advanced to 2012	Street. the til es in ce 2.	Purpose & Justification This roadway extension will pro West Lethbridge Community C development to the west. This is Separate High Schools, a Publ and Community Health facilities Growth in West Lethbridge is re to the arterial roadway network areas to be established West o west. Opening commercial and West Lethbridge will delay the third river crossing.	evide necessary access to the entre, Copperwood and further site will include both Public and ic Library, Sports Facilities s. eaching buildout with respect . This will allow new growth f Metis Trail to 30th street d business growth areas in need for the construction a

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction			2,000									2,000
Consultive Services			200									200
			2,200									2,200
Total Costs			2,200									2,200
Funding												
Offsite Levies					2,200							2,200
Subdivision Surplus			2,200		-2,200							
			2,200									2,200
Total Funding			2,200									2,200
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	65	68	71	75	78	82	82	82	
Comments												



Project Title: 43rd St S (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5)

Dept - Project #: 47 Start Year: 2010

End Year: 2018



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
43rd Street South will need to be extended from Highway 4 to Highway 5 as development proceeds in Southeast Lethbridge.	This arterial roadway link was identified in the Southeast Urbanization Plan adopted by Council. The development contemplated in the Southeast Lethbridge Urbanization Plan
2010: Preliminary design from Highway 4 (24th Ave S) to Highway 5 (previously approved)	will require preliminary and detailed design for construction of 43rd Street South which will provide the future buildout access needed for new growth areas such as the currently
2018: 24th Ave to 40th Ave South - Complete detailed design and construct the first 2 lanes of the ultimate 4 lane arterial.	ongoing ASP of Clearview.
	Providing this alternate access is an important traffic management strategy to relieve future pressures on Mayor Magrath Drive and improved emergency service routes. The construction of this road will provide needed access and restore levels of service. There will be an overall reduction in traffic congestion, road user costs, travel times, vehicle emissions and driver frustration in the road network in South Lethbridge.

		All	amounts	s below a	re in thou	usands o	t dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction									4,787			4,787
Consultive Services	800								1,276			2,076
	800								6,063			6,863
Costs - Non-Shareable												
GST	25											25
Landscaping									320			320
	25								320			345
Total Costs	825								6,383			7,208
Funding												
Grant - Basic Capital	309											309
Offsite Levies	412								6,383			6,795
PAYG - Transportation	104											104
	825								6,383			7,208
Total Funding	825								6,383			7,208
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	157	165	



C - 37a

COMMUNITY

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

2011-2020

D - 1 City of Lethbridge

Community

Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020 Projects

Commencing in the First Three Years

ect Costs			Proje	ct Costs	
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014-2020
Project Costs			All amounts below a	re in thousands	
Community					
Sustain City Services					
Previously Approved Projects					
Community Arts Centre	D - 5	4,282	10,272		
Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre Expansion and Renovation	D - 6	328			
Public Operations Building	D - 7	1,810			
Access-A-Ride Fleet Replacement	D - 8		660	220	1,586
Transit Fleet Replacement	D - 9	3,350		3,350	6,332
ENMAX Centre	D - 10	12,352			
		22,122	10,932	3,570	7,918
New Sustaining Projects	-				
Cemetery Services Master Plan & New Cemetery Site	D - 11	2,000	500		
Aquatics Master Plan	D - 12	75			
Arena Master Plan	D - 13	75			
Curling Master Plan	D - 14	125			
Fire Master Plan Update	D - 15	120			
Office Space Master Plan	D - 16	100			
Recreation and Culture Master Plan Update	D - 17		100		
Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovation	D - 18	1,595	2,877		
Nicholas Sheran Playground	D - 19	525			
Mountain Bike Park	D - 20	185			
Parks Asset Management	D - 21	1,400	1,400	1,400	5,980
	-	6.200	4.877	1.400	5.980
<u>Growth</u>	-	,	,	,	,
New Growth Projects					
West Side Depot Site	D - 22	3,401			1,564
South Side Parks Depot	D - 23		136	136	1,118
Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion	D - 24			2,725	23,716
Transit Facility Expansion	D - 25	1,520	6,180	8,682	
	-	4,921	6,316	11,543	26,398
Enhance/Expand Services					
Previously Approved Projects					
North Regional Park	D - 26	1,000			17,250
Transit Smart Card	D - 27	1,700			
Art Acquisition Program	D - 28	344	361	125	471
Technology Commercialization Centre Project	D - 29	1,100			
Twin Ice Centre	D - 30		3,298	8,369	29,384
		4,144	3,659	8,494	47,105
New Enhance Projects					
Bill Kergan Centre Renovation	D - 31		521		5,031
Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades and Replacement	D - 32		5,934		3,412
Pathways System Expansion	D - 33	1,020	1,071	1,109	1,110
Leisure Centre Plan	D - 34		150		
		1,020	7,676	1,109	9,553
	TOTAL PROJECTS	38,407	33,460	26,116	96,954
Project Funding	-				
Conital Concelidation		2 400			
Community Groups		2,400	3,488		2.685
External Borrowing		980	-,		,
Grant - AMIP		1,522	5,180	8,682	
Grant - Build Canada Fund Grant - Edderal Gas Tay Fund (NDCC)		2 7 9 7	619	1 170	20,000
Grant - GreenTRIP		2,787	442	2.392	167
Grant - MSI		15,505	16,045	7,265	41,229
Insurance Proceeds		55			
Internal Borrowing		3,341	861	5,365	16,390
PAYG - Community		3,∠73 4,280	2.149	1.234	8,733
PAYG - Transportation		.,200	2,100	.,20.	-,
Reserve - MRSR		338			
Reserve - Operating Reserve - Urban Parks		1,193	505		
Subdivision Surplus		400	1,100		
	TOTAL FUNDING	38,407	33,460	26.116	96,954

D - 2 City of Lethbridge Community

Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

	_					P	roject Co	sts				
Project Costs	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
						All amounts	below are i	in thousan	ds			
Community Suptain City Services												
Desident City Services												
Previously Approved Projects		4 292	10.272									14 554
Nicholae Sharen Leisure Centre Evenesion and Benevistion	D- 5	4,202	10,272									14,554
Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre Expansion and Renovation	D- 6	328										328
Public Operations Building	D - 7	1,810										1,810
Access-A-Ride Fleet Replacement	D-8		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,466
Transit Fleet Replacement	D-9	3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032
ENMAX Centre	D - 10	12,352										12,352
New Sustaining Projects												
Cemetery Services Master Plan & New Cemetery Site	D - 11	2,000	500									2,500
Aquatics Master Plan	D - 12	75										75
Arena Master Plan	D - 13	75										75
Curling Master Plan	D - 14	125										125
Fire Master Plan Update	D - 15	120										120
Office Space Master Plan	D - 16	100										100
Recreation and Culture Master Plan Update	D - 17		100									100
Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovation	D - 18	1,595	2,877									4,472
Nicholas Sheran Playground	D - 19	525										525
Mountain Bike Park	D - 20	185										185
Parks Asset Management	D - 21	1 400	1 400	1 400	1 994	1 993	1 993					10 180
	-	28 222	15 900	4 970	2 004	3 340	2 450	250	3 5 2 7		1 227	62 000
Growth	-	20,322	15,609	4,970	2,994	3,340	2,430	230	3,327		1,337	02,999
New Growth Projects												
West Side Depot Site	D - 22	3,401			1,150		414					4,965
South Side Parks Depot	D - 23		136	136	1,118							1,390
Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion	D - 24			2,725	11,928	11,788						26,441
Transit Facility Expansion	D - 25	1,520	6,180	8,682								16,382
	-	4,921	6,316	11,543	14,196	11,788	414					49,178
Enhance/Expand Services	-											
Previously Approved Projects												
North Regional Park	D - 26	1,000			8,625	8,625						18,250
Transit Smart Card	D - 27	1,700										1,700
Art Acquisition Program	D - 28	344	361	125	255	204			4	4	4	1,301
Technology Commercialization Centre Project	D - 29	1,100										1,100
Twin Ice Centre	D - 30		3,298	8,369	13,339	13,849	2,196					41,051
New Enhance Projects												
Bill Kergan Centre Renovation	D - 31		521		5,031							5,552
Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades and Replacement	D - 32		5,934		3,412							9,346
Pathways System Expansion	D - 33	1,020	1,071	1,109					360	370	380	4,310
Leisure Centre Plan	D - 34		150									150
	-	5 164	11 335	9 603	30.662	22 678	2 196		364	374	384	82 760
TOTAL PR	OJECTS	38,407	33,460	26,116	47,852	37,806	5,060	250	3,891	374	1,721	194,937
Project Funding	=		,		,							-
Capital Consolidation		2,400										2,400
Community Groups		40	3.488		2.685							6.213
External Borrowing		980										980
Grant - AMIP		1,522	5,180	8,682								15,384
Grant - Build Canada Fund					11,000	9,000						20,000
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		2,787	618	1,178	832	1,347	457	250	3,527		1,337	12,333
Grant - GreenTRIP		2,208	442	2,392	167							5,209
Grant - MSI		15,505	16,045	7,265	18,416	20,406	2,407					80,044
Insurance Proceeds		55										55
Internal Borrowing		3,341	861	5,365	12,021	2,173	2,196					25,957
Operating Budget		3,273										3,273
PAYG - Community		4,280	2,149	1,234	2,731	4,880			364	374	384	16,396
PAYG - Transportation		, - -	2.100	,	, =.	,						2,100
Reserve - MRSR		338	,									338
Reserve - Operating		1,193	505									1,698
Reserve - Urban Parks		485	972									1,457
Subdivision Surplus			1,100									1,100
τοται ε		38,407	33,460	26,116	47,852	37 806	5.060	250	3 891	374	1 721	10/ 037

D - 3 City of Lethbridge Community Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

Net Operating Cost

			Project Es	stimated O	perating Co	osts (All am	ounts belo	w are in the	ousands)	
	Page	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Community										
Sustain City Services										
Previously Approved Projects										
Community Arts Centre	D - 5		229	415	434	449	468	485	501	521
Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre Expansion and	D - 6	63	66	68	72	74	77	79	83	86
Renovation										
Public Operations Building	Note 1 D - 7	49	94	99	103	107	111	115	120	123
New Sustaining Projects										
Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and	D - 18	2	27	67	66	68	70	74	76	78
Renovation										
Mountain Bike Park	D - 20	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	3
Growth										
New Growth Projects	_									
West Side Depot Site	D - 22	96	101	150	158	165	189	198	208	219
South Side Parks Depot	D - 23				15	15	16	17	18	18
Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters	D - 24					382	717	744	770	798
Transit Facility Expansion	D - 25	17	18	95	285	295	307	317	329	343
Enhance/Expand Services										
Previously Approved Projects										
North Regional Park	D - 26					165	173	182	161	201
Transit Smart Card	D - 27	110	113	117	121	125	129	133	137	141
Twin Ice Centre	D - 30		336	858	886	915	946	977	1,009	1,043
New Enhance Projects										
Bill Kergan Centre Renovation	D - 31			18	70	72	75	78	81	83
Pathways System Expansion	D - 33	9	18	26	35	44	53	60	68	76
TOTAL C	OPERATING COSTS	348	1,004	1,915	2,247	2,879	3,334	3,462	3,564	3,733
Increase to taxation over prior year		348	656	911	332	632	456	128	101	169
	=									
% Increase to taxation over prior year	-	0.38%	0.69%	0.93%	0.33%	0.61%	0.42%	0.12%	0.09%	0.14%

Note 1: The above operating costs for the Public Operations Building are the taxation supported portion only

PAYG - Community

				Fore	cast							
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	II amounts	below are ii	n thousands	s of dollars				
Opening Balance		1,330	(800)	(699)	417	211	(2,043)	607	3,357	5,843	8,420	
Capital Requirements												
Community Arts Centre	D-5	(24)										(24)
Curling Master Plan	D - 14	(125)										(125)
Office Space Master Plan	D - 16	(100)										(100)
Parks Asset Management	D - 21	(567)	(567)									(1,134)
North Regional Park	D - 26	(1,000)			(2,400)	(4,600)						(8,000)
Art Acquisition Program	D - 28	(344)	(361)	(125)	(256)	(204)			(4)	(4)	(4)	(1,301)
Technology Commercialization Centre Project	D - 29	(1,100)										(1,100)
Pathways System Expansion	D - 33	(1,020)	(1,071)	(1,109)					(360)	(370)	(380)	(4,310)
Leisure Centre Plan	D - 34		(150)									(150)
		(4,280)	(2,149)	(1,234)	(2,656)	(4,804)			(364)	(374)	(384)	(16,244)
Additions:												
Current Year Funding		2,150	2,250	2,350	2,450	2,550	2,650	2,750	2,850	2,950	3,050	26,000
-		2,150	2,250	2,350	2,450	2,550	2,650	2,750	2,850	2,950	3,050	26,000
Closing Balance		(800)	(699)	417	211	(2,043)	607	3,357	5,843	8,420	11,086	

Project Title: Community Arts Centre

Dept - Project #: 120 Start Year: 2010

End Year: 2012



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

This project will create approximately 3,500 sq. m. of functional programming space in support of community arts education. It will include studios, exhibit areas, workshop space, music classrooms, administrative areas, meeting rooms, and a small rehearsal space.

The Community Arts Centre will be located on 3rd avenue between 7th street and 8th street and will also be the new home of the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music. The Conservatory of Music offers non-credit music lessons in Lethbridge to over 600 students per semester in both private and ensemble classes.

Purpose & Justification

The Bowman Arts Centre houses a variety of community arts groups, including: the Lethbridge Sketch Club, the Jolliffe Academy of Dancing, the Oldman River Potters Guild, the Lethbridge Handicraft Guild, the Textile Surface Design Guild, and the Lethbridge Stained Glass Society. The Centre runs visual and speech arts programs for adults, children, and special needs adults throughout the year. Numerous other arts and community groups use various rooms for their meetings, education programs, and workshops.

Originally built as a school, the building was sold to the City in 1963 and was converted to an arts centre administered by the Allied Arts Council in 1964. The facility is too small and no longer meets the functional requirements of the community arts user groups.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		4,000	9,156									13,156
Consultive Services	1,646	282	200									2,128
Property Acquisition	4,530											4,530
	6,176	4,282	9,356									19,814
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Equip. & Furnishings			916									916
			916									916
Total Costs	6,176	4,282	10,272									20,730
Funding												
Grant - Build Canada Fund	6,000											6,000
Grant - MSI		4,258	10,272									14,530
PAYG - Community	176	24										200
	6,176	4,282	10,272									20,730
Total Funding	6,176	4,282	10,272									20,730
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	229	415	434	449	468	485	501	521	
Comments												



Project Title: Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre Expansion and Renovation

Dept - Project #: 221 Start Year: 2010



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location					Pur	pose & J	ustificati	on				
 The expansion of approximately 1. Provide dedicated female ch 2. Create dedicated office space Alberta Sport Development Lethbridge Sports Council 	The on f have spor Proi	The original design of the Nicholas Sheran facility was based on functional needs required 25 years ago. These needs have changed due to an increase in female participation in sporting events. The University of Lethbridge's female Pronghorns Team is now a major tenant and dedicated dressing rooms are necessary to support their recruiting and										
The project will also include the refrigeration plant components, access with the addition of an e	spor	sporting program. There is a requirement for additional change rooms during provincial and national tournaments.										
	Esta Spo allov rela com	ablishing a rt Develo w a more tionship b umunity p	a centraliz pment Ce effective petween t resence a	zed oper entre and use of sp he two gr and acce	ations ce I Lethbrid bace and roups. It v ssibility.	ntre for ti ge Sport improve vill also i	he Alberta Council v the worki mprove th	a vill ng neir				
		All	amounts	below ar	e in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												

Costs - Shareable												
Construction	2,002	218										2,220
Consultive Services	250	30										280
Equip. & Furnishings		30										30
Other	20	50										70
	2,272	328										2,600
Total Costs	2,272	328										2,600
Funding												
Grant - RInC	500											500
Operating Budget Existing	672											672
Reserve - MCPR	1,100											1,100
Reserve - Operating		328										328
	2,272	328										2,600
Total Funding	2,272	328										2,600
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		26	63	66	68	72	74	77	79	83	86	
<u>Comments</u>												





Project Title: Public Operations Building

Dept - Project #: 162 Start Year: 2008

End Year: 2011

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

2,659

2,526

751

846

37,675

37,675

213

978

1,518

10,934 9,610

37,675 **37,675**

736

422

14,422

Description & Location					Pur	pose & J	ustificatio	on				
The Public Operation site is loca	ated immedia	ately no	rth of th	e	The	following	a summari	izes the	problems	with this	site:	
Crowsnest Trail and south of 5tl	h Ave N. The	e site is	optima	lly	• Th	e Fleet a	, nd Parks	and Trai	, nsportatio	n buildin	g are in r	ooor
located for efficient delivery of s	ervices. Maj	jor build	lings on	the	con	dition			•			
site include: the Fleet (vehicle re	epair and sto	rage) fa	acility, F	Public	• Th	e Fleet b	uilding is	partially	located o	n a close	d land fil	l; an
Ops/Electrical Ops/Stores buildi	ing, Parks an	d Trans	sportatio	on	extr	action sy	stem rem	oves me	thane ga	s that see	eps in	
building, Facility Services/ERC,	and the Trar	nsit Gar	age and	d	Con	solidatio	n of the la	nd fill is	causing s	ignificant	structur	al
Repair facility. 450 to 650 empl	loyees (35 to	50% of	f all City	/	dam	lage			· ·	•		
staff) work at the site.			-		• Bu	ildings a	re poorly v	ventilate	d			
					• En	closed v	ehicle stor	rage spa	ce is limi	ted and ir	nadequal	te
The preferred option for site red	levelopment i	include	s;		• Sto	orage spa	ace is limi	ted and	inadequa	te		
					• Of	fice, worl	k shops, a	nd stora	ge areas	scattered	d through	nout
Construction of a new 8,160sc	q. m. Fleet Bu	uilding (\$17.8M)	the	site creat	es ineffici	ent oper	ations		-	
• Renovation of the Public Ops.	building (\$6.	3M)			• Tra	affic patte	erns are e	xtremely	dangero	us		
• Purchasing of six modular buil	dings to hous	se staff	during		• Th	e Police	impound i	is not a c	compleme	entary act	ivity for t	the
construction (\$725,000)					site							
Construction of a new parking	lot, relocatio	n of the	police									
impound, and roadway modifica	ations				The	following	g are the b	penefits of	of implem	enting th	e site Ma	aster
Demolition of the existing Flee	t and Parks a	and Tra	nsporta	ation	Plar	n:						
buildings					• Ne	w facilitie	es increas	e operat	ional effici	ciencies		
-					• Co	nstructio	n of a nev	v Public	Ops. buil	ding is de	eferred fc	or 10
The project will extend the servi	ice life of the	public o	operatio	ons	to 1	5 years			-	-		
site 25 to 50 years.		-	-		• Ro	adway n	nodificatio	ns provid	de safer t	raffic patt	erns	
-					• Th	e modula	ar building	s becom	ne an ong	oing corp	orate as	set
This is a combination of the 200	8-2017 CIP	projects	5 D - 22	and			C C			•		
a portion of F - 19 which are bot	th related to t	the rede	evelopn	nent								
of the Public Operations site.			-									
		All a	nounts	below ar	e in thou	usands o	of dollars					
	Prior 2	011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Construction	29,373 1,	,520										30,893

Funding										
Capital Consolidation	213									
External Borrowing	13,442	980								
Grant - AMIP	976	2								
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)	1,518									
Grant - MSI	10,444	490								
Reserve - MRSR	9,272	338								
	35,865	1,810								
Total Funding	35,865	1,810								
Estimated Debt Charges		1,976	2,427	2,427	2,427	2,427	851	736	736	736
Projected Net Operating Costs		48	141	323	339	351	367	379	394	409

Comments

Consultive Services

Equip. & Furnishings

Landscaping

Other

Operating Costs include both tax supported & utility supported operations.

2,514

2,381

751

846

35,865

35,865

Total Costs

145

145

1,810

1,810





Project Title: Access-A-Ride Fleet Replacement

D - 8 AMENDED 2011-20 Operating Budget



Dept - Project #: 260

Start Year: 2011		End Year:	2018		Capita	l Improve 2011 to	ment Pro	ogram
Description & Location			<u>P</u> ı	urpose & Jus	tification			
The replacement vehicles for the Acce fully accessible for all customers, thos those more ambulatory. As a result, th wheelchair positions, with flexible seat to 13 ambulatory passengers. The flex	ess-A-Ride s e with mobili ere will be 5 ting to accom	ervice will be ty needs and or 6 nmodate up nt plan will	In ov as	2007, the City vned by Lethb ssumed opera	y of Lethbridge pridge Handi-Bu ting authority fo	purchase is Associa or para-tra	ed the fleation, wh ansit serv	et of vehicles en the City rices.
ensure that reliable vehicles will be av service to our customers.	ailable to pro	ovide quality	ec wi	uipped with w th special nee nalysis of the f	vheelchair lifts t eds. LA Transit leet and have p	o accomr has cond prepared a	nodate c ucted a l a long te	ustomers ife cycle rm fleet
The fleet replacement plan is as follow	/S:		re	placement pla	an.	·	0	
2012 - 3 vehicles 2013 - 1 vehicle			Pa	ara-transit veh seful life of ap	nicles, unlike lar proximately 7 y	ge transit ears. At th	vehicles	s, have a , the vehicles
2014 - 1 vehicle 2015 - 2 vehicles			wi	Il have accum	ulated 350,000	to 400,0	00 kilom	etres.
2016 - 4 vehicles 2017 - 2 vehicles								
2018 - 3 vehicles								
There are no vehicles scheduled for re or 2020.	eplacement i	n either 2019						
	All a	amounts below	are in th	ousands of d	ollars			
Pric	or 2011	2012 2013	2014	2015	2016 2017	2018	2010	2020 7

			amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	t dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
	96		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,562
_	96		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,562
otal Costs	96		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,562
-												
(NDCC)	96		218	73	83	222	457	250	407			1,805
			442	147	168							757
_	96		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,562
Il Funding	96		660	220	250	222	457	250	407			2,562
	- 	Prior 96	Prior 2011 96 96 96 96 96 96 011 96 02 96 03 96 04 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 1 96	Prior 2011 2012 96 660 96 660 96 660 96 660 96 660 96 660 96 660 96 660 1 (NDCC) 96 218 96 660 442 96 660 1 I Funding 96 660	All amounts below an Prior 2011 2012 2013 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 96 660 220 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 442 147 96 660 220 96 660 220 I Funding 96 660 220 96	Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 96 660 220 250 96 660 220 250 96 660 220 250 96 660 220 250 96 660 220 250 96 660 220 250 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 83 442 147 168 96 660 220 250 I Funding 96 660 220 250 250	All amounts below are in thousands of Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 96 660 220 250 222 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 83 222 442 147 168 96 660 220 250 222 I Funding 96 660 220 250 222	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 96 660 220 250 222 457 96 660 220 250 222 457 96 660 220 250 222 457 otal Costs 96 660 220 250 222 457 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 83 222 457 442 147 168 96 660 220 250 222 457 I Funding 96 660 220 250 222 457	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 83 222 457 250 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 1 Funding 96 660 220 250 222 457 250	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 otal Costs 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 A(NDCC) 96 218 73 83 222 457 250 407 4(NDCC) 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 H (NDCC) 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 1 Funding 96 660 220 250 222	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 1 (NDCC) 96 218 73 83 222 457 250 407 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 I Funding 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 442 147 168 96 660 220 250 222 457 250 407 96 1442 147 168 147 168 147 168 147 168 147 168 147 146 147

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

This project will be included in the operating budget beginning in 2012 with funding from the Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC) and GreenTRIP grants. Subsequent to the 2011-2020 CIP approval, this project was revised in accordance with the approved 2012-2014 operating budget.

Project Title: Transit Fleet Replacement

Dept - Project #: 235 Start Year: 2011

D - 9 AMENDED 2011-20 Operating Budget



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

End Year: Ongoing **Description & Location** Purpose & Justification This program will replace transit buses, which have met or LA Transit has completed an extensive asset management exceeded their useful life, with accessible environmentally review of its conventional transit fleet. As a result of the friendly vehicles that meet up-to-date emission standards. The review, it has been determined that transit buses should be new vehicles will incorporate upgraded customer amenities, replaced after 19 years in service, and/or after they have such as more comfortable seating, improved accommodations accumulated 1.2 million kilometers in service. for wheelchairs, and climate controls (air conditioning). The buses requiring replacement are at the end of their useful life (19 years, 1.2 million kilometres), and are The transit fleet replacement program is as follows: expensive to continue to maintain. They also emit significantly 2011 - 5 vehicles more harmful emissions than new buses, built to current 2013 - 5 vehicles environmental standards. The new replacement vehicles are 2014 - 1 vehicle fully accessible for all customers, allowing LA Transit to 2015 - 2 vehicles continue to offer accessible buses on all of its scheduled 2018 - 5 vehicles routes. In addition, these buses are more attractive to 2020 - 2 vehicles customers, and the vehicles are far more environmentally friendly, as they meet current vehicle emission standards. In addition, the smaller community buses purchased in 2004 and 2005 are approaching the end of their useful life (8 years of service or 450,000 kilometres). As these vehicles have not proven to be successful, particularly with Transit customers, they will be replaced with conventional 12.0 metre (40-foot) buses. All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

		,	amounte	bolon a			- aonaio					
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Other		3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032
		3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032
Total Costs		3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032
Funding												
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		1,087		1,106	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	8,525
Grant - GreenTRIP		2,208		2,245								4,452
Insurance proceeds		55										55
		3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032
Total Funding		3,350		3,350	750	1,125			3,120		1,337	13,032

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

This project will be included in the operating budget beginning in 2012 with funding from the Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC) and GreenTRIP grants. Subsequent to the 2011-2020 CIP approval, this project was revised in accordance with the approved 2012-2014 operating budget.

Project Title: ENMAX Centre

Dept - Project #: **117** Start Year: **2008**



Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

	-
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
To broaden Lethbridge's entertainment experience and	The ENMAX Centre is the premier provider of entertainment
increase financial benefits to the community's economy.	in Lethbridge and southern Alberta, the home of the
	Lethbridge Hurricanes, and is used extensively for a variety of
Provide significantly better customer service with:	major spectator events including concerts, bull riding,
More and better luxury suites	arenacross and many community events.
 Improved vehicle access/egress and parking 	
Increased concourse and lobby space	The ENMAX Centre was built in 1974 and while it has served
 Improved handicapped access, seating and washrooms 	the City well over the past 33 years, it requires upgrading and
 Enhanced food and beverage services 	expansion to meet the expectations and changing needs of
New lounge and banquet spaces	its customers and industry best practices.
Improved washrooms	
Enhanced dressing room and training facilities	Remaining viable in this diverse market means having the
	capacity to broaden the range of experiences and services for
	existing patrons, and to increase the overall attendance by
	offering a greater variety and number of events.
	The facility now requires a major renovation and expansion to
	create this capacity and thus remain viable for the next 25 –
	so years.

Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 Costs - Shareable Construction 19,123 8,926 24			All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
Costs - Shareable 19,123 8,926 24 Consultive Services 2,247 222 3 2 3 3 2 3 2 3 <		Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Construction 19,123 8,926 24 Consultive Services 2,247 222 2 21,370 9,148 30 Costs - Non-Shareable 2 2 30 Construction 257 2 2 30 Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 32 32 Total Costs 21,370 12,352 33 Funding 3,683 36 36 Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 3 3 Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 11 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 3	Costs - Shareable												
Consultive Services 2,247 222 3 21,370 9,148 34 Costs - Non-Shareable 2 34 Construction 257 2947 22 Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 23 32	Construction	19,123	8,926										28,049
21,370 9,148 31 Costs - Non-Shareable 257 31 Construction 257 2947 21 Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 21 21 Total Costs 21,370 12,352 32 32 Funding 3683 3683 3683 3683 Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 17 17 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 32 32 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 44 44	Consultive Services	2,247	222										2,469
Costs - Non-Shareable 257 Construction 257 Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 3,204 3 Total Costs 21,370 12,352 Funding 3,683 3 Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 3 Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 17 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 7 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 4		21,370	9,148										30,518
Construction 257 Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 2 3,204 3,204 3 Total Costs 21,370 12,352 33 Funding 3,683 3 3 3 Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 3 3 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 3 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 4	Costs - Non-Shareable												
Equip. & Furnishings 2,947 : 3,204 : : Total Costs 21,370 12,352 3: Funding : : : Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 : : Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 : : Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 : : Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 : :	Construction		257										257
3,204 3 Total Costs 21,370 12,352 3 Funding 3,683 3 3 Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 3 3 Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 17 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 7 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 4	Equip. & Furnishings		2,947										2,947
Total Costs 21,370 12,352 3 Funding			3,204										3,204
Funding Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 C Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 17 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 7 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 4	Total C	osts 21,370	12,352										33,722
Grant - Major Community Facilities 3,683 10,039 7,756 17 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 17 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 17	Funding												
Grant - MSI 10,039 7,756 11 Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 12 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 12	Grant - Major Community Facilities	3,683											3,683
Internal Borrowing 5,392 2,508 7 Operating Budget 2,256 2,088 2	Grant - MSI	10,039	7,756										17,795
Operating Budget 2,256 2,088	Internal Borrowing	5,392	2,508										7,900
	Operating Budget	2,256	2,088										4,344
21,370 12,352 33		21,370	12,352										33,722
Total Funding 21,370 12,352 33	Total Fun	ding 21,370	12,352										33,722

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

Debt payments financed through increased ticket surcharge, suite fees, and ENMAX naming sponsorship.

End Year:



Major Program: New Sustaining Projects

Project Title: Cemetery Services Master Plan & New Cemetery Site

Dept - Project #: 228 Start Year: 2010	End Year: 2012
Description & Location	Purpose & Justifica
There are 2 major components to this project. The fir component is a cemetery master plan proposed for of August 2010, with 3 key outcomes: 1. Development of a business model for Cemetery S 2. Analysis of the spatial requirements and the need community 3. A site specific comprehensive report and concept	rst Cemetery Services memorialization optic completion component in the projected growth in the ds of the of deaths, the need independent study b tual maps identified the need for

showing current and proposed land use of existing and proposed new cemetery sites based on the above analysis. This will include a capital analysis for development of the proposed site for interment use as early as mid 2012.

The second component consists of the detailed design and the development of the first phase (that will provide approximately 20 years of capacity) of the new site.

ition

provides essential interment and ons for the community and provides a eservation of our history. Given the the community and the increased number for cemetery space will also increase. An y Lees and Associates (2006) has or the of the acquisition and development of a new cemetery site. As well, the sustainablity and future cost recovery of the business unit are key emerging issues. Today, it is estimated that Mountain View Cemetery, the primary cemetery in our community, has 3-5 years supply of full casket plots in its current layout. Landscaping the cemetery needs to occur at least 2 years prior to opening to allow the cemetery to mature into a parklike setting.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction		700	2,000	500									3,200
Consultive Services		400											400
Property Acquisition		212											212
	-	1,312	2,000	500									3,812
	Total Costs	1,312	2,000	500									3,812
Funding	-												
Grant - MSI		1,100	2,000	500									3,600
Reserve - Cemetery		212											212
	-	1,312	2,000	500									3,812
	Total Funding	1,312	2,000	500									3,812

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020


D - 12

Lethbridge

Project Title: Aquatics Master Plan Dept - Project #: 241 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2011

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
This document would assess both City owned facilities and other major aquatic facilities within the City of Lethbridge to	The aging infrastructure of the aquatics buildings in the City requires a long range plan which allows the Recreation and
obtain a complete overview of existing infrastructure and	Culture Business Unit to:
provide information to guide the next 20+ years of development.	De fulle annual far a criteir stien in fature Dudaet Queles
The Dien will appear and review the following:	• Be fully prepared for participation in future Budget Cycles,
The Plan will assess and review the following.	Growin & Investment Strategies, CIP's, Lifecycle
• The functionality of each facility as it felates to community	Experior continuity initiatives.
requirements, technological advancements and mechanical	• Provide the information required to anticipate and respond
	to changing community needs reflective in shifting
 The programming needs of the community taking in 	demographics, income levels and emerging trends.
consideration demographics, price point affordability, trends in	 Evaluate the levels of service provided to the community
sport enrollment and comparisons to community standards in	reflecting the number of program hours provided, building
comparative markets.	amenities, public programs and the operating model required
 A full facility audit of the Aquatic facilities to identify the current 	to function most effectively and economically.
state of repair, the expected lifespan of existing components,	Respond to funding and grant programs as they become
the replacement timeline for individual facilities and budget	available.
implications for upgrades or replacement.	Manage operating impacts of unplanned maintenance
This document would address current capacity in the City	issues.
based on pool availability and the impact on the users of those	Assess the cost of continued operation and maintenance of
pools to mechanical failures or complete loss.	existing facilities versus the retrofitting or replacement costs
	in the long term.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services		75										75	
-		75										75	
Total Costs		75										75	
Funding													
Reserve - Operating		75										75	
-		75										75	
Total Funding		75										75	
Estimated Debt Charges													
Projected Net Operating Costs													
Comments													

Project Title: Arena Master Plan

Dept - Project #: 240 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2011

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

75

Description & Location					<u>P</u>	urpose & J	ustificati	on								
This document would assess Ci	ity owned	arenas f	o obtain	а	T	he aging in	frastructu	re of the	arena bu	ildings in	the City					
complete overview of existing in	frastructu	ure and p	rovide		re	requires a long range plan which allows the Recreation and										
information to guide the next 20	+ years o	of develop	oment.		C	Culture Business Unit to:										
					•	 be fully prepared for participation in future Budget Cycles, 										
The Plan will assess and review	the follo	wing:			G	rowth & Inv	estment ?	Strategie	s, CIP's,	Lifecycle						
The functionality of each facilit	e	xpenditures	s, and Cor	nmunity i	initiatives											
requirements, technological adv	ancemer	nts and m	echanica	al	•	provide the	informati	on requir	ed to ant	icipate ar	nd respor	id to				
improvements including upgrade	es.				cl	hanging coi	mmunity r	needs ref	lective in	shifting						
The programming needs of the	e commu	nity takin	g in		d	emographic	cs, income	e levels a	nd emerg	ging trend	ds.					
consideration demographics, pr	ice point	affordabi	lity, trend	ls in	•	evaluate th	e levels o	f service	provided	to the co	ommunity					
sport enrollment and compariso	sport enrollment and comparisons to community standards in							of progra	m hours	provided	, building					
comparative markets.	a	amenities, public programs and the operating model required														
 A full facility audit of the Arena 	to	to function most effectively and economically.														
state of repair, the expected life	span of e	xisting co	omponen	ts,	•	 respond to funding and grant programs as they become 										
the replacement timeline for ind	ividual fa	cilities an	d budget	t	a	available.										
implications for upgrades or rep	lacement				•	 manage operating impacts of unplanned maintenance 										
					is	issues.										
This document would address c	urrent ca	pacity in	the City		•	assess the	cost of c	ontinued	operation	n and ma	intenance	e of				
based on ice surfaces available	and the i	impact or	n the use	rs of	e	xisting facili	ities versu	is the reti	rofitting o	r replace	ment cos	ts				
those surfaces due to mechanic	al failure:	s or com	olete loss	S.	in	the long te	erm.									
		All	amounts	s below a	re in th	nousands o	of dollars									
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	4 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total				
Costs - Shareable																
Consultive Services		75										75				
-		75										75				
Total Costs		75										75				
Funding																
Reserve - Operating		75										75				
-		75										75				

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Total Funding

75

Comments

Project Title: Curling Master Plan

Dept - Project #: 265 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2011



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The intent of this project is to hire a professional consultant to assist the Curling Club and the City in developing a Curling Master Plan which would include a spatial needs analysis, conceptual plans for space usage now and into the future, operations and program plan, business plan and site selection for future a facility.

Purpose & Justification

The Civic Ice Centre which facilitates both a skating rink and curling rink is 62 years old. Presently the curling rink is not able to meet the Curling Club's functional requirements such as parking, lounge, food and beverage, spectator viewing, washrooms, change rooms and the ability to allow the curling program to grow with the addition of more ice sheets. In addition, the overall condition of the curling rink requires major lifecycle work to remain operational. In addition, 6th Avenue may be required for right-of-way expansion within the next 25 years which will further complicate parking and accessibility to the facility front entrance.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services			125										125
	_		125										125
	Total Costs		125										125
Funding	-												
PAYG - Community			125										125
	-		125										125
	Total Funding		125										125
Estimated Debt Cha	irges												
Projected Net Opera	ating Costs												

Comments

Project Title: Fire Master Plan Update

Dept - Project #: 229 Sta



Start Year: 2011	End Year:	2011	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpose &	Justification
Complete an analysis of Levels of Service in new urban development and identify potential sites for Stations.	w and planned or future Fire	The purpos scoping of t maintain the response to	e of this project is to complete the planning and he future capital investments necessary to e current levels of fire and rescue services, in growth and development pressures in South,
The Plan will consider the effect of changing risk community due to:	c profiles in the	North, and	West Lethbridge.
 Large scale commercial development - "Big Long-term care facilities with high life risk Escalating vehicle traffic Residential growth along the urban fringe New Legislative requirements 	Box" stores	No.3 Fire S Station will functional re maintain re	tation is 47 years old and is in poor condition. This require significant upgrading to meet the equirements and relocation may be necessary to sponse times in this area.
		Commercia and South I profile. Res footprint of of Lethbridg	I and industrial buildings are increasing in North _ethbridge. These buildings have a higher risk sidential development continues to expand the the city along the north, south and western fringes ge impacting response times in these areas.

					•								
All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services		120										120	
		120										120	
Total Costs		120										120	
Funding													
Reserve - Operating		120										120	
		120										120	
Total Funding		120										120	
Estimated Debt Charges													
Projected Net Operating Costs													
<u>Comments</u>													

Project Title: Office Space Master Plan

Dent - Project # 238



Start Yea	Start Year: 2011 End Year:								011 Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020							
Description & Loc	ation						Purpose & Justification									
City staff office and maintain the curren to ensure that offic predicted and plan space needs for th	In order to an is requi rears are ects that m	ired neet		City fa space 197 s A con staff lu future plann and a	acilities . For e taff. It c nprehen evels ac . This w ing to envailable	are over xample, (currently l sive revie coordingly rill allow f nsure tha when ne	capacity City Hall nouses 2 ew of pop , will ide or short, t appropu- eeded.	in staff, c was origi 75. oulation g ntify staff medium, riate offic	ffice, and nally des rowth, an requiren and long e space	d work igned to I nd matchi nents into term spa	nold ing i the ace ad					
			All	amounts	below a	are in	thous	ands o	f dollars							
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	20)14	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Shareable																
Consultive Services	_		100											100		
	-		100											100		
	Total Costs		100											100		
Funding																
PAYG - Community	_		100											100		
			100											100		
	Total Funding		100											100		
Estimated Debt Char Projected Net Operat	ges ing Costs															
Comments																

Project Title: Recreation and Culture Master Plan Update

Dept - Project #: 273 Start Year: 2012 End Year: 2012 2011 to 2020 Description & Location **Purpose & Justification** To update the 2007 Facility Master Plan for recreation and The update to the Recreation and Culture Master Plan will reconfirm community needs and the state of facilities. cultural facilities that involves an assessment of current facilities and identifies triggers for new facility development, The current Recreation and Cultural Facilities Master Plan was aligning funding availability and facility initiatives with priorities completed in April 2007. It was built on an understanding of: and community needs. · Stakeholder, partner and citizen needs · An inventory and assessment of current and planned This plan will involve corporate and community partners, recreation facilities in the City and surrounding areas stakeholders and citizens to ensure that Lethbridge's public • Trends and issues that will impact recreation facilities over the recreation and cultural facilities continue to meet community long term needs. Both City owned and operated facilities and facilities · The financial feasibility and sustainablity of the proposed with a partnership relationship with the City will be addressed recommendations in this project.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Consultive Services				100									100
	_			100									100
	Total Costs			100									100
Funding	-												
Reserve - Operating				100									100
	_			100									100
	Total Funding			100									100
Estimated Debt Cha	irges												
Projected Net Opera	ating Costs												

Comments

D - 17



Capital Improvement Program

Project Title: Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovation

Dept - Project #: 2	231
Start Year:	2011

End Year: 2012



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
The project consists of a renovation and approximately 370 sq. m. expansion of the existing Helen Schuler Nature Centre.	The Nature Centre is one of the top 5 most frequently used facilities (Recreation and Cultural Facilities Master Plan) but the existing building does not functionally allow for effective
The project will provide:	delivery of programs and services. The facility has received
• a new, fully accessible entrance, lobby area dedicated to	limited investment since it was built in 1984 and is in poor
volunteer and supporter recognition	condition. The facility condition will become critical within a 5
• additional indoor community meeting space to better meet the	year timeframe without significant investment.
functional needs of user groups	
• gallery space to double the current exhibition space	Additional space will improve working conditions for staff and
provide additional meeting and programming rooms for	volunteers, meet increasing public demand, and allow future
community groups	the facility onbance services improve accessibility and
• enlarged office and storage space	demonstrate sustainable building practices. Larger tours and
enhanced washroom facilities	multiple user groups will be accommodated. Gallery space
• upgrades to the building envelope, mechanical and electrical	will support future growth of the cultural sector, foster and
systems	strengthen new audiences and provide much needed space
	to display travelling science or technology based exhibits.
The resulting renovation and expansion will target LEED Gold	Improved office and storage will allow student internship
certification. The building will be used as a teaching tool:	programs to be developed.
interpreting the sustainable building practices and principles it	
models and demonstrates.	

			amounts	below a	e in thou	isanus o	i uonars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		840	2,640									3,480
Consultive Services		525	74									599
Equip. & Furnishings			146									146
Other		230	17									247
		1,595	2,877									4,472
Total Costs		1,595	2,877									4,472
Funding												
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)			400									400
Operating Budget Existing		1,000										1,000
Reserve - Operating		595	405									1,000
Reserve - Urban Parks			972									972
Subdivision Surplus			1,100									1,100
		1,595	2,877									4,472
Total Funding		1,595	2,877									4,472
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Ducie stad Nat One ration Casts		8	2	27	67	66	68	70	74	76	78	





Project Title: Nicholas Sheran Playground

Dept - Project #: 266 Start Year: 2012

End Year: 2012



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The 31 years old Playground in Nicholas Sheran park was constructed in 1979 and is ranked highest on the most urgent to replace. The existing structure falls short of meeting current safety standards, provides limited play value and has limited appeal to children. Because this playground is located in a regional park the size and cost of replacement exceeds the resources allocated in the playground replacement program.

This initiative is for replacing the existing structure with one more conducive to use with a structure sized appropriately for a Regional Park.

Purpose & Justification

As the most urgent playground in need of replacement in the inventory and the obvious shortcomings in meeting the standards for a Regional Park playground it is critical that this playground be replaced. The current structure is far deficient in complying with current safety standards and leaves children who play here at risk of injury.

This initiative will help the park achieve the function and intent of a Regional Park and contribute to the achievement of the Nicholas Park Redevelopment Master Plan. While improving the function of Nicholas Sheran Park, it will also help to relieve the crowding of the playground in Henderson Lake Park.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Shareable													
Construction		240										240	
Consultive Services		10										10	
Equip. & Furnishings		250										250	
		500										500	
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Landscaping		25										25	
		25										25	
Total Costs		525										525	
Funding													
Community Groups		40										40	
Reserve - Urban Parks		485										485	
		525										525	
Total Funding		525										525	
Estimated Debt Charges													
Projected Net Operating Costs													
Comments													



Nicholas Sheran Park Playground

Broken Section



Decayed Lumber



Project Title: Mountain Bike Park

Dept - Project #: 268 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2011



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The creation of a new mountain bike park was proposed to the City in 2007 by the Lethbridge Area Cycling Association (LACC). The site and Plan has been approved by City Council in 2008. A Development Permit and approvals are in place for construction. The approval for this project was given based on the LACC fully funding this public facility through grants which have since been denied. The approved location of the facility is in Botterill Bottom Park on the east side of the river between Whoop Up Drive and the city maintenance compound. The design of the park is complete for a facility which will meet the needs of this developing sport. The completed facility will be open to the public and designed to provide an opportunity for recreation and exercise for all users as well as skill development for active enthusiasts.

Purpose & Justification

The Parks Master Plan makes the recommendation to develop park amenities for leisure activities which not only meet current needs but will meet growing recreational trends. This project initiated by the Lethbridge Area Cycling Association meets the needs of the developing mountain bike sport. The facility will provide a safe environment for enthusiasts to participate because of the design and maintenance of the features. The Mountain Bike Park will contribute to the preservation of the river valley by decreasing or eliminating the construction of jumps and ramps in remote areas. The lack of disturbance in the natural area parks will preserve these areas for wildlife habitat and recreation for future generations. The design of the facility will be innovative to the point of acting as a draw for mountain bike enthusiast from outside the city and will meet requirements for organized competitive events. This type of recreational facility is becoming common in other municipalities as the sport becomes more main stream and popular.

				amounts	Delow a	e in thou	isanus u	i uonai s					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction			150										150
Consultive Services			15										15
Equip. & Furnishings			20										20
	-		185										185
	Total Costs		185										185
Funding	-												
Operating Budget			185										185
	-		185										185
	Total Funding		185										185
Estimated Debt Chara	es		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Loundied Debt Ondry							-		-				

Existing Situation in Natural Areas





Designed for Safety and Function



D - 20a

Project Title: Parks Asset Management

Dept - Project #: 267 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2016

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The asset management program for Parks is aligned with the principle of the City budget process which states the care of current assets or infrastructure takes a higher priority than building new assets or infrastructure.

Combined with best practice in maintenance the asset management program uses condition ratings as 'trigger points' for lifecycle replacement. This program is based on the principles defined by the Cooperate Asset Management program and followed by Transportation, Fleet Management and other departments. With the past extended absence of formal management of the Parks Business Unit a significant backlog in lifecycle has emerged. The condition of much of the inventory has past the point of being functional or safe.

A large number of parks assets are not capital items and as a stand alone item do not merit a formal program of asset management. This program deals with much of the inventory as a collective which represents significant investment which does need treatment within a program. The replacement value of the assets included in this program is \$57,080,000.

The intent of this program is to catch up on the backlog of deteriorated infrastructure so the process of keeping current with acceptable standards can be followed.

Purpose & Justification

The residents of Lethbridge place a high value on parks. The development of an asset management program follows industry best practice across North America. The intent of the asset management program is to preserve the design function of a park and maintain an inviting and satisfying experience for park users.

This program is not based on rule of thumb percentage formulas or depreciation curves used to calculate lifecycle requirements. It is based on condition assessments, industry wide best practice and public expectation. The program is not intended to keep inventory in a new condition, or at the highest standard, but is intended to meet public expectations for safety, unencumbered function and cost effectiveness.

		All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usands c	of dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		30										30
Consultive Services		10										10
Equip. & Furnishings		1,360	1,400	1,400	1,994	1,993	1,993					10,140
		1,400	1,400	1,400	1,994	1,993	1,993					10,180
Total Costs		1,400	1,400	1,400	1,994	1,993	1,993					10,180
- Funding												
Grant - MSI				567	1,994	1,993	1,993					6,547
Internal Borrowing		833	833	833								2,499
PAYG - Community		567	567									1,134
		1,400	1,400	1,400	1,994	1,993	1,993					10,180
Total Funding		1,400	1,400	1,400	1,994	1,993	1,993					10,180
Estimated Debt Charges		0	83	166	249	249	249	249	249	249	249	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Commonts												

<u>Comments</u>

D - 21a

Parks Asset Management





Parks Drainage







Pathways





Major Program: New Growth Projects

Project Title: West Side Depot Site

Dept - Project #: 20 Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2016



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

This project includes future Public Operations and Corporate needs in West Lethbridge. This four phase project combines the previously approved West Side Snow Site project with the construction of a West Lethbridge Operations Facility. The ultimate site will require approximately 11 hectares (Ha) of land.

Phase 1- 2011 The first phase of the west side depot includes the first phase of the snow dump site and the small Fuel Depot. The first phase of the snow dump will require 2 Ha of land, however the ultimate snow dump will require a total of 4 Ha which should be acquired at the start of the project. The Fuel Depot requires 1 Ha for a total of 5 Ha of land.

Phase 2- 2014 The construction of a Sand/Salt Storage Facility to service West Lethbridge is required. The Sand/Salt building will be $25m \times 50 m (82' \times 164')$ and requires a total site area of 1 Ha of land.

Phase 3- 2017 The relocation of temporary offices and locker trailers and the construction of indoor and outdoor vehicle, equipment, and material storage for Parks Operations will also be required. This phase will require 0.5 Ha of land.

Purpose & Justification

An operation base in west Lethbridge is required to provide efficient services in the future. These services have been supported from the facilities in east Lethbridge. West Lethbridge is now the largest population area in the City with a five year average growth rate of 6.48% which is three times the rate of the north side, and four times the rate of the south side.

Phase 1 The existing Alberta Environment approved snow dump is located at 7th Avenue & 43 Street South. Recent winters have shown that this site does not have the capacity to handle the snow from west Lethbridge. A west side snow dump will decrease snow removal operating costs by reducing haul distances; improving snow removal efficiency and increasing public safety. The west Snow Dump size will have to be doubled when the current area of west Lethbridge is built out. Diesel fuel is no longer commercially available in west Lethbridge. A Fleet Fuelling Depot is needed in west Lethbridge and is a high priority for the Fire department.

Phase 2 The new Sand/Salt Storage Facility at Public Operations was down sized by 30% to optimise space at Public Operations. A Sand/Salt Storage Facility is needed to serve the west side.

Phase 3 Parks Operations requires additional depot space including office, lunch, washroom, vehicle and equipment parking and material storage in west Lethbridge to accommodate growth.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction			3,301			1,000		360					4,661
Consultive Services			100			150		54					304
	_		3,401			1,150		414					4,965
	Total Costs		3,401			1,150		414					4,965
Funding	-												
Capital Consolidation			2,400										2,400
Grant - MSI			1,001			1,150		414					2,565
	_		3,401			1,150		414					4,965
Т	otal Funding		3,401			1,150		414					4,965
Estimated Debt Charge	S		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating	g Costs		92	96	101	150	158	165	189	198	208	219	
<u>Comments</u>													



D - 22a

Major Program: New Growth Projects

Project Title: South Side Parks Depot

Dept - Project #: 270 Start Year: 2012

End Year: 2014



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

In 1985 a new Parks depot was constructed adjacent to Henderson Stadium. The depot has since been given to a baseball association leaving Parks short of a required facility to stage operations. The inefficacies created from staging from the north central location present challenges to maintaining the level of service especially as growth in the city continues to move southward. This project is scoped complete with Engineering and cost estimates. Arrangements for the preferred site will be negotiated.

A site of approximately 1 hectare located on the south side of the city will include a 3000 square foot building including equipment bays and facilities for staff. The site will also include staff parking and a fenced compound for equipment and supply storage. Similar depots are located in the river valley and in Nicholas Sheran Park. Purpose & Justification

Parks maintenance equipment is typically slow moving and not ideally suited to long trips on the streets. This situation poses a safety concern for operators and a capacity issue to productivity. Travel times reduce the length of the work day and create limitations to efficiency. Parks maintenance is often dependant on supply which requires storage space and transportation to various sites. Parks maintenance staff currently must rely on public facilities or require other staff to pick them up to return to the depot for breaks or meetings. The replacement of the Parks depot in the south end of the city will improve operational efficiency, staff safety, and supply management logistics.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction					1,016							1,016
Consultive Services			136	136								272
Equip. & Furnishings					102							102
			136	136	1,118							1,390
Total Costs			136	136	1,118							1,390
Funding												
Grant - MSI			136	136	1,118							1,390
			136	136	1,118							1,390
Total Funding			136	136	1,118							1,390
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	15	15	16	17	18	18	
<u>Comments</u>												

D - 23a



Parks Depot in Nicholas Sheran Park

Former Parks Depot in Henderson Lake Park



Major Program: New Growth Projects

add approximately 3,500 sq.m. of functional area, making the

capacity for operational and staff vehicles from 167 spaces to

approximately 248. The expansion will extend the service life of

9,500 sq.m. The parking structure will increase parking

the headquarters building for approximately 25 years.

total building footprint of the headquarters facility approximately

Project Title: Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion

Dept - Project #: 224 Start Year: 2013	End Year:	2015	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		<u>Pu</u>	rpose & Justification
Option 1 for The Lethbridge Regional Poli Facility Expansion includes:	ce Headquarters	In ² cur cur	1996 the Lethbridge Regional Police Service moved to its rent location at 135 1 Avenue South. The police building rently houses 243 staff as well as nearly 60 volunteers and
Relocation of the Public Safety Commun new Fire Department headquarters	ications Centre to the	has Let der	s exceeded its design occupancy. As the populations of hbridge and Coaldale continue to increase, so too will the mand for police services. Expansion of the existing building
 Construction of a three-level structure a existing headquarters building and a 2-lev beginning in 2013 and completion in 2015 	t the rear of the el parking structure 5. The addition will	is r acc	necessary to address critical space shortages and commodate future growth.

The Lethbridge Regional Police Service utilizes a central deployment model where all police services are dispatched from a single site. Deviating from this model would be impractical and unworkable from a policing perspective and result in a breakdown of effective communication between units as well as other inefficiencies. While alternative accommodation strategies were considered, the most feasible and economical solution is an expansion of the existing building.

The following summarizes shortfalls with the existing site: • As a result of employee growth, changes in policing methods, technology, and provincial partnerships, the police building has reached capacity with many functions being short of space.

Areas that immediately require additional space are Major Crimes, Organized Crimes, shared Criminal Investigation Division areas, locker rooms and operational/staff parking.
There is also a shortage of meeting space, training areas and storage

		All	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction					11,200	9,315						20,515
Consultive Services				1,635	224	230						2,089
Equip. & Furnishings						1,725						1,725
Other				1,090	504	518						2,112
				2,725	11,928	11,788						26,441
Total Costs				2,725	11,928	11,788						26,441
Funding												
Grant - MSI						10,591						10,591
Internal Borrowing				2,725	11,928	1,197						15,850
				2,725	11,928	11,788						26,441
Total Funding				2,725	11,928	11,788						26,441
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	271	1,460	1,579	1,579	1,579	1,579	1,579	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	382	717	744	770	798	
Comments												



D - 24



Major Program: New Growth Projects

Project Title: Transit Facility Expansion

Dept - Project #: 233 Start Year: 2011



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
The LA Transit Facility, located at 619 4th Avenue North comprises approximately 7396 sq. m. The project consists of an addition and renovation to the existing Transit Facility.	The functional audit concluded that the overall layout of the building, including the mechanical work bays were functional for Transit's needs. However, the following deficiencies were noted:
The Addition includes: • An extension to the north storage garage to create additional vehicle storage and a new service line with a new environmentally friendly wash facility • A new coin room to manage bus fares in a secure and efficient manner • A second storey addition over the existing office space to accommodate administration and meeting room needs	 The requirements for indoor vehicle storage exceed capacity The service line is inefficient, and most equipment is at the end of its useful life The space requirements in the administration area exceed capacity, particularly since Access-A-Ride booking and dispatching services relocated into the facility There are no longer any meeting or training rooms
 The Renovations include: Replacement of the existing underground fuel tanks with new above ground tanks Changes to the existing service line to create additional maintenance service bays with new hoists, and scaffolding to efficiently and safely maintain new roof-mounted equipment ont he newer fleet of buses Renovations to the existing main floor administration area 	

			amounts	below a	re in thol	isands o	t dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction			5,000	7,082								12,082
Consultive Services		1,000	180	180								1,360
Equip. & Furnishings			1,000	1,200								2,200
Other		520		220								740
_		1,520	6,180	8,682								16,382
Total Costs		1,520	6,180	8,682								16,382
– Funding												
Grant - AMIP		1,520	5,180	8,682								15,382
PAYG - Transportation			1,000									1,000
		1,520	6,180	8,682								16,382
Total Funding		1,520	6,180	8,682								16,382
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	17	18	95	285	295	307	317	329	343	
Comments												

End Year: 2013





Project Title: North Regional Park

Dept - Project #: 156 Start Year: 2008

End Year: 2015



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The March 2002 Hardieville/Legacy Ridge/Uplands Area Structure Plan identifies a 22 hectare site located in the north central area as the focal point for developing a new Regional Park. This park is in the planning phases of development and following an extensive public engagement process beginning in 2009. Following the completion of an Outline Plan for the area it is anticipated that construction could be completed within 3 years. This park is anticipated to be a destination park for the entire city as well as contributing to the parks system in the north side of the City. Amenities developed will be guided by public will identified in the public engagement process. The expectation is that the new park will be complimentary with other regional parks while being unique and distinctive in the parks system as a whole.

Beginning late in 2010 Parks will work with Real Estate and Land Development during the development of the Outline Plan for the community. As the grades, street network and utilities are finalized detail design of the Park can begin. The detail planning for the community and the detail design for the Park is anticipated to be complete by 2012. Park construction can be completed within three years after design and approvals are done.

Purpose & Justification

The purpose of a regional park is to provide opportunities and attractions that are unique in atmosphere or character which acts as a draw for the entire community while at the same time complementing the region of the city it is located in. The design of a park will provide a number of activities which will appeal to a wide variety of residents. This park will act as the focal point for the parks system in the north as well as a destination park for the entire city. The location of the park balances the distribution of regional parks in Lethbridge and will contribute to the character and appeal of the communities in the northern area of the City.

			All	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction						8,625	8,625						17,250
Consultive Services			1,000										1,000
	-		1,000			8,625	8,625						18,250
Costs - Non-Sharea	ble												
Construction		1,910											1,910
	_	1,910											1,910
	Total Costs	1,910	1,000			8,625	8,625						20,160
Funding	-												
Grant - MSI						6,225	4,025						10,250
PAYG - Community		1,910	1,000			2,400	4,600						9,910
	-	1,910	1,000			8,625	8,625						20,160
	Total Funding	1,910	1,000			8,625	8,625						20,160
Estimated Debt Cha	irges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Opera	ating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	165	173	182	161	201	
Comments													

D - 26a



Project Title: Transit Smart Card

Dept - Project #: 271 Start Year: 2011 D - 27

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

150

1,700

1,700

1,700

1,700

1,700

0

141

Description & Location					Pu	rpose & J	ustificati	on				
Description & Location The project is for the purchase of system that consists of registering current manual fare boxes) and replace the current paper passes Registering fare boxes will be ing and smart card readers will be ing plus the 20 Access-A-Ride vehicd This project has already received Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC).	f an elect og fare bo smart car s and tick stalled on istalled of cles. d grant aj	tronic far oxes (to r rd techno rets). n all 43 tra n all 43 tra oproval u	e collecti eplace th logy (to ansit bus ransit bus	on he es ses	Pun Tra are fina with bo> acc Sm dat ma	rpose & J nsit's curr becoming ancial data in the cash ces will pro- curate and art Card to a for strato rketing pu	ustificati ent manu g obsolete a on the c a auditing ovide cas reliable o echnolog egic servi rposes.	on lal fare bo e. These ash colled processe h controls collection y will prov ce planni	oxes are fare boxe cted whic es. The no s through of reven vide relia ng, servi	over 40 y s do not h creates ew regista docume ues. ble/accur ce monito	rears old record a s concern ering fard nted, rate rider oring and	and .ny ns e ship
		All	amounts	below are	e in tho	usands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		100										100
Consultive Services		150										150
Equip. & Furnishings		1,300										1,300

150

1,700

1,700

1,700

1,700

1,700

0

0

0

110

0

113

0

117

0

121

0

125

0

129

0

133

0

137

Total Costs

Total Funding

<u>Comments</u>

Other

Funding

Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: Art Acquisition Program

Dept - Project #: 169 Start Year: 2008

End Year: Ongoing

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
To fund the Art Acquisition Program	The community has identified, through the Arts Policy, a desire to create a quality public realm in a vibrant and
The program is supported by a designation of 1% of	animated community. Public art should be a source of
Community Services capital facilities projects in excess of	community pride and as such should be designed with an
\$500,000 to the commissioning of public arts projects.	emphasis on craftsmanship, quality and permanence. The community wishes to celebrate local culture and enhance arts awareness through art acquisition.
	There needs to be a policy that creates a mechanism to commission, acquire and determine the relevance of works of art to the community. The Policy needs to include a process to manage resources the city acquires. The policy will also identify criteria for the location, maintenance standards and infrastructure.
	The Art Acquisition Program should ensure the location of public art is aligned with sites identified in the Heart of Our City Master Plan as well as other city plans or initiatives.

		AII	amounts	s below a	re in thou	usands o	of dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Equip. & Furnishings		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
Total Cos	ts	344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
Funding												
PAYG - Community		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
Total Fundir	ng	344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,301
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments





Project Title: Technology Commercialization Centre Project

Dept - Project #: 218 Start Year:

End Year: 2011



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
The Technology Commercialization Centre (TCC) is a facility that will provide entrepreneurs in high-tech fields a place to develop their products and business models into viable companies. The Centre is envisioned to consist of three synergistic operations co-located on adjacent properties. These components are: Economic Development Incubation Offices, Data Centre, and lunctus Geomatics Centre. The City's contribution is to support the Economic Development Incubation Office.	Economic Development Lethbridge has identified that a Technology Commercialization Centre would enhance the economic viability of Lethbridge. The intent is to attract and develop technology companies, creating jobs and diversifying the Lethbridge economy. A Technology Commercialization Centre is consistent with Initiative N-9 of the 2009-2011 Operation Budget.
AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the City of Lethbridge, upon approval of Western Economic Diversification funding, provide a grant of \$1.1 million to Economic Development Lethbridge to be used in the purchase of approximately 10,000 sq.ft. of office space and related data centre equipment for the operation of a Technology Commercialization Centre with funding from the 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Program. Sherring Business & Industrial Park has been identified as the proposed location for this Centre.	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 Total **Costs - Shareable** Construction 1,100 1,100 1,100 1,100 **Total Costs** 1,100 1,100 Funding PAYG - Community 1,100 1,100 1,100 1,100 Total Funding 1,100 1,100 Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments



TCC EAST ELEVATION



TCC NORTH ELEVATION



TCC WEST ELEVATION



TCC SOUTH ELEVATION



Technology Commercialization Centre

Project Title: Twin Ice Centre

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program

. 2011 to 2020

Dept - Project #: 119 Start Year: 2008

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Construction of an approximately 5800 sq. m. twin ice surface facility. This facility will be located on the corner of Britannia Blvd W and Mauretania Road W.	Each winter, Lethbridge Minor Hockey, Lethbridge Athletic Association hockey, University hockey, ringette, figure skating, speed skating, skating lessons, public leisure skating and ice-based school physical education activities depend on
This budget contemplates the following features:	the 5 indoor ice surfaces provided by the City. Each summer, camps and programs use some ice surfaces; non-ice
- 2 NHL sized ice surfaces - 750 spectator seating	activities such as lacrosse use other ice centres.
 Multiple change rooms Food and beverage services 	 With one ice surface per 15,700 residents, Lethbridge needs a net increase of at least one ice surface. For example, Lethbridge minor hockey is forced to regularly schedule games between Lethbridge teams on ice surfaces distant from the City. The project will bring the ratio to 1:13,500 at a population of 95,000. A Twin Ice facility is required to attract regional, provincial and
	national hockey tournaments.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		6,238	12,938									19,176
Consultive Services	300	2,262										2,562
Property Acquisition & Development		2,300										2,300
	300	10,800	12,938									24,038
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Equip. & Furnishings			2,262									2,262
			2,262									2,262
Total Costs	300	10,800	15,200									26,300
Funding												
Grant - MSI		5,800	10,000									15,800
Internal Borrowing		5,000	5,200									10,200
PAYG - Community	300											300
	300	10,800	15,200									26,300
Total Funding	300	10,800	15,200									26,300
Estimated Debt Charges		0	515	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	1,050	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	336	858	886	915	946	977	1,009	1,043	
Projected Net Operating Costs Comments		0	0	336	858	886	915	946	977	1,009	1,043	







Major Program: New Enhance Projects

Project Title: Bill Kergan Centre Renovation

Dept - Project #: 232 Start Year: 2012

End Year: 2014



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
This project includes an addition of approximately 175 sq. m. to	This project addresses the current and future needs of the Bill
expand the commercial kitchen and renovations to the	Kergan Centre by modernising the facility. It will ensure that,
remainder of the building including:	in addition to being a rental facility for community use, the space will also meet Southern Alberta Ethnic Association's
Reception area	current and future programming needs. The end result will be
Stage improvements	that SAEA has a facility that compliments the long term goal
Renovate the lower level to be more effective for rentals and	of the organization of making the centre a contemporary
classroom space	Multicultural Facility and a focal point for building ethnic
Redevelopment of tenant offices	inclusion in the community.
Redevelopment of the reception area into a larger, more	The ability for the SAEA to fulfill the mission and vision is
functional space; relocate and refurbish office space	currently limited because of an old facility and outdated
throughout, refurbish the washrooms, installation of an elevator,	design. The facility is in need of repair as the pending closure
allowing the entire building to be accessible, increasing the	resulted in immediate repairs only taking place. Due to
capacity of the main floor gathering area, enlarging the north	financial constraints, and lack of alternative facilities,
and south entrances, replacement of the mechanical system,	relocation is not a viable option. Revenue generation through
lights and revising the electrical system to suit the new floor	facility rentals/ bookings is currently a financial challenge for
plan configuration and reorganizing the parking lot to	the SAEA due to the limited functionality and condition of the
accommodate 60 stalls.	building.
A 11 (1 (1)	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2017 2018 2019 2020 Prior 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 Total **Costs - Shareable** 4,037 4,037 Construction **Consultive Services** 521 521 Equip. & Furnishings 620 620 Other 374 374 521 5,031 5,552 Total Costs 521 5,031 5,552 Funding Community Groups 521 979 1,500 Grant - MSI 4,052 4,052 521 5,031 5,552 Total Funding 521 5,031 5,552 Estimated Debt Charges 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Projected Net Operating Costs 0 75 0 0 18 70 72 78 81 83 **Comments**



D - 32

5,934

2,967

1,867

1,100

5,934

5,934

9,346

4,673

3,573

1,100

9,346

9,346

Major Program: New Enhance Projects

Project Title: Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades and Replacement

Dept - Project #: 239 Start Year: 2011

Funding

Grant - MSI

Comments

Community Groups

PAYG - Transportation

Estimated Debt Charges Projected Net Operating Costs

Total Funding



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Start Year: 2011			End	Year:	201	4			oupitu	2011 to	2020	gram								
Description & Location	scription & Location									Purpose & Justification										
1. To replace the existing underground infrastructure, including water, wastewater, and storm water. C water, wastewater, and storm water. in							rating an structure d reduce	d mainte and infla operatin	nance co ation. The ig and ma	sts are es e infrastru aintenanc	scalating ucture inv e costs,	due to a vestment and may	aging t v							
2. Replacement of the roof, was and other mechanical systems, f	hrooms,	electrica	l, and HV	AC		incre	ease reve	enue opp	ortunities											
South Pavilion						Red	evelopme	ent press	ures are	being driv	ven by:									
West Pavilion							the poo	r conditic	on and fur	nctionality	/ of the b	uildings,								
North Pavilion							the poo	r conditio	on and fur	nctionality	/ of the s	ite servio	ces							
Heritage Hall							static re	evenues a	and rising	expense	es									
3. A long term redevelopment m of 2 sites being considered for fu site selection includes: Economic Viability Investment requirements Program needs for the Ex	iaster pla iture dev	an that wi elopment and stake	Il select c t. Criteria eholders	one a for																
		All	amounts	below	are in	thou	sands o	f dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	20)14	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total							
Costs - Shareable																				
Construction			5,934		3,4	412							9,346							
			5,934		3,4	412							9,346							
Total Costs			5.934		3.4	112							9.346							

3,412

1,706

1,706

3,412

3,412








Major Program: New Enhance Projects

Project Title: Pathways System Expansion

Dept - Project #: **159** Start Year: **2011**

End Year: Ongoing

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The Bikeways and Pathways Master Plan approved in 2007 recommends the addition of 23.7 km costing \$3.8 M in the short term and 106.3 km costing \$32.35 M in the mid and long term to complete a pathway system capable of meeting the various uses and levels of use. The recommended system connects missing gaps and new pathways capable of meeting the growing demands for alternative transportation and recreation. The proposed pathways represent the highest priorities of the recommendations and are complimentary of the planning underway by the Transportation Department for on-street Bikeways.

L.D. VAALA (Resolution from May 9th, 2007): WHEREAS pathways have become an important part of recreation, and increasingly, transportation systems, and their use can contribute to the health of individuals and the environment AND WHEREAS the current pathways systems has gaps that reduce the enjoyment and value of pathways as recreation and commuter routes AND WHEREAS some roadways are hazardous for cyclists and pedestrians AND WHEREAS access to some destinations, such as downtown, is indirect and inconvenient AND WHEREAS specific priorities are identified in the **Bikeways and Pathways Master Plan** THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT commencing in 2011 an additional ongoing annual allocation from Pay-As-You-Go of \$300,000 (indexed for inflation) be directed to address priorities identified in the Master Plan. -----CARRIED

Purpose & Justification

Master Plan development engaged not only various stakeholders with a vested interest in pathway expansion but especially the general public. The locations and connections proposed are the result of extensive public participation. Expanding the pathway system meets current community recreational needs and growth towards the anticipated trends in recreation. Recent surveys have identified walking as the number one recreational activity in Alberta. Lethbridge also has a higher than average numbers of organized marathons and other public events that take place on pathways. Alternative Transportation on the pathways and bikeways is anticipated to increase as opportunity is provided.

Pathway development and expansion is in alignment with the future vision for Lethbridge defined in the Lethbridge Municipal Development Plan. As the City grows in both space and density pathways provide connectivity, multiple leisure opportunities and provisions for alternative transportation. The expansion will help Lethbridge catch up to European and North American cities with extensive and highly utilized pathway systems. The most recent public survey conducted in Lethbridge identified pathways as the most requested and desired recreational facility.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		1,000	1,050	1,087					360	370	380	4,247
-		1,000	1,050	1,087					360	370	380	4,247
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Consultive Services		20	21	22								63
-		20	21	22								63
Total Costs		1,020	1,071	1,109					360	370	380	4,310
Funding												
PAYG - Community		1,020	1,071	1,109					360	370	380	4,310
-		1,020	1,071	1,109					360	370	380	4,310
Total Funding		1,020	1,071	1,109					360	370	380	4,310
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	9	18	26	35	44	53	60	68	76	
Comments												

PATHWAY SYSTEM EXPANSION



Major Program: New Enhance Projects



Project Title: Leisure Centre Plan Dept - Project #: 243 Start Year: 2012

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

															
Description & Location					Pur	pose & J	ustificati	on							
To identify and confirm the nee City of Lethbridge including des The Study will:	d for a ne ign, deve	w Leisure lopment	e Centre and oper	in the ation.	To provide active engagement of the community, citizens and stakeholders through a comprehensive process designed to identify and confirm the need for a new Leisure Centre in the City of Lethbridge.										
Reference current and upcom	ing recrea	ation faci	lity plann	ing											
documents to assess the state	of Lethbri	idge facili	ities to ide	entify	To investigate and provide answers relating to the community										
• Assess current facility usage	Centre	te and id	ontify gar	ne in	need and desire to:										
amenities available	Jiresiden		entiny gap	55 111	dev	eloped to	meet the	e centre e needs c	of the com	nmunity	u anu				
Assess the past and current d	Assess the past and current demand for recreation programs								vide a mi	x of casu	al and				
and services in the community	stru	ctured lei	isure opp	ortunities	i.										
will support a new facility	ation that	t			• De	esign a fa	cility to c	reate mu	lti-genera	tional lei	sure				
community members		t currenti	y exist ar	nong	lacc	essed sp	ontaneou	nsure ma slv	at larning c	pponuni	lies can L)e			
Assess the overall environme	nt or visio	n for a ne	ew facility	/.	• Ac	cess faci	lity amen	ities and	services	to compl	ement				
Determine the facility compon	ent priorit	ies for a	new facil	ity.	con	nmunity p	riorities c	reating a	commun	ity hub					
• explore potential funding appr	oaches o	r strategi	es for a n	iew	• Er	Ensure a Leisure facility will be designed, developed and apprated with some that are accessible to all residents									
• determine the optimum price	ooint for a	new faci	ility		regardless of age, gender or ability										
			inty.		Design and operate a facility that will investigate										
					opportunities for sustainable design										
					• Explore all opportunities for support and investment into the										
					faci	ity by bot	th private	and not	for profit	sectors					
		All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars								
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total			
Costs - Shareable															
Consultive Services			150									150			
Total Costa			150									150			
Total Costs			150									150			
Funding															
PAYG - Community			150									150			
Total Euroding			150									150			
rotar Funding			150									150			
Estimated Debt Charges															
Projected Net Operating Costs															
Comments															

End Year: 2012

INFRASTRUCTURE ENVIRONMENTAL UTILITIES CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 2011-2020

E - 1

City of Lethbridge

Environmental Utilities

Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

Commencing in the First Three Years

			Project Cos	ts	
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014-2020
Project Costs		All a	amounts below are i	n thousands	
Environmental Utilities					
Waste & Recycling Services Solid Waste Utility					
Waste & Recycling Centre Disposal Cell Development	E - 7		6,300		5,680
Environmental Management at Closed Landfill Sites	E - 8	60	100	2000	
		60	6,400	2,000	5,680
Wastewater Wastewater Utility	_				
Protective Plumbing Program	E-10	1,050	1,100		
Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration Upgrade	E-13	1,575	1,650		
Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks and Clarifier Upgrade	E-14	3,750	4,350		
Wastewater Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade	E-15			230	625
	_	6,375	7,100	230	625
Water	_				
Water Utility	-				
Water Reservoir Upgrades	E-17			288	6,113
Water Treatment Plant No. 2 High Lift Pump Switchgear Upgrade	E-19	735	330		
Water Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade	E-20			230	625
Water Treatment Plant Transmission Pipeline Rehabilitation	E-21	735	220		
Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management	E-22		5,250	5,500	
Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy	E-23			1,050	4,500
	_	1,470	5,800	7,068	11,238
Combined Deep Utility Urban Development (Offsite Levy)					
Metis Trail Drive Deep Utilities Phase II	E-24		2,000		7,500
Northwest Lethbridge Utility Servicing	E-25	16,100	14,650		
Garry Drive Reservoir	E-29	5,155			
Distribution System Looping	E-30	515	531	547	1,747
		21,770	17,181	547	9,247
TOTAL	. PROJECTS	29,675	36,481	9,845	26,790
Project Funding					
Accumulated Surplus		60	500	970	
External Borrowing		2,075	12,000	8,098	16,918
Grant - AMIP			1,650		
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		3,000	3,500		
Landfill Operations			1,000		
Offsite Levy		21,770	15,531	547	9,247
Post Closure Fund			400		
Wastewater Capital		1,300	1,350	230	625
Water Capital		1,470	550		
ΤΟΤΑ	L FUNDING	29,675	36,481	9,845	26,790

E - 2

City of Lethbridge

Environmental Utilities

Capital Improvement	Program 2011 - 2020
---------------------	---------------------

Capita	Project Costs											
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Project Costs							All amou	nts below	are in th	ousands		
Environmental Utilities												
Waste & Recycling Services Solid Waste Utility												
Waste & Recycling Centre Disposal Cell Development	E - 7		6,300			5,200			20	460		11,980
Environmental Management at Closed Landfill Sites	E - 8	60	100	2000								2,160
Gas Management System at Operating Landfill Site	E - 9				2,200	2,000						4,200
		60	6,400	2,000	2,200	7,200			20	460		18,340
Wastewater												
Wastewater Utility												
Protective Plumbing Program	E-10	1,050	1,100									2,150
Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Bioreactors	E-11						3,900			4,350		8,250
Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Digester	E-12				2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration Upgrade	E-13	1,575	1,650									3,225
Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks and Clarifier Upgrade	E-14	3,750	4,350									8,100
Wastewater Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade	E-15			230		625						855
Wastewater Treatment Plant Security	E-16						650	675				1,325
		6,375	7,100	230	2,400	3,125	5,850	675		4,350		30,105
Water												
Water Utility												
Water Reservoir Upgrades	E-17			288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400
Water Treatment Plant and Reservoir Security	E-18						650	675				1,325
Water Treatment Plant No. 2 High Lift Pump Switchgear Upgrade	E-19	735	330									1,065
Water Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade	E-20			230		625						855
Water Treatment Plant Transmission Pipeline Rehabilitation	E-21	735	220									955
Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management	E-22		5,250	5,500								10,750
Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy	E-23			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
		1,470	5,800	7,068	4,300	4,800	650	675	1,050	1,088		26,900
Combined Deep Utility												
Urban Development (Offsite Levy)												
Metis Trail Drive Deep Utilities Phase II	E-24		2,000								7,500	9,500
Northwest Lethbridge Utility Servicing	E-25	16,100	14,650									30,750
North Sanitary Siphon Twinning	E-26								3,829	3,948		7,777
West Siphon Screen Relocation	E-27				1,129	1,164						2,293
SE Regional Lift Station	E-28				1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
Garry Drive Reservoir	E-29	5,155										5,155
Distribution System Looping	E-30	515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
		21,770	17,181	547	2,822	12,230	10,209		3,829	3,948	7,500	80,036
TOTAL PI	ROJECTS	29,675	36,481	9,845	11,722	27,355	16,709	1,350	4,899	9,846	7,500	155,381
Project Funding												
Accumulated Surplus		60	500	970								1,530
External Borrowing		2,075	12,000	8,098	8,900	14,500	6,500	1,350	1,070	5,898		60,390
Grant - AMIP			1,650									1,650
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		3,000	3,500									6,500
Landfill Operations			1,000									1,000
Offsite Levy		21,770	15,531	547	2,822	12,230	10,209		3,829	3,948	7,500	78,386
Post Closure Fund			400									400
Wastewater Capital		1,300	1,350	230		625						3,505
Water Capital		1,470	550									2,020
TOTAL F		29.675	36,481	9.845	11.722	27.355	16,709	1.350	4.899	9.846	7.500	155,381

E - 3 **City of Lethbridge** Environmental Utilities Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020 Net Operating Cost

			Project Es	stimated O	perating Co	osts (All am	ounts belo	w are in tho	ousands)	
	Page	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Environmental Utilities										
Waste & Recycling Services										
Solid Waste Utility										
Environmental Management at Closed Landfill Sites	E - 8	70	75	80	80	85	120	120	125	125
Gas Management System at Operating Landfill Site	E - 9				180	190	200	210	210	210
	-	70	75	80	260	275	320	330	335	335
Wastewater	-									
Wastewater Utility										
Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Bioreactors	E - 11						10	10	20	20
Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Digester	E - 12					30	40	45	50	50
-	-					30	50	55	70	70
Water	-									
Water Utility										
Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management	E - 22		10	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy	E - 23					10	10	10	10	10
	-		10	50	50	60	60	60	60	60
Combined Deep Utilities	-									
Urban Development (Offsite Levv)										
SE Regional Lift Station	E - 28				2	5	7	8	9	10
Garry Drive Reservoir	E - 29	5	8	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
	-	5	8	10	17	25	32	38	44	50
	-									
TOTAL OPERA	TING COSTS	75	93	140	327	390	462	483	509	515
Utility Rate Impact:										
Waste & Recycling Services			_	_			. –		_	
Increase to Landfill Tipping Fee over prior year:	:	70	5	5	180	15	45	10	5	
% Increase to Landfill Tipping Fee over prior year	=	0.94%	0.06%	0.06%	2.20%	0.18%	0.52%	0.11%	0.05%	
Dollar Increase to Landfill Tipping Fee over prior year	-	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.04	\$ 1.40	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.04	
Wastewater										
Increase to Utility Rate over prior year:	=				2	33	24	39	40	40
% Increase to Utility Rate over prior year	-				0.01%	0.19%	0.13%	0.21%	0.21%	0.20%
	-									
Water										
Increase to Utility Rate over prior year:	-	5	13	47	18	62	23	67	28	72
% Increase to Utility Rate over prior year		0.02%	0.06%	0.22%	0.08%	0.27%	0.10%	0.28%	0.11%	0.28%



Landfill Annual Debt Payments







Water Utility Annual Debt Payments

E - 6



Major Program: Solid Waste Utility

Project Title: Waste & Recycling Centre Disposal Cell Development

Dept - Project #: 215 Start Year: 2011

External Borrowing

Landfill Operations

Post Closure Fund

Comments

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Total Funding

End Year: 2019



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location					Purpose & J	lustificatio	on				
This program provides a mecha of class II mixed solid waste (M industrial contaminated soil (ICS Recycling Centre. The program construction and commissioning be constructed as described in for Landfills in Alberta. The cells will include at a minim 1) a clay or composite liner that waste: 2) a leachate collection system leachate level limit; 3) a groundwater monitoring system. MSW cell 5A is proposed to be cell 5B is proposed to be constr disposal cells will accommodate	The Alberta October 25, closure of W disposal cap new disposa and the regic construct dis customers.	Environme 2006, gove aste & Re acity is use I cells to m on. The pu posal cell	ent appro erns the cycling C ed there neet the i rpose of capacity	oval obtai construc Centre. As is a requ immediat this proju- to meet	ned by th tion, oper s availabl irement t re needs ect is to d the needs	e City o ration an le landfil o develo of the Ci lesign ar s of our	n d ip ty nd				
of approximately 750,000 m3 a	e 3 years (dditional a	ot waste c iirspace.	ICS cell	on 7 is							
proposed to be constructed in 2 constructed in the summer of 20	012 and I	CS cell 8	is to be	will							
accommodate approximately 2	to 5 years	of waste	disposal	vviii							
providing between 50,000 m3 a	nd 100,00	0 m3 of a	additional								
landfill, in 2012, as part of the 5	A cell con	struction.	Our Our								
approval requires closure by 20	16. Wast	e & Recy	cling								
Services is undertaking a Waste	e & Recyc	ling Cent	re Maste	r							
Plan for the site. The purpose of that the landfill is designed and	construct	er plan is ed for the	to ensur best	e							
utilization of the space available	e and mee	t regulato	best								
requirements. The master plan	is envisio	ned to gu	ide the								
expansion of the centre to meet	t the comr	nunities lo	ong term								
disposal needs and will include	revised di	isposal ce	ell design	S							
		ΔII a	amounts	below are i	l n thousands o	of dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013 2	014 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable				2010 2	2013	2010	2011	2010	2010	2020	
Construction			5,500		4,600				420		10,520
Consultive Services			800		600			20	40		1,460
			6,300		5,200			20	460		11,980
Total Costs			6,300		5,200			20	460		11,980
Funding											
Accumulated Surplus			400								400

5,200

5,200

5,200

950

0

2,219

0

2,219

0

20

20

20

0

1,269

460

460

460

0

1,376

0

1,269

10,180

1,000

11,980

11,980

400

4,500

1,000

6,300

6,300

0

0

950

0

950

0

0

0

400



Amended November 26, 2012

Capital Improvement Program

2011 to 2020

Lethbridge

Major Program: Landfill

Project Title: Environmental Management at Closed Landfill Sites Dept - Project #: 217

Start Year: 2011

End Year: 2013

Description & Location

The City of Lethbridge owns two old landfill sites that have minimal environmental protection measures.

The North side Landfill site is located west of Stafford Avenue and was operated prior to 1972. The site was closed by Alberta Environment in 1985. During field investigations in 2000, landfill gas surface emissions up to 75 meters away from the site boundary and a significant leachate head were identified. Consequently, setbacks for residential development and a quarterly monitoring program were implemented. The 2008 monitoring event showed evidence of continuous gas migration and new signs of leachate impact at a groundwater well outside the boundary of the landfill.

The Centersite Landfill site is located in an area that is part of the City of Lethbridge's public operations yard. The landfill site was operated from 1961 – 1970. During field investigations in 2000, landfill gas was monitored in the vicinity of the west perimeter wall of the Fleet Services Building. As a result, two vapour extraction systems were installed to contain further landfill gas migration and a quarterly monitoring program was implemented. However, the 2008 monitoring event showed that gas has migrated to the east side of the Fleet Services Building.

Further field investigations, a site analysis and a preliminary design are being completed in 2011 and 2012. Based on the work, the preferred solution has been identified. The project budget for the remediation work at the two sites is \$2 million.

Purpose & Justification

Engineering studies were completed in 2011 and in 2012 by a consulting team to verify site characterization data and to provide a preliminary design and cost estimates for the selected management system for both closed sites. The selected system will mitigate any impacts to human health and safety and fulfill environmental compliance obligations.

At the Centersite location there are indications of continued gas migration towards the Fleet Services building and the Public Works Maintenance building. The Northside closed landfill is located adjacent to the Oldman River Valley, and to the east of a residential development. There is evidence of increased gas and leachate productions, which is typical as a landfill ages. The generation of landfill gas and leachate can pose environmental risks if containment systems and management practices are not developed.

Detailed design and construction of the containment and management systems for the Northside and Centersite will be in 2013. The Centresite construction activities will be coordinate with the Public Operations site improvement project.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction				1,690								1,690
Consultive Services		60	100	310								470
		60	100	2,000								2,160
Total Costs		60	100	2,000								2,160
- Funding												
Accumulated Surplus		60	100	970								1,130
Borrowing - Utilities				1,030								1,030
		60	100	2,000								2,160
Total Funding		60	100	2,000								2,160
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	92	92	92	92	92	92	92	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	80	120	125	125	130	130	135	



Major Program: Solid Waste Utility

Project Title: Gas Management System at Operating Landfill Site

Dept - Project #:	219
Start Year:	2014

End Year: 2015

E-9

Purpose & Justification



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

The City of Lethbridge has owned the Lethbridge Waste and Recycling Centre - formerly know as the Lethbridge Regional Landfill since 1999. In 2009, 172,000 tonnes of waste and recyclables were received at the Waste and Recycling Centre from the City of Lethbridge, surrounding municipalities and commercial customers. Since 1985 over 2 Million tonnes of waste have been disposed at the site. It is one of the largest landfill sites in Southern Alberta.

Landfill gas generation is influenced by a number of different factors. The following factors will significantly increase gas generation:

- High waste volumes
- High percentage of organic waste

High moisture content

Absence of landfill cover and deep waste disposal cells

Based on mathematical models, the Lethbridge landfill generated approximately 60,000 tonnes CO2 equivalent in 2009. In anticipation that reduction obligations will follow the current green house gas emission reporting requirements, the City has included a landfill gas and leachate preliminary design component in the Landfill Masterplan Project. Detailed design and construction of a management system is anticipated for 2012/2013.

In 2002, the Government of Alberta released an action plan for climate change. Starting in 2003, landfills that emit over 100,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent had to report their greenhouse gas emissions. The Specified Gas Emitters Regulation became effective July 1, 2007. Based on emission baselines established in 2003-2005, facilities that emit over 100,000 tonnes CO2 equivalent had to start to reduce their emissions, buy offsets or contribute to a climate change fund. Since 2009, landfills that emit over 50,000 tonnes of CO2 equivalent are required to report their emissions to Alberta Environment. Similar to Alberta, the Federal Government lowered the reporting threshold from 100,000 tonnes to 50,000 tonnes 2009. It is anticipated, that reduction requirements will follow the current reporting requirements for facilities that emit over 50,000 tonnes CO2 equivalent by 2012/2013.

Based on discussions with different consulting teams and considering the landfill site characteristics, the City envisions the construction of an active landfill gas collection system combined with a landfill gas flare. Design and construction of the gas and leachate management system is anticipated for 2014.

		All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction					2,000	1,800						3,800
Consultive Services					200	200						400
					2,200	2,000						4,200
Total Costs					2,200	2,000						4,200
- Funding												
External Borrowing					2,200	2,000						4,200
					2,200	2,000						4,200
Total Funding					2,200	2,000						4,200
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	224	429	429	429	429	429	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	180	190	200	210	210	210	
Comments												





Project Title: Protective Plumbing Program

Dept - Project #: 208 Start Year: 2011



Start Year: 2011 End Year: 20	12 2011 to 2020
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
On March 9, 2009 City Council approved the recommendation	This project will:
Plumbing Program (PPP) for homes that were subject to sewer	Improve the level of service, and
	Reduce the risk of sewer backup.
The purpose of the PPP is to facilitate the retrofit of sump pumps and backwater valves into homes that were damaged. The majority of sewer backups occurred in older areas of the City where there are no backwater valves and sump pumps with storm services.	
The community benefits from each new sump pump installation as it reduces inflow into the sanitary sewer system from the foundation. This results in a lower cost than replacing the large sewers to handle the increased wet weather inflow.	
In April 2010 154 basements have been completed of the 379 registered in the program.	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
-	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
Total Costs	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
Funding												
Wastewater Capital from Operations	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
-	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
Total Funding	1,500	1,050	1,100									3,650
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs

<u>Comments</u>



Protective Plumbing Program

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Bioreactors



Dept - Project #: 207 Start Year: 2016	End Year:	201	19	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Jus	tification
The first three aeration tanks at the City's wa plant were constructed in 1970, the fourth in in 1987. In 1998, the aeration tanks were co bioreactors when the plant was upgraded for removal. The treatment capacity of the existing biorea service a population of approximately 100,00 population of more than 100,000, the plant v additional bioreactors. This project will provide the additional biorea double the plant's treatment capacity.	astewater treatment 1979 and the fifth nverted into r biological nutrient actors is sufficient to 00. To service a vill require actors required to		This project will Leverage use o Maintain existin Allow continued	f existing plant components, g levels of service, and growth and development in Lethbridge.

<u>r 2011</u>	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010	2020	Total
						2017	2010	2013	2020	Total
					3,900			4,350		8,250
					3,900			4,350		8,250
					3,900			4,350		8,250
					3,900			4,350		8,250
					3,900			4,350		8,250
					3,900			4,350		8,250
0	0	0	0	0	0	914	914	914	1,934	
0	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	20	20	
-	0	0 0 0 0 0	 0 0 0 0 0 0	 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 0 0 0 0 914 0 0 0 0 0 10	3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 3,900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 914 0 0 0 0 10	3,900 4,350 3,900 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 10 20	3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 4,350 3,900 2,350



Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Bioreactors

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Digester



Dept - Project #: 206 Capital Improvement Program Start Year: 2014 End Year: 2016 2011 to 2020 **Description & Location** Purpose & Justification The two existing wastewater treatment plant digesters were This project will: constructed in 1987. A third digester is now required to allow periodic maintenance. The maintenance procedure for a Increase performance, improve reliability and maintainability, digester requires that it be drained and taken completely out of service for several weeks. Improve operator health and safety, and This project will construct a third digester and provide the Allow continued growth and development of the City. redundancy needed for maintenance and increased digestion capacity.

		All	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
Total Costs					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
– Funding												
External Borrowing					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
Total Funding =					2,400	2,500	1,300					6,200
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	563	1,149	1,454	1,454	1,454	891	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	30	40	45	50	50	



Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Digester

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration Upgrade



Dept - Project #: 204 Start Year: 2011	End Year:	201	2 Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
The Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration fac constructed in 2002 under a design/build/operate co ten year operating contract expires in 2012.	ility was ontract. The		This project will: Leverage use of existing plant components,
Based on the performance of the facility over the payears, equipment upgrades and additions will be re extend the service life of the facility once the operate expires.	ast eight quired to ting contract		Increase performance, improve reliability and reduce maintenance, and Improve operator health and safety.
This project will consist of removal of equipment fro digester gas floor, installation of replacement or up equipment at an alternate site, installation of a back electrical transformer and replacement of boilers.	om the graded k-up		

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		1,575	1,650									3,225
		1,575	1,650									3,225
Total Costs		1,575	1,650									3,225
Funding												
External Borrowing		1,575	1,650									3,225
		1,575	1,650									3,225
Total Funding		1,575	1,650									3,225
Estimated Debt Charges		0	369	756	756	756	756	387	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Comments												



Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration Upgrade

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks and Clarifier Upgrade

Dept - Project #:	203
Start Year:	2008

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

	2011 10 2020
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Continuation of two previous CIP projects E - 8 and E - 11 of	This project will:
2008-2017 (Project Numbers 134 and 136). This project will	
implement wastewater treatment plant improvements	Leverage use of existing plant components,
recommended in a 2003 Wastewater Treatment Plant Asset	
Condition Assessment. Improvements to the headworks	Increase performance, improve reliability and reduce
facilities include new a grit removal system and screens;	maintenance,
improvements to influent channels and a new receiving station.	
Improvement to the primary and secondary clarifiers consist of	Improve operator health and safety, and
replacement of components that are operating past their useful	
service life.	Allow continued growth and development of the City.
Finance Committee meeting minutes November 21, 2008:	
T.H. WICKERSHAM:	
WHEREAS the operating budget includes the use of grants on	
capital projects for utility rate mitigation	
AND WHEREAS certain capital projects approved in the	
2008-2017 Capital	
Improvement Program are funded from multiple sources	
including grants	
AND WHEREAS minimizing the number of grants used for	
each project	
streamlines the administrative functions of grant applications	
and reporting	
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2008-2017 capital	
budget be	
amended as follows:	
• E-8 Wastewater Headworks Facility - \$6,500,000 funding	
changed from external borrowing to New Deal for Cities and	
Communities (NDCC) grant	
• E-11 Wastewater Clarifier Upgrades - \$1,100,000 funding	
changed from external borrowing to Alberta Municipal	
Intrastructure Program (AMIP) grant	
All amounts below are	in thousands of dollars

_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction	500	3,750	4,350									8,600
-	500	3,750	4,350									8,600
Total Costs	500	3,750	4,350									8,600
– Funding												
External Borrowing	500	500	600									1,600
Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)		3,000	3,500									6,500
Wastewater Capital from Operations		250	250									500
-	500	3,750	4,350									8,600
Total Funding	500	3,750	4,350									8,600
Estimated Debt Charges		63	178	317	317	317	317	317	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

End Year: 2012



Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks and Clarifier Upgrade

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade

Dept - Project #: 213 Start Year: 2013



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
The SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) system	This project will:
water and wastewater treatment plants with real-time and	Improve reliability, and
historical information related to the plant operation and process performance. There are thousands of pieces of information	Maintain existing levels of service.
including flow rates, tank levels, pump discharge pressures, valve statuses, and water temperature and quality	
measurements. All of this information is continuously monitored displayed and recorded by the SCADA system	
The system also provides a means for operators in the plant	
pump speeds, and opening or closing valves.	
This upgrade project will replace aging hardware, improve	
historical data handling, and provide enhancements in the system configuration and security.	

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction				230		625						855
				230		625						855
Total Costs				230		625						855
Funding												
Wastewater Capital from Operations				230		625						855
				230		625						855
Total Funding				230		625						855

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

End Year: 2015



Treatment Plants SCADA Upgrade

Major Program:	Wastewater	Utility
----------------	------------	---------

Project Title: Wastewater Treatment Plant Security

Dept - Project #: 216

Funding External Borrowing

Estimated Debt Charges

Comments

Projected Net Operating Costs

Total Funding



Start Year: 2016			Enc	l Year:	2017			Capita	Improve 2011 to	ment Pro	ogram	
Description & Location					Pur	pose & J	ustificati	<u>on</u>				
This project will increase securit plant. The project will consist of install gates and interior and exterior v	ty at the w ing additic ideo surve	astewate	er treatm ting, cont equipmer	ent rolled nt.	This	s project v	will: security o	of the was	stewater t	treatmen	t facility.	
		All	amounts	below a	are in tho	usands o	of dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction							650	675				1,325
							650	675				1,325
Total Costs							650	675				1,325

1,325

1,325

1,325

E - 16



Wastewater Treatment Plant Security

Dept - Project #: 196

Project Title: Water Reservoir Upgrades



Start Year: 2	96 013	End Year:	2019	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Locatio	<u>n</u>		Purpose &	Justification
This project will consist existing mechanical an Northeast and Mayor I is as follows:	t of replacement an d electrical equipm Vagrath Drive Rese	d upgrading of ent at the Uplands, ervoirs. The allocation	This project Improve relia	will: ability and reduce maintenance,
Reservoir Northeast Uplands Mayor Magrath Dr Total	Cost \$588,000 \$3,675,000 \$2,137,000 \$6,400,000	Schedule 2013-2014 2014-2015 2018-2019	Maintain exi	sting levels of service.

		All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Shareable														
Construction				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
Total Costs				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
Funding														
External Borrowing				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
Total Funding				288	2,100	1,875			1,050	1,088		6,400		
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	30	244	435	435	435	542	653			
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<u>Comments</u>														

E - 17a



Reservoir Upgrades

Major Program:	Water	Utility
----------------	-------	---------

Dept - Project #: 202



Capital	Improvement Program
	2011 to 2020

Start Year: 2016	End Year:	2017	2011 to 2020
Description & Location		<u>P</u>	urpose & Justification
This project will increase the security at the we plant and reservoirs. The project will consist of installing additional gates and interior and exterior video surveilla	ater treatment fencing, controlled nce equipment.	T	his project will:
	All amounts below a	ire in th	iousands of dollars
D : 00	44 0040 0040	0044	

-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction							650	675				1,325
_							650	675				1,325
Total Costs							650	675				1,325
- Funding												
External Borrowing							650	675				1,325
_							650	675				1,325
Total Funding							650	675				1,325
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	67	135	135	135	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<u>Comments</u>												



Water Treatment Plant and Reservoir Security



Dept - Project #: 199 Start Year: 2011	End Year:	201	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020	
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification	
The No. 2 Water Treatment Plant was constructed 1950's. Although the No. 2 plant is no longer used water, the high lift pumps are still used to supply w City's reservoirs. The electrical switchgear has ex- useful life and is in need of replacement. This project will consist of the replacement of exis switchgear and pump motors to extend the servic plant.	d in the mid d for treating water to the sceeded its sting electrical e life of the		This project will: Extend the service life of existing infrastructure, and Maintain existing levels of service.	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction		735	330									1,065
		735	330									1,065
Total Costs		735	330									1,065
Funding												
Water Capital from Operations		735	330									1,065
		735	330									1,065
Total Funding		735	330									1,065
Estimated Debt Charges												
Projected Net Operating Costs												
Comments												



Water Treatment Plant No. 2 HLP Switchgear Upgrade
Project Title: Water Treatment Plant SCADA Upgrade

Dept - Project #: 220 Start Year: 2013



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Description & Location The SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) system is computers and software that provides the operators at the water and wastewater treatment plants with real-time and historical information related to the plant operation and process performance. There are thousands of pieces of information including flow rates, tank levels, pump discharge pressures, valve statuses, and water temperature and quality measurements. All of this information is continuously monitored, displayed and recorded by the SCADA system. The system also provides a means for operators in the plant control rooms to control the facilities remotely; like adjusting pump speeds, and opening or closing valves. This upgrade project will replace aging hardware, improve historical data handling, and provide enhancements in the system configuration and security.	Purpose & Justification This project will: Improve reliability, and Maintain existing levels of service.

			a									
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction				230		625						855
				230		625						855
Total Costs				230		625						855
- Funding												
External Borrowing				230		625						855
				230		625						855
Total Funding				230		625						855
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	54	54	201	201	201	147	147	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars



Treatment Plants SCADA Upgrade



Dept - Project #: 195 Start Year: 2010		End Year:	201	2	Capital	I Improver 2011 to	ment Pro 2020	gram	
Description & Location				Purpose & Ju	ustification				
Water for most of south and north three pump stations: Northeast, N In turn, these pump stations are s water treatment plant through thr Two of these pipelines were cons are nearing the end of their servic This project will consist of the reli to extend their service life.	h Lethbridge is supp Mayor Magrath and supplied with water is ee interconnected p structed in the mid 1 ce life. ining of the existing	blied from Southeast. from the sipelines. 960's and pipelines		This project w Extend the se Maintain exis	vill: ervice life of existir ting levels of servi	ıg infrastri	ructure, a	nd	
	All am	nounts below a	are in	thousands of	f dollars				
	Prior 2011 2	2012 2013	20	014 2015	2016 2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable									

Costs - Shareable				
Construction	1,100	735	220	2,055
-	1,100	735	220	2,055
Total Costs	1,100	735	220	2,055
Funding				
Water Capital from Operations	1,100	735	220	2,055
-	1,100	735	220	2,055
Total Funding	1,100	735	220	2,055
-				

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Water Treatment Plant Transmission Pipeline Rehabilitation

Project Title: Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management

Dept - Project #: **130** Start Year: **2010**



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

	1
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Continuation of previous CIP project E - 16 of 2008-2017	This project will:
(Project Number 130)	
	Improve water quality in Oldman River, and
Evenue cludge from the electrificate person backwash and filter	
Excess sludge from the clarifiers, screen backwash and filler	Ensure compliance with regulatory requirements
Oldman Diver. These wests streams can impact river water	l Ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.
Guillan River. These waste streams can impact river water	
quality and were regulated in the plant's 2010 Alberta	
Environment Approval.	
This preject will previde for the stream of these words streams	
This project will provide for treatment of these waste streams	
before they are discharged to the river.	

		All	amounts	below a	re in tho	usands o	of dollars					
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction			5,250	5,500								10,750
			5,250	5,500								10,750
Total Costs			5,250	5,500								10,750
- Funding												
External Borrowing			5,250	5,500								10,750
			5,250	5,500								10,750
Total Funding			5,250	5,500								10,750
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	536	1,097	1,097	1,097	1,097	1,097	1,097	1,097	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	10	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	
<u>Comments</u>												

2013



Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management

Project Title: Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy

Dept - Project #: **128** Start Year: **2013**



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location			Purp	ose & Jus	stificatio	<u>n</u>				
Description & Location Continuation of existing CIP project E - 17 of (Project Number 128). Project identified during the 2006 CAMP Top assessment. The existing water treatment plant (Plant No. 1982) has two clarifiers. Each of these clarified out of service annually for maintenance. Add existing plant cannot meet daily demand in S unit out of service. This project will retrofit Plant No. 2 (construct new technology and provide the required level process redundancy.	f 2008-2017 Down asset ris 3 constructed ii ers must be take itionally, the summer with one ted in 1956) with el of treatment	k en e	Purp This Mitig Maxi Allow	ose & Jus project wil ate risk of mise value continued	stificatio II: custome e of exist d growth	n er impact ing plant and dev	: of clarifi t compon relopmen	er failure ents, and t of the C	, J Sity.	
·	All amounte k	olow are	in theu	eands of	dollare					
Dries Of			2014	2015	2016	2017	2019	2010	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable	2012	2013	2014	2015	2010	2017	2010	2019	2020	TULAI
		1 050	2 200	2 300						5 550
		1,000	2,200	2,000						0,000

-			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
Total Costs			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
unding											
xternal Borrowing			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
-			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
Total Funding			1,050	2,200	2,300						5,550
stimated Debt Charges	0	0	0	107	332	566	566	566	566	566	
Projected Net Operating Costs	0	0	0	0	0	10	10	10	10	10	



Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy

Amended July 2012

Major Program: Urban Development (Offsite Levy)

Project Title: Metis Trail Drive Deep Utilities Phase II

Dept - Project #: **177** Start Year: **2012**



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
The underground infrastructure that provides underground utility (water distribution, wastewater collection and stormwater	This project will:
collection) servicing to west Lethbridge was constructed forty vears ago. At the present population of west Lethbridge	Extend existing levels of service, and
(30,000), this aging infrastructure is operating at capacity.	Allow growth and development in the southwestern areas of west Lethbridge.
This project will provide the additional water distribution, wastewater collection amd stormwater collection capacity required for growth in the southwestern areas of west Lethbridge.	
The 2012 component of this project will include the detailed design and construction of the deep underground utilities (wastewater collection and stormwater collection) that are required to be constructed within the Metis Trail arterial roadway between Whoop Up Drvie and Temple Blvd.	
	1

			All	amounts	Delow a		isanus u	i uoliai s					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction				2,000								7,500	9,500
	-			2,000								7,500	9,500
	Total Costs			2,000								7,500	9,500
Funding	-												
Offsite Levies				2,000								7,500	9,500
	-			2,000								7,500	9,500
	Total Funding			2.000								7.500	9.500

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

Ongoing



E - 24a

Metis Trail Deep Utilities Phase II

Approved January 23, 2012

Major Frogram. Orban Development (Onsite Levy	Major Program:	Urban	Development	(Offsite	Levy)
---	----------------	-------	-------------	----------	-------

Major Program: Urban Development (Offsite Project Title: Northwest Lethbridge Utility	Levy) / Servicing		Lethbridge	
Dept - Project #: 132 Start Year: 2008	End Year:	2012	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020	
Description & Location		Purpose & Ju	Justification	
Continuation of existing CIP project E - 20 of 2008- (Project Number 132). New water, wastewater and storm lines are require existing levels of service and allow continued grow Lethbridge. The project will consist of new water and wastewate across the river: a second pipeline from the water to plant to west Lethbridge, a second wastewater syp west Lethbridge to the wastewater treatment plant stormwater trunk and outfall servicing the northern west Lethbridge. Water, wastewater and storm line extended along Walsh Drive, Metis Trail and Garry	-2017 ed to maintain th in west ter pipelines treatment whon from and a portion of s will also be v Drive.	This project was capacity to all Increase was reliability in w Provide storm Lethbridge.	will: stewater collection and water distribution system illow continued growth of west Lethbridge, and stewater collection and water distribution system west Lethbridge. m drainage service to the northern areas of west	

	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars												
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction		4,500	16,100	14,650									35,250
	_	4,500	16,100	14,650									35,250
	Total Costs	4,500	16,100	14,650									35,250
Funding	-												
Grant - AMIP				1,650									1,650
Offsite Levies		4,500	16,100	13,000									33,600
	_	4,500	16,100	14,650									35,250
1	Total Funding	4,500	16,100	14,650									35,250
	=												

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

E - 25



Northwest Lethbridge Utility Servicing

Project Title: North Sanitary Siphon Twinning

Dept - Project #: 182 Start Year: 2018



Capital Improvement Program

3,829

3,829

3,829

3,829

3,948

3,948

3,948

3,948

7,777

7,777

7,777

7,777

Start Year: 2018		End Year:	2019		ouplic	2011 to	o 2020	gram	
Description & Location			Pu	rpose & Ju	stification				
The existing siphon that provide servicing to north Lethbridge wa In 2020, the projected populatio 30,000 and the existing siphon This project will provide the add capacity required for growth in the Lethbridge.	es wastewater collectio as constructed thirty ye on of north Lethbridge v will be operating at cap ditional wastewater colle the northern areas of n	n ears ago. will be bacity. ection orth	Thi Ext Allo Let	s project w end existin ow growth a hbridge.	ill: ng levels of servic and development	e, and t in the no	orthern are	eas of no	rth
	All and	unto holowa	are in the	ucondo of	dellara				
						00.10	00.10	0000	Total
Casta Sharashla	<u>Prior 2011 20</u>	<u>J12 2013</u>	2014	2015	2016 2017	2018	2019	2020	IOTAL
Construction						2 000	2 0 4 9		7 777
CONSTRUCTOR						3,829	3,940		7,777

Offsite Levies

Total Funding

Total Costs

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





North Siphon Twinning

Project Title: West Siphon Screen Relocation



Dept - Project #: 180 Start Year: 2014	End Year:	201	5 Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
The existing south siphon is presently the only means conveying wastewater from west Lethbridge to the wa	s of astewater		This project will:
treatment plant.			Leverage use of existing infrastructure,
Relocation of the screen chamber to a higher elevatio increase the capacity of the siphon, without having to	on will install		Extend existing levels of service, and
additional pipelines across the river. The increased ca allow for continued growth in the southern areas of we Lethbridge.	apacity will est		Allow continued growth and development in the southern areas of west Lethbridge.

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction						1,129	1,164						2,293
	-					1,129	1,164						2,293
	Total Costs					1,129	1,164						2,293
Funding	-												
Offsite Levies						1,129	1,164						2,293
	-					1,129	1,164						2,293
	Total Funding					1,129	1,164						2,293

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



West Siphon Screen Relocation



Dept - Project #: 168



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Start Year: 2014	End Year:	2016		2011 to 2020	
Description & Location		F	Purpose & Justification	on	
Continuation of existing CIP project E - 15 of					
2008-2017(Project Number 168).		1	This project will:		
The Southeast Lethbridge Urbanization Plan, con	npleted in				
2002, identified the need for a regional sanitary lit	ft station. The	E	Extend existing levels	of service, and	
lift station is required to service the area east of 4	3 Street S.				
and south of 10 Avenue S.		I A	Allow continued growth	n and development in southeast	
		1	_ethbridge.		
This project will provide the sanitary sewer capac	ity required for				
continued growth of southeast Lethbridge. The pr	oject will				
consist of a wastewater lift station and forcemain	to convey				
wastewater from southeast Lethbridge, north and	west to the				
wastewater treatment plant.					
Resolution Carried at the Council Meeting of Octo	ober 5, 2009:				
C C					
BE IT RESOLVED THAT the report from the Adm	ninistration on				
the Review of Advancing Servicing for the Southe	east (SE)				
Lethbridge Clearview Growth Front, be received a	as information				
and filed					
AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT any ac	lvancement of				
development in this area occur in accordance wit	h City planning				
requirements for Area Structure Plans and Outlin	e Plans prior				
AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT City st	aπ be				
authorized to begin reviewing Area Structure Plan	ns and Outline				
Plans with the Developer, as soon as possible, to	or the land				
	off moot with				
AND FURTHER BETT RESOLVED THAT City St	an meet with				
Institute) to discuss the implications of proposed	offeito				
infrastructure delays concurrently with discussion	on the				
Offsite Levy Rate for 2011 - 2013					
AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT City C	ouncil				
authorize City Staff to explore with the developer	as soon as				
possible, a cost neutral development scenario for	the area that				
allows servicing to be advanced					
AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT any co	st-neutral				
development scenario be brought back to City Co	ouncil for				
review and decision once completed					
All	amounts below	are in t	housands of dollars		

	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
Total Costs					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
Funding												
Offsite Levies					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
Total Funding					1,129	10,484	9,608					21,221
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	0	0	0	2	5	7	8	9	10	

Comments

Project may be accelerated, per the above October 5, 2009 resolution.



Southeast Regional Lift Station

Project Title: Garry Drive Reservoir



End Year: 2011



Dept - Project #: **127** Start Year: **2010**

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Continuation of existing CIP project E - 18 of 2008-2017 (Project Number 127). In 2000, the Underground Infrastructure Master Plan (UIMP) anticipated a shortfall in the amount of emergency (fire) storage available for west Lethbridge. Further, due to higher ground elevations in the northwest portion of west Lethbridge, the required level of service for pressure cannot be met for future development in that area. Both of these issues are best addressed by construction of a second reservoir in west Lethbridge. The new facility will be located north of Garry Drive and west of Metis Trail W. It have a storage capacity of between 15 and 20 million litres, pumping capacity of approximately 40 million litres per day and include stand by power.	This project will: Provide the recommended amount of emergency (fire) storage for west Lethbridge, Provide the same level of service to west Lethbridge as is currently provided to north and south Lethbridge, and Allow continued growth and development of west Lethbridge.

	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable												
Construction	4,500	5,155										9,655
	4,500	5,155										9,655
Total Costs	4,500	5,155										9,655
Funding												
Offsite Levies	4,500	5,155										9,655
	4,500	5,155										9,655
Total Funding	4,500	5,155										9,655
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	5	8	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars



Garry Drive Reservoir

Project Title: Distribution System Looping

Dept - Project #: 129 Start Year: 2008



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Start Year: 2008	End Year:	2016		Oup	2011 to	0 2020	gram	
Description & Location		Pur	pose & Ju	stification				
Continuation of previous CIP project E -19 of 2 (Project Number 129). This project consists of water distribution syste such as additional interconnections, parallel m mains.	2008-2017 em improvements nains and upsized	This Incr Mai Allo	s project w ease wate ntain exist w continue	ill: r distribution sy ing levels of se ed growth and o	vstem reliab rvice, and developmer	illity, ht of the C	City.	
	All amounts below	are in tho	usands of	dollars				
Prior 20 ²	1 2012 2013	2014	2015	2016 2017	2018	2019	2020	Total

	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Shareable													
Construction			515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
	-		515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
	Total Costs		515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
Funding	-												
Offsite Levies			515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
	-		515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340
	Total Funding		515	531	547	564	582	601					3,340

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





Distribution System Looping

INFRASTRUCTURE ELECTRIC UTILITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS 2011-2020

City of Lethbridge Infrastructure - Electric Utility Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020 Projects Commencing in the First Three Years

			Project C	Costs	
Device at On ato	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014-2020
Project Costs			All amounts below an	e in thousands	
Intrastructure - Electric Utility					
Substations					
Protection and Control	F - 4	37	605		2,640
Substation Transformer Upgrades	F-6	1,438			5,125
Substation Infrastructure (Yard/Building) Upgrades	F - 7	305	506	230	143
Substation 13.8kV Switchgear Upgrades	F-9	158			5,063
Substation Structure and Buswork	F - 10	53	77		325
Substation upgrades for Generator Interconnection	F - 12	1,733			
	-	3,721	1,188	230	13,295
Transmission Lines	-				
Line Rebuild of 734L/813L	F - 14	1,050			
		1,050			
Electric -Distribution					
Primary Lines	F 16	2 200	2 260	2 5 2 9	20.162
Printary Line Extension Annual Program	F - 18	5,200	5,300	5,520	30,163
minastructure Replacement Program	F - 17	5,250	5,513	5,766	49,463
Secondary Lines		8,450	8,873	9,316	79,646
Secondary Line Extension Annual Program	F - 18	2 200	2,310	2 4 2 6	20 737
Secondary Metering (Advanced Meter Infrastructure)	F - 19	2,200	2,866	3 597	16 998
Lighting Secondary Annual Program	F - 20	65	2,000	72	613
Lighting Secondary Annual Frogram	20	2 265	5 245	6.005	20 24 0
Transformation	-	2,205	5,245	0,095	30,340
Shared/Dedicated Trans. Annual Program	F - 21	1,250	1,313	1,378	11,782
, and the second s	-	1.250	1.313	1.378	11.782
Electric -Support	-	,	,	,	, -
Communications					
Dark Fibre Communications Systems	F - 22	313	555	310	1,215
Electric Systems Communications	F - 23	10	160	35	80
	-	323	715	345	1,295
Other Support	F 04	000	045	474	
	F - 24	229	215	174	
Facilities & Furnishings Annual Program	F - 25	53	495		
Fleet Annual Program	F - 26	1,634	392	23	
Electric System Environmental Mgmt.	F - 27	200	210	221	1,884
	F - 28	142	144	147	
Work and Financial Management	F - 29	222	153	224	302
Critical Infrastructure Protection	F - 30	275	175	175	680
	-	2,754	1,784	964	2,866
System Control (SCADA)	E 31	21	374		1 020
Lieune System Control		21	374		1,020
		21	374	40.000	1,020
	TOTAL PROJECTS	19,834	19,492	10,320	140,252
Project Funding		0.400	700	700	0.400
		2,436	/36	789	6,409
		5,250	5,513	5,788	444.040
Keserve - MKSK		12,149	13,243	11,751	141,843
	TOTAL FUNDING	19,834	19,492	18,328	148,252

City of Lethbridge Infrastructure - Electric Utility Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

							Project	Costs				
Drainet Conto	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
						All amou	nts below a	are in thous	ands			
Intrastructure - Electric Utility												
Substations												
Protection and Control	F - 4	37	605				1,950	270	420			3,282
Substation Metering	F - 5				216							216
Substation Transformer Upgrades	F - 6	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,563
Substation Infrastructure (Yard/Building) Upgrades	F - 7	305	506	230					70	73		1,183
Substation Breaker and Switches Upgrades	F - 8								210	218		428
Substation 13.8kV Switchgear Upgrades	F - 9	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,220
Substation Structure and Buswork	F - 10	53	77				325					455
Northwest Substation for Additional Capacity	F - 11							6,750				6,750
Substation upgrades for Generator Interconnection	F - 12	1,733										1,733
Transmission Lines Northwest Lethbridge Transmission Line	F - 13							3,375				3,375
Line Rebuild of 734L/813L	F - 14	1,050										1,050
138kV Tie Line	F - 15										7,500	7,500
		4,771	1,188	230	1,716	1,563	2,275	10,395	4,200	3,915	7,500	37,753
Electric -Distribution												
Primary Lines Primary Line Extension Annual Program	F - 16	3 200	3 360	3 528	3 705	3 890	4 084	4 288	4 503	4 728	4 965	40 251
Infrastructure Replacement Program	F - 17	5 250	5 513	5 788	6.078	6 381	6 700	7,036	7 387	7 757	8 144	66 034
Secondary Lines Secondary Line Extension Annual Program	F - 18	2 200	2 310	2 4 2 6	2 547	2 675	2 808	2 948	3 096	3 250	3 4 1 3	27 673
Secondary Metering (Advanced Meter Infrastructure)	F - 19	2,200	2,866	3 597	3 777	3 965	4 164	4 372	480	120	120	23 461
Lighting Secondary Annual Program	F - 20	65	2,000	72	76	70	4,104 83	97	-00	06	101	20,401
Transformation	. 20	05	03	12	70	15	00	07	51	30	101	019
Shared/Dedicated Trans. Annual Program	F - 21	1,250	1,313	1,378	1,447	1,520	1,595	1,675	1,759	1,847	1,939	15,723
		11,965	15,431	16,789	17,630	18,510	19,434	20,406	17,316	17,798	18,682	173,961
Electric -Support												
Dark Fibre Communications Systems	F - 22	313	555	310	280	305	315	315				2,393
Electric Systems Communications	F - 23	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				285
Other Support					-							
Major Tools Annual Program	F - 24	229	215	174								618
Facilities & Furnishings Annual Program	F - 25	53	495									548
Fleet Annual Program	F - 26	1,634	392	23								2,048
Electric System Environmental Mgmt.	F - 27	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	2,515
Facilities Management	F - 28	142	144	147								432
Work and Financial Management	F - 29	222	153	224		302						901
Critical Infrastructure Protection	F - 30	275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
System Control (SCADA)	= 04											
Electric System Control	F - 31	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,415
		3,098	2,873	1,309	715	945	1,030	940	946	295	310	12,460
	TOTAL PROJECTS	19,834	19,492	18,328	20,061	21,017	22,739	31,741	22,462	22,008	26,492	224,174
Project Funding												
Customer Charges		2,436	736	789	824	872	911	951	904	950	997	10,370
External Borrowing		5,250	5,513	5,788								16,551
Reserve - MRSR		12,149	13,243	11,751	19,237	20,145	21,828	30,790	21,558	21,058	25,495	197,253
	TOTAL FUNDING	19,834	19,492	18,328	20,061	21,017	22,739	31,741	22,462	22,008	26,492	224,174

F - 3

City of Lethbridge Infrastructure - Electric Utility Capital Improvement Program 2011 - 2020

Net Operating Cost

			Project Es	stimated O	perating Co	sts (All am	ounts belo	ow are in the	ousands)	
	Page	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Infrastructure - Electric Utility										
Electric - Distribution										
Primary Lines										
Infrastructure Replacement Program	F - 17	221	232	244	256	269	282	296	311	326
Secondary Lines										
Secondary Metering (Advanced Meter	F - 19	255	268	281	295	310	326	342	359	377
Infrastructure)										
Electric - Support										
Other Support										
Facilities Management	F - 28	54	57	60	63	66	69	73	76	80
Work and Financial Management	F - 29	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	34
	TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	553	581	611	641	673	707	742	779	817



Project Title: Protection and Control

Dept - Project #: **TS01** Start Year: **2005**

End Year: Ongoing



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000
equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge.
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System
	and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator)
Transmission Substations are entitled:	and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.)	
146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	Protection and Control encompasses equipment that is
241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.)	utilized for the purposes of providing control, operation and
593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.)	observation of the status of the transmission system. It
674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	includes equipment such as SCADA (supervisory, control and
	data acquisition), RTU (Remote Terminal Unit), station
	metering equipment, protective relaying equipment and all
Current Project and Program Requirements:	associated low voltage wiring.
2011 All substations. Ungrade word junction beyon	
2011 All substations, Upgrade yard junction boxes.	
2012 All substations, opgrade battery and charging	
2012 Substation 1465 Ungrade/raplace transformer	
2012 Substation 503S. Ungrade transformer and 138 kV/ bus	
protection	
2016 Substation 111S Replace existing P&C equipment	
(end of life)	
2017 Substation 674S. Ungrade control cables to 138 kV	
breakers.	
2018 Substation 241S. Upgrade all control cables to vard.	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable	9												
Line Upgrading		1,000											1,000
Replacements			37	605				1,950	270	420			3,282
	-	1,000	37	605				1,950	270	420			4,282
	Total Costs	1,000	37	605				1,950	270	420			4,282
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		1,000	37	605				1,950	270	420			4,282
	-	1,000	37	605				1,950	270	420			4,282
	Total Funding	1,000	37	605				1,950	270	420			4,282

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

F-4



Project Title: Substation Metering

Dept - Project #: **TS03** Start Year: **2005**

End Year: 2014

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

	1
Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000
equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge.
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System
	and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator)
Transmission Substations are entitled:	and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.)	
146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	Substation metering provides the information required for the
241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.)	AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator) to accurately bill the
593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.)	City of Lethbridge for transmission access. In addition, the
674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	information provided is essential in managing transmission assets.
Current Project and Program Requirements:	Federal government regulations require testing or replacement of substation metering every 7 to 8 years.
2014 All Substations, Replace existing metering (end of life).	Provincial standards require testing (and potential
	Penlacement of substation matering is estimated to be
	required in 2014 but will actually occur when pecessary (as
	test results indicate the need)

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Line Upgrading		85											85
Replacements	_					216							216
	_	85				216							301
Т	Total Costs	85				216							301
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR	_	85				216							301
	-	85				216							301
Tot	al Funding	85				216							301

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: Substation Transformer Upgrades

Dept - Project #: **TS04** Start Year: **2005**



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000
equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge.
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System
	and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator)
Transmission Substations are entitled:	and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.)	
146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	The Transformer Upgrade program provides for the repair
241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.)	and/or replacement of the large power transformers located
593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.)	in each substation. In some instances, these transformers
674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	may have a 30 to 50 year life depending on operating
	circumstances and loading.
Current Project and Program Requirements:	Testing and monitoring of these critical transformers is done
	on a regular basis, and the test and monitor results form the
2011 Substation 111S, Replace transformer T3 (end of life).	basis for decisions involving the replacement of the
2015 Substation 674S, Replace transformer T1 (end of life).	transformers.
2018 Substation 241S, Replace transformer T1 (end of life).	
2019 Substation 241S, Replace transformer T2 (end of life).	
	1

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Sharea	able												
Line Upgrading		150											150
Replacements	_		1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,563
		150	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,713
	Total Costs	150	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,713
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		150	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,713
	-	150	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,713
	Total Funding	150	1,438				1,563			1,750	1,813		6,713

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

<u>Comments</u>



Project Title: Substation Infrastructure (Yard/Building) Upgrades

Dept - Project #: **TS05** Start Year: **2005**

End Year: Ongoing



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000
equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge.
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System
	and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator)
Transmission Substations are entitled:	and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.)	
146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	This transmission program involves all changes or upgrades
241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.)	required to substation yards, fences, buildings and associated
593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.)	equipment. Other than the standard (building code) electrical
674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	contained in the building, it typically does not include electrical
	work.
Current Project and Program Requirements:	Yard resurface/gravel is typically required where the existing
	yard surface does not provide sufficient support (under
2011 Substation 241S, Yard resurface/gravel.	certain conditions) for electrical maintenance vehicles. In
2011 Substation 241S, Repair/rebuild existing access road.	some cases, the yard resurface/gravel may become
2011 All Substations, Upgrade emergency DC lighting.	necessary where grounding grid upgrades are required.
2012 Substation 241S, Upgrade Control Building.	Access road upgrades are typically required where
2012 Substation 241S, Install Water/Wastewater Facilities.	emergency vehicles cannot access substations in certain
2013 Substation 111S, Yard resurface/gravel.	conditions (weather or water related). In some cases (241S),
2018 Substation 146S, Upgrade roof of control building.	an adhoc approach is being replaced with a more formalized
2019 Substation 593S, Upgrade roof of control building.	road structure.
1	1

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Line Upgrading	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683
	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683
Total Costs	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683
Funding												
Reserve - MRSR	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683
	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683
Total Funding	1,500	305	506	230					70	73		2,683

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: Substation Breaker and Switches Upgrades

Dept - Project #: TS06 Start Year: 2009

End Year: Ongoing Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	Substations convert the high transm
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	of Substations convert the high tran
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	volts) to a lower distribution voltage
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	then provides power to all users with

Transmission Substations are entitled: 111S (River bottom - 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.) 146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.) 241S (Industrial Park - 4357 32nd Street N.) 593S (S.E. Lakeview - 2275 43rd Street S.) 674S (West Side - 3555 25th Street W.).

Current Project and Program Requirements:

2018 Substation 146S, Upgrade motorized disconnect switches. 2019 Substation 593S, Upgrade motorized disconnect switches

nission voltage to the o all users within the City smission voltage (138,000 (13,800 volts) - which hin the City of Lethbridge. Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator) and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Line Upgrading	1,500											1,500
Replacements									210	218		428
	1,500								210	218		1,928
Total Costs	1,500								210	218		1,928
Funding												
Reserve - MRSR	1,500								210	218		1,928
	1,500								210	218		1,928
Total Funding	1,500								210	218		1,928
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs




Project Title: Substation 13.8kV Switchgear Upgrades

Dept - Project #:	TS07
Start Year:	2008

End Year: Ongoing

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery") and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at 138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid. Transmission Substations are entitled: 111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.) 146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.) 241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.) 593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.) 674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.). Current Project and Program Requirements: 2011 Substation 241S, Upgrade Power Cables and Cable Tray for T1 & T2. 2014 Substation 146S, Upgrade 13.8kV Switchgear Equipment. 2019 Substation 593S, Upgrade 13.8kV Switchgear Equipment.	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000 volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge. Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator) and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
All amounts below are in	thousands of dollars

	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars														
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Non-Shareable															
Line Upgrading		450											450		
Replacements			158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,220		
	-	450	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,670		
	Total Costs	450	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,670		
Funding	-														
Reserve - MRSR		450	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,670		
	-	450	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,670		
I	Total Funding	450	158			1,500				1,750	1,813		5,670		
Estimated Debt Charge	- S														

Projected Net Operating Costs



Project Title: Substation Structure and Buswork

Dept - Project #: **TS08** Start Year: **2011**

End Year: Ongoing

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery") and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at 138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid. Transmission Substations are entitled: 111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.) 146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	Substations convert the high transmission voltage (138,000 volts) to a lower distribution voltage (13,800 volts) – which then provides power to all users within the City of Lethbridge. Transmission plant owned by the City of Lethbridge is considered part of the Alberta Interconnected Electric System and is subject to AESO (Alberta Electric System Operator) and AUC (Alberta Utilities Commission) approvals.
241S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.) 241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.) 593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.) 674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	footings, steel structures and aluminum buswork and connectors that transmit 138 kV from device to device within each of the substations.
 Current Project Program Requirements 2011 Substation 241S, Repair 138 kV bus deflection issue. 2012 Substation 674S, Repair 138 kV bus deflection issue. 2016 Substation 111S, Installation of isolating switches for maintenance. 	Current program : Anomalies or issues have been noted at several substations where the span from support to support is too long and in certain conditions (wind and ice) is causing deflection problems. Additional support is required to resolve these issues. Substation 111S was a turnkey project and as such isolating switches were not originally installed. As age becomes more of an issue at this substation, isolating switches will be
	required to allow maintenance to be performed without shutting the substation down.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars															
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 202									
Costs - Non-Shareable)														
Replacements			53	77				325					455		
	_		53	77				325					455		
	Total Costs		53	77				325					455		
Funding	-														
Reserve - MRSR			53	77				325					455		
	-		53	77				325					455		
I	Fotal Funding		53	77				325					455		

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





Project Title: Northwest Substation for Additional Capacity

Dept - Project #: TS10	
Start Year: 2017	



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and	Increasing load in West Lethbridge will require a second point
equipment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The	of delivery substation in the next 5 to 10 year time frame.
City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery")	This component of the project would be the point of delivery
and approximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at	substation for the distribution system in NW Lethbridge.
138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity grid.	Original development would be a standard layout 2 lines of
	supply 138 to 13.8 kV substation with a single 18/24/30 MVA
Transmission Substations are entitled:	transformer / OLTC and 4 feeder breakers.
111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.)	
146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.)	The requirement for this substation will be made either by
241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.)	AESO or by Distribution Design (depending on timing and
593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.)	other considerations). Alternatively, if a new switching point is
674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	required, then AESO will make that determination.
Current project and Program Requirements	
2017 New Point of Delivery Substation in North West	
Lethbridge.	
L'	n thousands of dollars

	All amounts below are in thousands of donars													
	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Non-Shareab	le													
Additions									6,750				6,750	
									6,750				6,750	
	Total Costs								6,750				6,750	
Funding														
Reserve - MRSR									6,750				6,750	
									6,750				6,750	
	Total Funding								6,750				6,750	

Estimated Debt Charges Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

End Year: 2017

PROPOSED STUDY AREA FOR NEW POINT DELIVERY SUBSTATION FOR WEST LETHBRIDGE (TS10-F11)



Project Title: Substation upgrades for Generator Interconnection

Dept - Project #: TS11 Start Year: 2010	End Year:	201	Capital Improvement Program12011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
Transmission is defined in the Electric Utility Act a equipment that operates at a voltage greater than City of Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points and approximately 35 km of transmission lines tha 138 kV and interface with the provincial electricity Transmission Substations are entitled: 111S (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.) 146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.) 241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.) 593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.) 674S (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.).	as plant and 25 kV. The s of Delivery") at operate at grid.		Dependent on Generator decision to interconnect at 241S the City would receive AESO/AUC direction to add a 138 kV bay to 241S for Generator access to AIES. This includes all yard, structure, buswork, switches, and Protection & Control upgrades to accommodate the interconnection. A new 1.2 km 138 kV transmission line to connect Generator site to 241S would also be required. This line would be 795 conductor single circuit construction. Most of the funding will be provided via customer contribution.
2011 Substation 241S, Upgrades for Generato Interconnection. 2011 Transmission Line, Transmission line fro 241S to new Generator Station.	or m Substation		
١١٨	amounts below	are in	thousands of dollars

		All	amounts	b Delow a	ie in tho	usanus u	i uonai s					
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Additions		1,733										1,733
		1,733										1,733
Total Cost	s	1,733										1,733
Funding												
Customer Charges		1,733										1,733
		1,733										1,733
Total Fundin	g	1,733										1,733
Estimated Debt Charges												
Projected Net Operating Costs												
Comments												

F - 12





PROPOSED STUDY AREA FOR ADDITION OF ELECTRICAL GENERATOR/TRANSMISSION LINE IN SHERRING INDUSTRIAL PARK (TS11-F12) Major Program: Transmission Lines

Project Title: Northwest Lethbridge Transmission Line



		2011 to 2020
	Pur	pose & Justification
n in	Inci of c Thi tha	reasing load in West Lethbridge will require a second point lelivery substation in the next 5 to 10 year time frame. s component of the project would be the transmission line t would provide 138 kV connection to the Alberta
	Inte Alta	grated Electric System. A double circuit line connecting to link's 172 Line just NW of Lethbridge city limits would be
very	con	structed.
	The AE: oth req	e requirement for this substation will be made either by SO or by Distribution Design (depending on timing and er considerations). Alternatively, if a new switching point is uired, then AESO will make that determination.
	n in very	n in Incr of d This that Inte Alta very con The AES oth req

		All	amounts	s below a	re in tho	usanas o	of dollars					
<u> </u>	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Additions								3,375				3,375
-								3,375				3,375
Total Costs								3,375				3,375
Funding												
Reserve - MRSR								3,375				3,375
								3,375				3,375
Total Funding								3,375				3,375
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs



TRANSMISSION LINE TO SUPPLY NEW POINT OF DELIVERY SUBSTATION IN NW LETHBRIDGE (TS12-F13) Major Program: Transmission Lines

Project Title: Line Rebuild of 734L/813L

Dept - Project #: TS13 Start Year: 2011



Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
Rebuild 734L/813L from 26th Ave to 241S	This project was missed by AESO during the SE upgrade. The conductor is now a bottle neck in the system (336 currently upgrade to 477). The insulators are failing on the
Current Project and Program Requirements	existing structures. Existing structures were not set properly and are starting to go off vertical. This project will rebuild this
2011 Transmission Line, Rebuild 734L/813L from 26th Avenue N. to Substation 241S.	section of line according to the same standard design as was employed during SE upgrade on 26th Ave. This project will either go forward as a result of AESO direction in 2011 if proposed generator attached to 241S goes forward or AUC capital maintenance in 2012.
All amounto below are in	the upondo of dollaro

All amounts below are in thousands of doi 2019 2020 Prior 2011 2016 2017 2018 Total 2012 2013 2014 2015 Costs - Non-Shareable Line Upgrading 1,050 1,050 1,050 1,050 Total Costs 1,050 1,050 Funding 1,050 Reserve - MRSR 1,050 1,050 1,050 Total Funding 1,050 1,050 Estimated Debt Charges Projected Net Operating Costs **Comments**

2011

End Year:



REBUILD 734L / 813L SECTION FROM 26th AVE TO 241S SUBSTATION (TS13-F14)

F - 14a

				F - 1	5		1					
Major Program: Transmiss	sion Lines							a	Спт	0F		
Project Title: 138kV Tie	Line						×	5 L	elhbr	idge		
Dept - Project #: TS14							0					
Start Year: 2020			End Year	r: Ong	going		2011 to 2020					
Description & Location					Purpose 8	Justificati	ion					
Transmission is defined in the E equipment that operates at a vo City of Lethbridge has 5 main su and approximately 35 km of tran 138 kV and interface with the pr Transmission Substations are e 111S (River bottom – 19 Botteri 146S (Industrial Park – 4115 9tt 241S (Industrial Park – 4357 32 593S (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43 674S (West Side – 3555 25th S Current Project and Program Re 2020 Transmission Line, Ne new North West substation and	mission is defined in the Electric Utility Act as plant and ment that operates at a voltage greater than 25 kV. The f Lethbridge has 5 main substations ("Points of Delivery") pproximately 35 km of transmission lines that operate at V and interface with the provincial electricity grid. mission Substations are entitled: (River bottom – 19 Botteril Bottom Road S.) (Industrial Park – 4115 9th Ave. N.) (Industrial Park – 4357 32nd Street N.) (S.E. Lakeview – 2275 43rd Street S.) (West Side – 3555 25th Street W.). nt Project and Program Requirements Transmission Line, New 138kV connection between lorth West substation and Bowron 674S.						ement 725 bridge Su re Chinoc idge Site nis substa on Design Alternativ will make	5LW and bstations k Trail R tion will b (depend vely, if a that dete	provide a in West OW from be made ding on ti new swite ermination	an improv Lethbridg of 674S either by ming and ching poi n.	ved ge. I nt is	
		All an	iounts belo	w are in	thousands	of dollars						
• · · • • · · ·	Prior 2	2011 2	2012 201	13 20	014 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total	
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Additions										7,500	7,500	
- Total Costs										7,500	7,500	
Funding										1,000	1,000	
Reserve - MRSR										7.500	7.500	
-										7,500	7,500	
Total Funding										7,500	7,500	
Estimated Debt Charges Projected Net Operating Costs												
Comments												

<u>Comments</u>



NEW 138kV TRANSMISSION LINE CONNECTION BETWEEN NW SUBSTATION AND 674S (TS14-F15)

Major Program: Primary Lines

Project Title: Primary Line Extension Annual Program

Dept - Project #: **DP01** Start Year: **2004**

End Year: Ongoing

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & LocationPurpose & JustificationThis program provides for the extension and improvement of the electric distribution system at voltages of 13.8 kV. It also provides for the acquisition of distribution lines within the service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into those areas serviced by Fortis Canada.As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act, the City of Lethbridge is responsible to provide Electric Distribution services to the residents of Lethbridge. Primary lines are extended into newly developed areas within the City. Improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading.Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually.2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)		
This program provides for the extension and improvement of the electric distribution system at voltages of 13.8 kV. It also provides for the acquisition of distribution lines within the service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into those areas serviced by Fortis Canada.As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act, the City of Lethbridge is responsible to provide Electric Distribution services to the residents of Lethbridge. Primary lines are extended into newly developed areas within the City. Improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading.Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually.2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)	Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
 the electric distribution system at voltages of 13.8 kV. It also provides for the acquisition of distribution lines within the service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into those areas serviced by Fortis Canada. Currently there are 775 km of 13.8 kV Primary lines and 2,500 items of associated equipment. Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. Lethbridge is responsible to provide Electric Distribution services to the residents of Lethbridge. Primary lines are extended into newly developed areas within the City. Improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading. 2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada) 	This program provides for the extension and improvement of	As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act. the City of
provides for the acquisition of distribution lines within the service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into those areas serviced by Fortis Canada.services to the residents of Lethbridge. Primary lines are extended into newly developed areas within the City. Improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading.Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually.2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)	the electric distribution system at voltages of 13.8 kV. It also	Lethbridge is responsible to provide Electric Distribution
service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into those areas serviced by Fortis Canada.extended into newly developed areas within the City. Improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading.Currently there are 775 km of 13.8 kV Primary lines and 2,500 items of associated equipment.2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)	provides for the acquisition of distribution lines within the	services to the residents of Lethbridge Primary lines are
Indication of the only of Lothin age as the only according of the only a	service area of the City of Lethbridge as the City develops into	extended into newly developed areas within the City
Currently there are 775 km of 13.8 kV Primary lines and 2,500 items of associated equipment. Improvements to the system are required to character the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading. Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. 2012 Program Projects:	those areas serviced by Fortis Canada	Improvements to the system are required to ensure the
Currently there are 775 km of 13.8 kV Primary lines and 2,500 items of associated equipment. Integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading. Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. 2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)	inose areas serviced by Fortis Ganada.	integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and
Currently there are 775 km of 13.6 kV Primary lines and 2,500 Changes to technical of regulatory requirements and increased loading. Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. 2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada) Distribution Control (Scada)	Currently there are 775 km of 12.9 kV/ Drimany lines and 2.500	changes to technical or regulatory requirements and
Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. Distribution Control (Scada)	items of accessisted environment	
Program requires an average of 11% in new investment annually. 2012 Program Projects: Distribution Control (Scada)	items of associated equipment.	increased loading.
annually. Distribution Control (Scada)	Program requires an average of 11% in new investment	2012 Program Projects:
	annually.	Distribution Control (Scada)
Annual program reinvestment forecast is based on annual plant I 111S Cable Congestion South	Annual program reinvestment forecast is based on annual plant	111S Cable Congestion South
depreciation of 3.72%.	depreciation of 3.72%.	Replace Porcelin Arrestors
New Feeders From 674S		New Feeders From 674S
2011 Program Projects: Fortis Line Purchase	2011 Program Projects:	Fortis Line Purchase
Distribution Control (Scada)	Distribution Control (Scada)	Install PME 5 various
Replace Porcelin Arrestors Replace Overhead Copper Wire	Replace Porcelin Arrestors	Replace Overhead Copper Wire
Replace L B4 Rebuild 241S-3 (36th St N & 39th St N)	Replace I B4	Rebuild 241S-3 (36th St N & 39th St N)
Remove 111S-2 River Crossing Rebuild 241S - 5 & 6	Remove 111S-2 River Crossing	Rebuild 241S - 5 & 6
Install PME 5 various	Install PMF 5 various	Cable work around Public Operations Upgrade
Replace Concrete Poles 43 St Hwy 3 to 146S	Replace Concrete Poles 43 St Hwy 3 to 146S	500 MCM Riser Pole Arrestor Grounds
O/H rebuild 26 Ave N (28th to 36th St)	Ω/H rebuild 26 Ave N (28th to 36th St)	New Commercial
LI/G portion of 593S-5 (593S to 10th Ave)	U/G portion of 593S-5 (593S to 10th Ave)	New Residential
Underground 241 - 3 & 4 Miscellaneous	Underground $241 - 3 \& 4$	Miscellaneous
146S-3 tie to 241S-3	1465-3 tie to 2415-3	Underground 26th Ave 13 St to 28 St
Renlace Overhead Conner Wire	Replace Overhead Conner Wire	
500 MCM Diser Dole Arrestor Grounds	500 MCM Riser Pole Arrestor Grounds	2013 Program Projecte:
Now Circuit from SC01 to SC204	Now Circuit from SC01 to SC204	Distribution Control (Scada)
New Commercial	New Commorcial	Eartis Line Durchase
New Continencial New Circuit from 1119 to 5029	New Commercial	Now Circuit from 1118 to 5028
Missellaneeue	New Residential	Replace Overhead Conner Wire
Inscellatieous Replace Overfield Copper Wire	Miscellaneous	Eliminate West Lethbridge Switching Station
		1110 Coble Consection North
Debuild O/U 4400 Deals 8, 27th Street		Debuild O/LL 4400 Direct & 27th Chreat
Rebuild U/H 1100 Block & 27 th Street		Repullo U/H 1100 Block & 2/th Street
500 Michin Riser Pole Arrestor Grounds		
		New Commercial
New Residential		
Miscellaneous		Miscellaneous

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Line Extension		2,700	2,835	2,977	3,126	3,282	3,446	3,618	3,799	3,989	4,189	33,961
Line Upgrading		500	525	551	579	608	638	670	704	739	776	6,290
		3,200	3,360	3,528	3,705	3,890	4,084	4,288	4,503	4,728	4,965	40,251
Total Costs		3,200	3,360	3,528	3,705	3,890	4,084	4,288	4,503	4,728	4,965	40,251
Funding												
Reserve - MRSR		3,200	3,360	3,528	3,705	3,890	4,084	4,288	4,503	4,728	4,965	40,251
		3,200	3,360	3,528	3,705	3,890	4,084	4,288	4,503	4,728	4,965	40,251
Total Funding		3,200	3,360	3,528	3,705	3,890	4,084	4,288	4,503	4,728	4,965	40,251

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





Major Program: Primary Lines

Project Title: Infrastructure Replacement Program

Dept - Project #: **DP03** Start Year: **2011**

End Year: Ongoing

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location

This program provides for the replacement and improvement of the electric distribution system at voltages of 13.8 kV, 240/120V and 208/120V.

Funding for plant replacement is provided from the MRSR with funds deposited as the assets were depreciated over their operational life. Exact costing for this project is difficult to estimate so one or two small pilot projects are being designed and constructed in 2010. The data collected from the trials will be utilitized to make program improvements and to better estimate future funding requirements.

Funding for this project will depend upon the results from the pilot project in 2010 and 2011. 2 FTE's (one designer and one contract administrator) will be required to monitor the contractors for the pilot project and the results from the pilot will determine whether the use of contractors and external resources is appropriate. In the event that internal resources are deemed a more appropriate solution, an additional 7 FTE's will be required in 2012 (subject to a cost/benefit analysis).

Purpose & Justification

As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act, the City of Lethbridge is responsible to provide Electric Distribution services to the residents and businesses of Lethbridge. Power lines are extended into newly developed areas within the City and improvements to the system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical or regulatory requirements and increased loading.

Underground cable was first installed in Lethbridge in the late 1960's and has performed very well in our operating and environmental conditions. The original cable was expected to have an estimated lifespan of 20 to 30 years but is now over 40 years old. Our operating policies have allowed us to obtain the additional life from the cable but it has reached the end of its practical lifespan. This project will allow us to enter areas with aged cable and replace the entire area at one time. This is a normal function of any aging asset and will be a continuing project for the next 10+ years. The new cable is constructed with improved designs providing an expected lifespan of 40 - 50 years.

	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Non-Shareable														
Line Upgrading		5,250	5,513	5,788	6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	66,034		
		5,250	5,513	5,788	6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	66,034		
Total Costs		5,250	5,513	5,788	6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	66,034		
Funding														
External Borrowing		5,250	5,513	5,788								16,551		
Reserve - MRSR					6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	49,483		
		5,250	5,513	5,788	6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	66,034		
Total Funding		5,250	5,513	5,788	6,078	6,381	6,700	7,036	7,387	7,757	8,144	66,034		
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Projected Net Operating Costs		51	221	232	244	256	269	282	296	311	326			
<u>Comments</u>														





Major Program: Secondary Lines

Project Title: Secondary Line Extension Annual Program

Dept - Project #: DS01				Capital Improvement Program
Start Year: 2004	End Year:	Ong	going	2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Ju	stification
This program provides for the extension and improve the electric distribution system at voltages of 240/12 208/120 V.	ement of 0 V and		As a wire own Lethbridge is r services to the enclosures are	er under the Electric Utility Act, the City of esponsible to provide Electric Distribution e residents of Lethbridge. Secondary lines and e extended from shared transformers in newly
Currently there is approximately 1050 km of seconda 2000 enclosures.	ary and		developed are	as to the property line.
Funding for plant expansion is partially provided as contributions by the developer with the balance inve the MRSR.	sted from		In residential c on property to replacements the integrity ar	levelopments the City also extends the service the meter base. Improvements and to the secondary system are required to ensure and reliability of the system, public safety, basical requirements, and increased leading or
Program requires an average of 10% in new investm annually.	nent		load growth.	sinical requirements, and increased loading of
Annual program reinvestment forecast is based on a depreciation of 3.33%	annual plant		2013 Program	Projects
2011 Program Projects			O/H Rebuilds U/G Rebuilds Miscellaneous	(See DP01)
O/H Rebuilds (See DP01 for list) U/G Rebuilds			New Resident	ial cial
Miscellaneous New Residential New Commercial				
2012 Program Projects				
O/H Rebuilds (See DP01 for list) U/G Rebuilds Miscellaneous New Residential New Commercial				

	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total		
Costs - Non-Shareable														
Line Extension		1,200	1,260	1,323	1,389	1,459	1,532	1,608	1,689	1,773	1,862	15,095		
Line Upgrading		1,000	1,050	1,103	1,158	1,216	1,276	1,340	1,407	1,477	1,551	12,578		
		2,200	2,310	2,426	2,547	2,675	2,808	2,948	3,096	3,250	3,413	27,673		
Total Cost	s	2,200	2,310	2,426	2,547	2,675	2,808	2,948	3,096	3,250	3,413	27,673		
Funding														
Customer Charges		330	347	364	382	401	421	442	464	488	512	4,151		
Reserve - MRSR		1,870	1,963	2,062	2,165	2,274	2,387	2,506	2,632	2,762	2,901	23,522		
		2,200	2,310	2,426	2,547	2,675	2,808	2,948	3,096	3,250	3,413	27,673		
Total Fundin	g	2,200	2,310	2,426	2,547	2,675	2,808	2,948	3,096	3,250	3,413	27,673		

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





Major Program: Secondary Lines

Project Title: Secondary Metering (Advanced Meter Infrastructure)

Dept - Project #: **DS02** Start Year: **2012**

End Year: Ongoing

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
This program provides for the development of Advanced Meter Reading to assist the Utility in acquiring meter reads and in the development of load profiles for each rate class. 2011 - Pilot Project Extension The program provides for the purchase of the wireless system	As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act of Alberta, the City of Lethbridge is responsible for providing metering and meter data management services within the Lethbridge service area. In 2010 the Department of Energy will pass legislation to mandate the use of AMI to provide hourly data to the customer.
required to retain meter data for approximately 2400 commercial secondary service customers with an estimated average cost of \$560.	AMI initiative for improved meter services including reduced meter reading costs, elimination of reading estimates and errors, more accurate billing and collection practices, and increased customer services. Large customers are targeted
2012 - Ongoing The ongoing program will continue to be extended to all meters installed with an average cost of \$200 for approximately 33,500 customers over a 5 year period.	as they represent 1/3rd of the total load so there is a requirement for timely and accurate data. "Hard to read" customers have meters located in difficult to access areas which leads to significant cumulative estimates.
2013 Meter Data Management Application.	
Please note : Electric Project DS-02 (now F-19) was previously approved in the 2008-2017 Capital Improvements Program. That approval involved regular replacement of meters (estimated at approximately 340,000 per year), and the extension of the meter data acquisition system (estimated at approximately 1,000,000 for 2011 only). The standard replacement of meters has been temporarily delayed (pending approval of the new AMI legislation); the extension of the meter data acquisition system will proceed in 2010/2011 as originally planned.	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Additions									120	120	120	360
Line Extension	1,420											1,420
Replacements			2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	360			23,101
	1,420		2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	480	120	120	24,881
Total Cos	sts 1,420		2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	480	120	120	24,881
Funding												
Reserve - MRSR	1,420		2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	480	120	120	24,881
	1,420		2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	480	120	120	24,881
Total Fundi	ng 1,420		2,866	3,597	3,777	3,965	4,164	4,372	480	120	120	24,881
Estimated Debt Charges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating Costs		0	255	268	281	295	310	326	342	359	377	



Major Program: Secondary Lines

Project Title: Lighting Secondary Annual Program



Dept - Project #: DL01 Start Year: 2004	End Year:	Ong	goingCapital Improvement Program2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
This program provides for the extension and imp the municipal and highway lighting distribution sy Currently there is approximately 550 km of lightin servicing 12,500 lights.	rovement of /stems. ng secondary		As a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act of Alberta, the City of Lethbridge is responsible for providing Electric Distribution services to community lighting in Lethbridge. Lighting distribution is extended from shared/dedicated transformers into new development and highway projects.
Program Projects: Program requires an average of 10% in new inver annually. Annual program reinvestment forecast is based of depreciation of 3.33%	estment on annual plant		Lighting secondary extends to each lighting base but does not include "above ground" installation. Improvements and replacements to the lighting secondary system are required to ensure the integrity and reliability of the system, public safety and changes to technical requirements.
2011 Program Projects			
26th Avenue North North Scenic Drive 28th Street North Streetlight Controller Upgrades New Residential New Commercial Miscellaneous 2012 Program Projects 13th St North Metis Trail West New Residential New Commercial Miscellaneous			McLeod Drive West 43rd Street South New Residential New Commercial Miscellaneous Future Projects 43rd Street N Extension Chinook Trail 6 Mile Coulee Widening

				amoanto	building a	e in thou	isanus u	i uonai s					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Line Extension		290	55	58	61	64	67	70	74	77	81	85	982
Line Upgrading		675	10	11	11	12	12	13	13	14	15	16	802
	_	965	65	69	72	76	79	83	87	91	96	101	1,784
1	Total Costs	965	65	69	72	76	79	83	87	91	96	101	1,784
Funding	-												
Customer Charges		130	13	14	14	15	16	17	17	18	19	20	293
Reserve - MRSR		835	52	55	58	61	63	66	70	73	77	81	1,491
	_	965	65	69	72	76	79	83	87	91	96	101	1,784
Tot	al Funding	965	65	69	72	76	79	83	87	91	96	101	1,784

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Major Program: Transformation

Project Title: Shared/Dedicated Trans. Annual Program

Dept - Project #: DT01 Start Year: 2004	End Year:	Ong	joing
Description & Location			Purp
This program provides for the installation and replace distribution transformers. Shared transformers incluse secondary voltages of 240/120 V and 208/120 V. D transformers include secondary voltages of 108/120 V (existing services only) and 600/247 V.	cement of ude 9edicated 9 V, 480/277		As a City o Distri Trans provi
Currently there 4,000 shared and dedicated transfor	rmers.		custo Dedi

Funding for plant expansion is partially provided as contributions by the developer with the balance invested from the MRSR.

Program Projects: Program requires an average of 7% in new investment annually. Annual program reinvestment forecast is based on annual plant depreciation of 4.95%

Overhead Rebuilds Change Out Live-Front Transformers Change Out Non-Load Break Transformers New Residential New Commercial Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Purpose & JustificationAs a wire owner under the Electric Utility Act of Alberta, the
City of Lethbridge is responsible for providing Electric
Distribution services to the residents of Lethbridge.
Transformers are installed to the primary extensions to
provide for household and commercial usages. Shares
single and three phase transformers are provided to
customers that can utilize common service voltage and size.
Dedicated three phase transformers are provided to
customers with special requirements.Load Growth (development) is the driver for new installations.
Detail planning and construction is typically done in the same
year as the development once the developer finalizes the
sizing and voltage requirements. Developer input and
engineering determine sizing.
Improvements and replacements to transformers are driven

Improvements and replacements to transformers are driven by load growth, technical changes and replacement of deteriorated equipment. Improvements are determined by load research and testing. Improvements are typically planned 1 or 2 year prior to construction.

		AII	amounts	below a		usanus u	u uullais					
_	Prior 20	011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Line Extension		750	788	827	868	912	957	1,005	1,055	1,108	1,163	9,433
Line Upgrading		500	525	551	579	608	638	670	704	739	776	6,290
	1,	250	1,313	1,378	1,447	1,520	1,595	1,675	1,759	1,847	1,939	15,723
Total Costs	1,:	250	1,313	1,378	1,447	1,520	1,595	1,675	1,759	1,847	1,939	15,723
Funding												
Customer Charges		300	315	331	347	365	383	402	422	443	465	3,773
Reserve - MRSR		950	998	1,047	1,100	1,155	1,212	1,273	1,337	1,404	1,474	11,950
	1,	250	1,313	1,378	1,447	1,520	1,595	1,675	1,759	1,847	1,939	15,723
Total Funding	1,;	250	1,313	1,378	1,447	1,520	1,595	1,675	1,759	1,847	1,939	15,723
-												

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments

F - 21





Major Program: Communications

Dept - Project #: FF01



Capital Improvement Program

Start Year: 2010	End Year:	2017	2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
This program provides dark fiber communication fa the City of Lethbridge and includes new installation upgrading of fiber optic facilities interconnecting ele substations, the electric utility and AltaLink, and be owned buildings.	icilities for is and ectric tween city		Program provides system control communication for the Electric Utility and provides dark fiber services corporately and through the Information Technology group, to LANET consortium members. Dark fiber optics provides the communications backbone for
Current Program and Identified Projects:			reliable interconnection of electric substations and facilities.
Annual reinvestment forecast is based on annual d rate of 4%.	epreciation		The electric utility currently requires an extension to create a loop in the fiber facilities to create a fully redundant system. Upgrades to the existing fiber system are required to increase
2011 Additional circuit capacity City Hall to 4t and Radio Tower.	h Street Sub		needed capacity.
2012 Additional funding required for rivercross 674S to 618S.	sing from		
2013 Upgrade circuit to 241S.			

			All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Additions		400	203	425	150	150	175	175	175				1,853
Replacements	_	350	110	130	160	130	130	140	140				1,290
	_	750	313	555	310	280	305	315	315				3,143
Т	otal Costs	750	313	555	310	280	305	315	315				3,143
Funding	-												
Customer Charges		200	60	60	80	80	90	90	90				750
Reserve - MRSR		550	253	495	230	200	215	225	225				2,393
	_	750	313	555	310	280	305	315	315				3,143
Tot	al Funding	750	313	555	310	280	305	315	315				3,143
	-												

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Major Program: Communications

Project Title: Electric Systems Communications

Description & Location This program provides system communication facilities for the City of Lethbridge Electric Transmission and Distribution Systems. This program provides the communications and security monitoring. Communications for transmission operation between substations for SCADA, relaying data, metering and security systems. Communications for distribution operation, monitoring and protection downstream of substations. Communication for the electric transmission system requires upgrading to reduce fibre system capacity use and to move to a industry standard protocol for data transfer. Current Program and Identified Projects: The existing communication system between substations for SCADA, Relaying Data, and Metering Data requires upgrading. There is also a requirement to reduce the fibre count and move to an industry standard protocol for data transfer. 2011 Transmission/Distribution - System upgrades. Tenth year after install and OC1 optical units need replacement. This program groups and the substations and AESO. 2013 Transmission - System replacements. Systems at 111S and 674S.	Dept - Project #: SC01 Start Year: 2010	End Year:	201	Capital Improvement Program2011 to 2020
	Description & Location This program provides system communication City of Lethbridge Electric Transmission and Systems. Communications for transmission operation substations for SCADA, relaying data, meter systems. Communications for distribution operation, m protection downstream of substations. Current Program and Identified Projects: 2011 Transmission/Distribution - Syste year after install and OC1 optical units need 2013 Transmission - System replacem	on facilities for the Distribution between ing and security nonitoring and m upgrades. Tenth replacement. ents.		 Purpose & Justification This program provides the communications for system operation, system control, data accumulation and security monitoring. Communications is required to retain an operating linkage between system control, city substations, AltaLink and the Transmission Administrator (AESO). Communication for the electric transmission system requires upgrading to reduce fibre system capacity use and to move to a industry standard protocol for data transfer. The existing communication system between substations for SCADA, Relaying Data, and Metering Data requires upgrading. There is also a requirement to reduce the fibre count and move to an industry standard protocol for data transfer and to coordinate these substations with AltaLink substation communications and AESO. Equipment must match existing SONET multiplexing systems at 111S and 674S.

	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareabl	е												
Additions		500	10	10	10	10	10	10	10				570
Replacements				150	25	10	10	10	10				215
		500	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				785
	Total Costs	500	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				785
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		500	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				785
		500	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				785
	Total Funding	500	10	160	35	20	20	20	20				785

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs





Major Program: Other Support

Project Title: Major Tools Annual Program



Dept - Project #: SF01 Start Year: 2004	End Year:	Ongoing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location		Purpose & Jus	tification
This program includes the purchase of major tools a equipment used in construction and testing for direct operations	and ct utility	Facilities and To programs as we operation.	ools are required in support of other capital ell as ongoing maintenance and system
Current Program and Identified Projects Program requires an average of 2.5% in new invest annually. Annual reinvestment forecast is based on annual de of 7.2%. Some of tools identified to date include:	tment epreciation		
2011 Add six 5 kV Meggers for cable / equipment insulati Add six TTR transformer test devices Complete standardization of hotstick inventory and Add protocol analyzer GPS equipment for capturing patrol data / maintena 2012 Add Live Line Arm to better effect live line replacem ageing infrastructure Add 2 additional thermal imaging systems for patrol 2013 Add 3 phase test set relay testing Complete upgrade to DC tool fleet	ion testing accessories ance data hent of		

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Sharea	ble												
Additions			229	215	174								618
			229	215	174								618
	Total Costs		229	215	174								618
Funding													
Reserve - MRSR			229	215	174								618
	-		229	215	174								618
	Total Funding		229	215	174								618
Estimated Debt Cha Projected Net Opera	rges ating Costs												



Major Program: Other Support

Project Title: Facilities & Furnishings Annual Program



Dep	t - Project #: SF02 Start Year: 2004	End Year:	Ongoing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Descrip	tion & Location		Purpose & J	ustification
This pro non-ope furnishir compute	gram includes the construction or perational facilities (storage buildings ngs required for direct utility operations, etc.	burchase of), and general office ons including desks,	Facilities are well as ongoi We currently the lineshop. never racked	required in support of other capital programs as ng maintenance and system operation. keep a limited inventory of emergency parts at The room designated for this purpose was out for efficient use of space. We can build a
Current	Program and Identified Projects		mezzanine sy rack out effici	ystem and double the area for storage and then iently to hold all emergency parts.
2011	Lineshop Emergency Stores.			
2012 2012	New Truck/Trailer/WO Materials S New WO materials storage yard.	Storage Yard Prep.	This is for the truck / trailer	e yard preparation of the area around the new / materials buildings.
			With the cons will need to b work order ar	struction of the new storage building and yard we e more efficient with our use of space to store nd other laydown materials.

			Alla	amounts	below a	re in thou	isands o	f dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable	9												
Additions			53	495									548
	-		53	495									548
	Total Costs		53	495									548
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR			53	495									548
	_		53	495									548
	Total Funding		53	495									548

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Major Program: Other Support

Project Title: Fleet Annual Program

Dept - Project #: SF03 Start Year: 2011

End Year: Ongoing Capital Improvement Program

Description & Location This program includes the purchase of operation	onal fleet	Purpose & J Fleet vehicles programs as	ustification
This program includes the purchase of operation	onal fleet	Fleet vehicles programs as	a are required in support of other conital
Venicies required for direct utility operations. Current Program and Identified Projects Program requires an average of 2.5% in new i annually. Annual reinvestment forecast is based on ann of 7.2%. Some vehicles identified to date inclu	nvestment ual depreciation de:	operation. In 2009 the fl operated by t and are now partial funding	eet department transferred all vehicles that are the Lethbridge Electric Utility to the business unit part of the utilities assets. There was also a g transfer to the MRSR for fleet reserves.
 2011 Upgrade L20 Crew Cab to 4x4. 2011 1/2 ton AWD vehicle with towing pack Business Unit. 2011 2 - 1/2 ton AWD vehicle with towing pack 2011 Cable Replacement program – Hydro 2011 Cable Replacement program – Direct 2011 Cable Replacement program – Back 2011 Cable Replacement program – Back 2011 Cable Replacement program – Back 2011 Cable Replacement program – Bobct 2011 Cable Replacement program – Linetr 2011 Cable Replacement program – Linetr 2011 Cable Replacement program – 2 Cre 2011 Cable Replacement program – 4 Sing 2011 Cable Replacement program – Linetr 2011 Cable Replacement program – Mater Trailer. 2011 Cable Replacement program – Mater Trailer. 2011 Cable Replacement program – Cons 2011 Cable Replacement program – Mater Trailer. 2011 Cable Replacement program – Cons 2012 Upgrade L4 to Crew Cab. 2012 Upgrade L4 to Crew Cab. 2012 Upgrade L4 to Crew Cab. 2012 Construction Trailer. 2012 Locator Vans – AWD. 2012 Observation Trailer. 2012 Observation Trailer. 2012 Construction Trailer. 2012 Observation Trailer. 2013 Observation Trailer. 2014 Observation Trailer. 2015 Observation Trailer. 2016 Observation Trailer. 2017 Observation Trailer. 2018 Observation Trailer. 	kage for Fibre package. pvac. tional Boring. noe. at. uck. w Cabs. gle Reel trailers. Duty Pulling truction Trailer. rial Handling at Trailer. ed Materials/Tools		
2012 Change 2 subs valis to 2 toll AWD. 2013 Add two small dump trailers.	project# DP03		

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Additions			1,516	189	23								1,728
Replacements			118	202									320
	_		1,634	392	23								2,048
	Total Costs		1,634	392	23								2,048
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR			1,634	392	23								2,048
	_		1,634	392	23								2,048
Tc	otal Funding		1,634	392	23								2,048

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs






Dept - Project #: SE01 Start Year: 2010	End Year:	Ong	Joing	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose &	Justification
This program provides for the development and implor of an Environmental Management Plan for the Electron	lementation ric Utility.		To ensure the environment sustainability	ne Electric Utility is 1) compliant with tal legislation and 2) moves from compliance to y.
Current Program and Identified Projects:			- ,	
Develop & Implement a PCB Management Plan (Co Legislative Compliance Work Replacement and disposal of Transformers containin	mpleted) ng PCB's.		The Environ of Polychlori includes: elii of non-dispe harmonized labelling, an Longer-term improvemen an environm	ment plan's current focus is on the management inated Biphenyl (PCB) material. In part, this mination of dispersive uses of PCBs; elimination ersive uses of high concentration PCBs; sampling and analysis, waste classification, d PCB waste storage time limits. In plans will provide continuous and systematic the to distribution and transmission facilities from hental prospective.

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars													
	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Sharea	ıble												
Additions		300											300
Line Upgrading		190	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	2,705
	_	490	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	3,005
	Total Costs	490	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	3,005
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		490	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	3,005
	_	490	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	3,005
	Total Funding	490	200	210	221	232	243	255	268	281	295	310	3,005
Estimated Debt Cha	irges												

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments



Project Title: Facilities Management

Dept - Project #: SI01 Start Year: 2010

End Year: 2013

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Descriptio	on & Location	Purpose & Justification					
This progr the electric Managem Engineerir operate ex additions a	am provides for technologies to manage and analyze c distribution and transmission systems. Asset ent and Facilities Mapping (AM/FM) combined with ng Analysis applications allows the utility to efficiently kisting systems and, more importantly, to plan system and upgrades for effectiveness, efficiency and safety.	The migration of our work processes to ArcFM for day to day use makes the system mission critical especially its use in the control centre. This criticality requires the system be redundant so that It remains accurate and available at all times.					
Load Reserved point-in-tir	earch programs provide the means to gather ne load data for statistical analysis and load profiling.	The electric utility requires the means to undertake statistically valid load profiling for use in system planning and for System Settlement; the province wide process used to					
Current P	Program and Projects:	determine the energy (and losses) billed through to customers.					
2011	Addition of Transmission Facilities Provide ArcFM to the Operating Crews Line Patrols to update Asset Information Connection AM/FM to Analysis System Load Research Equipment/System	This program greatly enhances design capabilities, facilitates effective and efficient transmission and distribution system development and customer class load profiling.					
2012	Connection of FM System to AMI System Load research Equipment Line Patrol to update Asset Information Connection to MDM	Reduce labor-intensive systems. Provides the ability to meet regulatory and AESO requirements. Provides the ability to acquire data for reviews by the AUC (future) and the Transmission Administrator (AESO).					
2013	Load Research Equipment Line Patrol to update Asset Information	Provides information and capability for financial and work management systems. Provides for system specific load profiling.					

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Share	able												
Additions		715	102	104	107								1,027
Replacements		50	40	40	40								170
	-	765	142	144	147								1,197
	Total Costs	765	142	144	147								1,197
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		765	142	144	147								1,197
	-	765	142	144	147								1,197
	Total Funding	765	142	144	147								1,197
Estimated Debt Ch	arges		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Ope	rating Costs		52	54	57	60	63	66	69	73	76	80	
Comments													





Project Title: Work and Financial Management

Dept - Project #: Sl02 Start Year: 2010	E	End Year: 2	201	5 Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location This program provides for te connectivity and work flow re Manages the financial asset This program will provide for administration of work to bot Initial requirements allow a r of the utility as well as other requirements. This program also provides work management and AM// management and Cost of So	chnologies to manage finan equirements of the Electric L process from ArcFM to JDE an automated process for t h internal and external work eview of current and future is corporate and department for an Electric Industry stand FM integrated financial ervice modelling system.	dicial Jtility. E. the c crews. needs dard		Purpose & Justification This program provides the Electric Utility the tools for effective design, construct and maintain of electric distribution and transmission systems and to meet regulatory requirements. The use of contracts to provide many work applications traditionally done internally has led to a need to gather data and schedule crews and materials more effectively. This program also provides the utility the capability to perform rigourous cost analysis; the basis to rationalize tariffs and to meet the industry standard financial reporting requirements of the Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC).
Current Program and Project 2011 Capital Work Ma Financial Manag 2012 Capital Work Ma Financial Manag 2013 Capital Work Ma Financial Manag	t Requirements: anagement System - Fibre gement System - Fibre anagement System - Transm gement System - Transmissi anagement System - Upgrades gement System - Upgrades	nission ion des		The inability to validate and substantiate cost information to the AUC poses a major financial risk. Costs disallowed by the AUC will need to be funded from alternate sources of income such as taxation.

			All	amounts	s below a	re in thou	usands o	of dollars					
	_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable													
Additions		380	222	153	74		27						856
Replacements		150			150		275						575
	_	530	222	153	224		302						1,431
	Total Costs	530	222	153	224		302						1,431
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		530	222	153	224		302						1,431
	_	530	222	153	224		302						1,431
To	otal Funding	530	222	153	224		302						1,431
Estimated Debt Charges	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Projected Net Operating	Costs		22	23	24	26	27	28	30	31	33	34	
Comments													

All and a state to a low and the first state of a low second state of the low state of the state

F - 29



F - 29a



Project Title: Critical Infrastructure Protection

Dept - Project #: TS09 Start Year: 2011	End Year:	201	Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020
Description & Location			Purpose & Justification
This program provides for technologies to man documentation of AESO/NERC Critical Infrastr Reliability Standards, which is a requirement of Utility.	age the ructure Protection f the Electric		The documentation and technologies to meet AESO compliance to the Reliability Standards requires a database and interface along with sophisticated cyber protection equipment to segment the electric network.
The AESO intends to have seven of the CIP re standards approved by the AUC at the end of 2 compliance by 2013.	liability 2010 with full		The full extent of the implementation will be determined by the assessment done by the AESO of the transmission facilities owned and operated by the City of Lethbridge. The program will be fully implemented if the assessment
Locations:			determines that the utility owns critical assets.
Control Centre - 500 4th Avenue North. Substation - 674S, 111S, 593S, 146S, and 241	IS.		The program is also dependent on AUC approval as the program was not included in the transmission filing.
Installation of additional security equipment to requirements.	meet CIP 006		May require an additional fibre circuit with network equipment to be added in the operating budget.
Installation of additional equipment for access	control.		

		All	amounts	below a	re in thou	usands o	f dollars					
_	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareable												
Additions		275	175	175	75	75						775
Replacements							180	175	175			530
		275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
Total Costs		275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
- Funding												
Reserve - MRSR		275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
		275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
Total Funding		275	175	175	75	75	180	175	175			1,305
Estimated Debt Charges												

Projected Net Operating Costs

Comments





Major Program: System Control (SCADA)

Project Title: Electric System Control

Dept - Project #: **SS01** Start Year: **2010**

End Year: 2018

Lethbridge

Capital Improvement Program 2011 to 2020

Description & Location	Purpose & Justification
This program provides remote control and monitoring	The SCADA system is required to meet the needs of the
capabilities for electric distribution, and transmission facilities.	Electric Utility, the Power Pool of Alberta, the Transmission
The SCADA system includes master station bardwars and	Administrator of Alberta, and neighbouring Transmission
Software, substation PTU's (Periote Terminal Units)	racing Owners. Real line monitoring and control is
distribution RTU's and infrastructure and substation RTU's and	Interconnected System, and to provide required reliability and
infrastructure.	control functions within the system. Distribution automation
	(enhance reliability) can be performed through this system.
All projects fall under the Support group and as such are	
allocated between distribution and transmission cost centres.	Distribution RTU's are monitored, inspected and maintained
Council regulates/approves distribution costs and the Alberta	annually and replaced on failure. I ypically units are replaced
Costs. Therefore, these program costs may be adjusted as the	
various approving authorities have input into the process.	The main SCADA System (Master Station, software and
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	hardware) is replaced periodically. Technological
Current Program and Identified Projects:	improvements, maintenance requirements, availability of
	upgrades and parts and the ability of the system to meet key
2011	requirements are the drivers in determining replacement.
Upgrade Operator work stations	I ypically it is expected that these systems need completed
2012	l placement every 5 to 7 years.
Upgrade to Backup Generation within Radio Tower Building	Substation RTU's are considerably more powerful (and
New Load Flow Tool	expensive) then distribution RTU's. Replacement is based on
Scoutboard Upgrades	similar criteria to the master station software and hardware
	systems, typically lasting 8 to 12 years.
2014	
Host Server Opgrades	
Architectural Upgrades	
Future:	
Upgrade to Visual Wall Display	
Radio Master Upgrade	
Radio Remote Upgrade	
Overall Ungrade to SCADA Software	

All amounts below are in thousands of dollars

	-	Prior	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
Costs - Non-Shareab	e												
Additions		90											90
Replacements		225	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,640
	-	315	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,730
	Total Costs	315	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,730
Funding	-												
Reserve - MRSR		315	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,730
	-	315	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,730
	Total Funding	315	21	374		108		260	162	490			1,730

Estimated Debt Charges

Projected Net Operating Costs



Grants / Funding

	Page
Grant - Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI)	G - 1
Grant - Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP)	G - 2
Grant - New Deal for Cities & Communities (NDCC)	G - 3
Grant - Basic Capital	G - 4
Offsite Levies	G - 5
Pay-As-You-Go Transportation	G - 6
Pay-As-You-Go Community	G - 6
Art Acquisition Program	G - 7
Downtown Redevelopment Fund	G - 7

Grant -	MSI
---------	-----

Forecast

		2012	2010	2014	2013	2010	2017	2010	2019	2020	Iotai
			A	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	s of dollars				
	0.004	(0.450)	(40.050)	100	(1.00.1)	(1.077)	0.044		04.040	10 51 4	
	2,631	(6,458)	(13,256)	193	(1,994)	(4,877)	6,814	20,889	34,940	48,514	
C - 10	(351)	(364)	(318)	(334)	(351)	(368)	(386)	(405)	(426)	(448)	(3,751)
C - 11	(122)	(122)	(86)	(164)	(479)	(90)	(95)	(100)	(556)	(105)	(1,919)
D - 5	(4,258)	(10,232)									(14,490)
D - 7	(490)										(490)
D - 10	(7,756)										(7,756)
D - 11	(2,000)	(500)									(2,500)
D - 21			(567)	(1,994)	(1,993)	(1,993)					(6,547)
D - 22	(1,001)			(1,150)		(414)					(2,565)
D - 23		(136)	(136)	(1,118)							(1,390)
D - 24					(10,591)						(10,591)
D - 26				(6,225)	(4,025)						(10,250)
D - 30	(5,800)	(10,000)									(15,800)
D - 31				(4,052)							(4,052)
D - 32	(1,867)			(1,706)							(3,573)
	(23,645)	(21,354)	(1,107)	(16,743)	(17,439)	(2,865)	(481)	(505)	(982)	(553)	(85,674)
Note 1	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	145,560
	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	14,556	145,560
	(6,458)	(13,256)	193	(1,994)	(4,877)	6,814	20,889	34,940	48,514	62,517	
	C - 10 C - 11 D - 5 D - 7 D - 10 D - 11 D - 21 D - 22 D - 23 D - 24 D - 26 D - 30 D - 31 D - 32	2,631 C - 10 (351) C - 11 (122) D - 5 (4,258) D - 7 (490) D - 10 (7,756) D - 11 (2,000) D - 21 (1,001) D - 22 (1,001) D - 23 (1,001) D - 26 (23,645) D - 30 (5,800) D - 31 (23,645) Note 1 14,556 14,556 (6,458)	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{r cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$\begin{array}{r cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	All amounts below are in thousand 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0.000 <td>C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0</td> <td>All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) 6,814 20,889 C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0 <td< td=""><td>C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0</td><td>All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) 6,814 20,889 34,940 48,514 C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) (448) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) (105) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) (105) <t< td=""></t<></td></td<></td>	C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) 6,814 20,889 C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0 <td< td=""><td>C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0</td><td>All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) 6,814 20,889 34,940 48,514 C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) (448) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) (105) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) (105) <t< td=""></t<></td></td<>	C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) 0	All amounts below are in thousands of dollars 2,631 (6,458) (13,256) 193 (1,994) (4,877) 6,814 20,889 34,940 48,514 C - 10 (351) (364) (318) (334) (351) (368) (386) (405) (426) (448) C - 11 (122) (122) (86) (164) (479) (90) (95) (100) (556) (105) D - 5 (4,258) (10,232) (105) <t< td=""></t<>

The Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) includes funding for capital projects, including municipal roads, bridges, public transit vehicles and facilities, emergency service vehicles (including ambulances) and facilities (fire halls, municipal police stations, emergency operations centres), infrastructure management systems and solid-waste management systems and facilities.

Note 1: The annual grant amounts shown for 2011 onwards are estimates only. The estimates are based on actual amounts announced for 2010 extrapolated for future years.

Grant - AMIP

Forecast

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				А	II amounts	s below are	in thousar	nds of dolla	rs			
Opening Balance		33,260	24,362	8,682								-
Capital Requirements												
North Scenic Dr (5th Ave N to 26 Avenue N)	C - 16	(3,500)	(9,500)									(13,000)
Public Operations Building	D - 7	(2)										(2)
Transit Facility Expansion	D - 25	(1,520)	(6,180)	(8,682)								(16,382)
Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades and Replacement	D - 32	(1,100)										(1,100)
		(6,122)	(15,680)	(8,682)								(30,484)
Previously Approved												
Station No 1 (Downtown)		(1,938)										(1,938)
Storm Sewer Rehab		(838)										(838)
		(2,776)										(2,776)
Closing Balance	Note 1	24,362	8,682									

The types of capital projects eligible for provincial funding under Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP):

Core Infrastructure projects fall under the following categories: Roadways and Bridges; Public Transit; Water Supply, Treatment, and Distribution Systems; Wastewater collection and Treatment Systems; Storm Sewer Drainage Systems and Facilities; and Emergency Service Facilities and Vehicles

Other Infrastructure projects are only eligible after certification by the municipality that the core infrastructure is in good condition. Other infrastructure projects fall under each of the following categories: Solid Waste Management; Environmental Energy Improvements; Other Municipal Buildings; and Other Municipal Physical Infrastructure.

Note 1: Deadline for project completion of AMIP grant funded projects is December 2015

Grant - Federal Gas Tax Fund (NDCC)

		F	precast									
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	ts of dollars	3			
Opening Balance		6,041	2,155	(228)	753	2,039	3,334	5,461	6,934	6,412	6,766	
Capital Requirements												
Bikeway/Pathways along Roadways	C-9	(500)	(525)	(551)	(578)	(607)	(637)	(669)	(702)	(738)	(775)	(6,282)
Arterial Rehab Program	C - 10	(649)	(687)									(1,336)
Access-A-Ride Fleet Replacement	D - 8	(99)	(215)	(105)	(108)	(222)	(457)	(250)	(407)			(1,863)
Transit Fleet Replacement	D-9	(1,962)		(2,627)	(544)	(1,125)			(3,120)		(1,337)	(10,715)
Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovation	D - 18		(400)									(400)
Transit Smart Card	D - 27	(1,700)										(1,700)
Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks and Clarifier Upgrade	E - 14	(3,000)	(3,500)									(6,500)
		(7,910)	(5,327)	(3,283)	(1,230)	(1,954)	(1,094)	(919)	(4,229)	(738)	(2,112)	(28,796)
Additions:												
Grant		4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	47,640
		4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	4,764	47,640
Previously Approved												
Storm Sewer Maintenance					(913)	(940)	(968)	(1.026)	(1.057)	(1.089)		(5.993)
Strategic Build Asset Management		(500)	(500)	(500)	(575)	(575)	(575)	(625)	(.,)	(1,000)		(3,850)
Access-A-Ride Expansion Vehicles		(000)	(305)	()	(216)	()	()	(118)			(129)	(768)
Transit Equipment Improvements Hoist		(240)	()		(-)			()			()	(240)
Transit Fleet Expansion		(-)	(1,015)		(544)			(603)		(2,583)	(3,342)	(8,087)
		(740)	(1,820)	(500)	(2,248)	(1,515)	(1,543)	(2,372)	(1,057)	(3,672)	(3,471)	(18,938)
Closing Balance		2,155	(228)	753	2,039	3,334	5,461	6,934	6,412	6,766	5,947	
-		_										

The types of projects eligible for provincial funding under the Federal Gas Tax Fund (Formerly: New Deal for Cities & Communities - NDCC) program include: Public Transit; Water Supply, Treatment, and Distribution Systems; Wastewater Collection and Treatment Systems; Solid Waste Management Systems; Community Energy Systems; and Roadways and Bridges.

The last payment under the current agreement is in 2013. We have included grant payments beyond that date based on indications from the Federal Government that this grant is intended to be an ongoing program.

Grant - Basic Capital

Forecast

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				А	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	s of dollars				
Opening Balance		2,372	5,165	3,427	1,063	1,681	(1,310)	(1,861)	(1,228)	(3,084)	(3,032)	
Capital Requirements												
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C - 5		(354)	(371)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(168)	(1,901)
Intersection Improvements - Growth	C - 6	(712)	(748)	(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,107)	(8,968)
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	(713)	(749)	(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,107)	(8,970)
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps	C - 8	(178)	(188)	(196)	(206)	(216)	(227)					(1,211)
Arterial Rehab Program	C - 10			(786)	(825)	(866)	(910)	(956)	(1,004)	(1,054)	(1,106)	(7,507)
Bridge Rehab Program	C - 11		(197)	(207)	(334)	(1,121)	(218)	(229)	(240)	(1,320)	(252)	(4,118)
Community Lighting Rehab	C - 12	(225)	(237)	(249)	(262)	(275)	(289)	(303)	(318)	(334)	(350)	(2,842)
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	(206)	(217)	(227)	(239)	(251)	(263)	(277)	(290)	(305)	(320)	(2,593)
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	(135)	(142)	(149)	(156)	(164)	(172)	(180)	(189)	(199)	(209)	(1,695)
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	(75)	(75)	(259)	(270)	(281)	(293)	(304)	(315)	(326)	(338)	(2,535)
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	(169)		(3,713)								(3,881)
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		(788)									(788)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40 Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19		(2,925)			(3,375)						(6,300)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design	C - 20		(225)									(225)
6th Ave S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr S) Design	C - 21		(177)									(177)
5th Ave N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Dr N) Design	C - 22				(194)							(194)
Interchanges - Whoop Up/University Dr and Whoop Up/Scenic Dr Design	C - 23				(328)							(328)
13th Street N (Crowsnest Trail to 26th Ave N) Design	C - 24				(194)							(194)
University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3 Upgrade Design	C - 25					(68)						(68)
Transportation Master Plan Update	C - 26							(731)				(731)
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd)	C - 27						(1,800)					(1,800)
University Dr (Comm Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)	C - 28								(2,734)			(2,734)
26th Ave North (31st to 43st N)	C - 29										(2,531)	(2,531)
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										(5,100)	(5,100)
Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)	C - 35								(368)			(368)
		(2,413)	(7,022)	(7,728)	(4,826)	(8,517)	(6,159)	(5,059)	(7,634)	(5,813)	(12,589)	(67,760)
Additions:												
Grant Funding		5,206	5,284	5,364	5,444	5,526	5,608	5,693	5,778	5,865	5,953	55,721
-		5,206	5,284	5,364	5,444	5,526	5,608	5,693	5,778	5,865	5,953	55,721
Closing Balance		5,165	3,427	1,063	1,681	(1,310)	(1,861)	(1,228)	(3,084)	(3,032)	(9,667)	

Under the Basic Capital Grant, the City of Lethbridge is eligible to receive an annual grant based on the \$60 per capita and the previous year's official population. The Grant provides conditional cost-sharing grants, on a 75 percent Government/25 percent City basis, for transportation capital-related projects. The City's 25% matching share (\$20 per capita) is funded from the Pay-As-You-Go program.

Projects such as new or upgraded roads and truck routes through cities, including grade separations (interchanges), and bus purchases are eligible for cost-sharing at 75 percent Government/25 percent City.

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				All	l amounts l	pelow are ir	thousand	s of dolla	Irs			
Capital Requirements												
Intersection Improvements - Growth	C - 6	(288)	(303)	(318)	(334)	(351)	(368)	(386)	(405)	(426)	(448)	(3,627)
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	(75)		(1,650)								(1,725)
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		(1,050)									(1,050)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40th Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19		(113)			(2,700)						(2,813)
6th Avenue S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr S) Design	C - 21		(79)									(79)
5th Avenue N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Dr N Design	C - 22				(86)							(86)
13th Street N (Crows Nest Trail to 26th Ave N) Design	C - 24				(86)							(86)
University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3 Design	C - 25					(270)						(270)
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd W)	C - 27						(2,400)					(2,400)
University Dr (Community Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)	C - 28								(3,345)			(3,345)
26th Ave North (31st to 43st North)	C - 29										(3,375)	(3,375)
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										(6,800)	(6,800)
Metis Trail (Simon Fraser to Garry Drive)	C - 31	(3,000)		(2,200)			(3,125)		(2,700)			(11,025)
Garry Dr (to 600m West of Metis Trail)	C - 32	(3,000)										(3,000)
North Scenic Dr (Uplands Dr N to 62 Ave N)	C - 33			(315)						(5,900)		(6,215)
44th Ave N (Scenic Dr N to 43rd St N)	C - 34			(315)								(315)
Whoop Up Dr (Coalbanks Gate to 30th street)	C - 36				(2,200)							(2,200)
43rd St S (Hwy 4 to Hwy 5)	C - 37								(6,383)			(6,383)
Metis Trail Drive Deep Utilities Phase II	E - 24										(9,500)	(9,500)
Northwest Lethbridge Utility Servicing	E - 25	(16,100)	(8,400)	(2,500)								(27,000)
North Sanitary Siphon Twinning	E - 26								(3,829)	(3,948)		(7,777)
West Siphon Screen Relocation	E - 27				(1,129)	(1,164)						(2,293)
SE Regional Lift Station	E - 28				(1,129)	(10,484)	(9,608)					(21,221)
Garry Drive Reservoir	E - 29	(5,155)										(5,155)
Distribution System Looping	E - 30	(515)	(531)	(547)	(564)	(582)	(601)					(3,340)
		(28,133)	(10,475)	(7,845)	(5,528)	(15,551)	(16,102)	(386)	(16,662)	(10,274)	(20,123)	(131,080)

The above listing includes all projects included in this Capital Improvement Program that are proposed to be funded from Offsite Levies.

Pay-As-You-Go (PAYG) - Transportation

Forecast

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				А	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	is of dollars	3			
Opening Balance		1,248	1,338	497	6	582	263	484	1,145	710	1,598	
Capital Requirements												
Gravelled Roadway Upgrading	C - 5		(171)	(179)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(82)	(924)
Intersection Improvements - Non-Growth	C - 7	(287)	(302)	(318)	(334)	(351)	(368)	(386)	(405)	(426)	(448)	(3,625)
Sidewalk and Handicap Parking Mobility Ramps	C - 8	(72)	(75)	(79)	(83)	(88)	(92)					(489)
Community Lighting Rehab	C - 12	(75)	(79)	(83)	(87)	(92)	(96)	(101)	(106)	(111)	(117)	(947)
Traffic Signals Replacement	C - 13	(94)	(98)	(103)	(108)	(113)	(118)	(124)	(133)	(138)	(145)	(1,170)
Major Sidewalk Rehab Program	C - 14	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)	(19)	(145)
Railway Rehab Program	C - 15	(275)	(275)	(259)	(270)	(281)	(293)	(305)	(315)	(326)	(338)	(2,936)
43rd St N (Hwy 3 to 9 Ave N)	C - 17	(56)		(1,238)								(1,294)
26th Ave North (Scenic Dr to 28th Street)	C - 18		(262)									(262)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (40 Ave S to City Limit)	C - 19		(1,163)			(1,125)						(2,288)
Mayor Magrath Dr. (3 Ave S to 3 Ave N) Design	C - 20		(90)									(90)
6th Ave S (Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr S) Design	C - 21		(59)									(59)
5th Ave N (Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Dr N) Design	C - 22				(64)							(64)
Interchanges - Whoop Up/University Dr and Whoop Up/Scenic Dr Design	C - 23				(132)							(132)
13th Street N (Crowsnest Trail to 26th Ave N) Design	C - 24				(65)							(65)
University Dr North of Railway Tracks to Hwy 3 Upgrade Design	C - 25					(23)						(23)
Transportation Master Plan Update	C - 26							(244)				(244)
Whoop Up Dr (McMaster to Aquitania Blvd)	C - 27						(600)	. ,				(600)
University Dr (Comm Stadium to Sun Ridge Blvd W)	C - 28								(1,211)			(1,211)
26th Ave North (31st to 43st N)	C - 29										(844)	(844)
28th Street North (MMD to 26 Ave N)	C - 30										(1,700)	(1,700)
Jerry Potts Blvd (West of Red Crow Blvd to Metis Trail)	C - 35								(122)			(122)
		(869)	(2,585)	(2,270)	(1,238)	(2,168)	(1,663)	(1,258)	(2,389)	(1,101)	(3,692)	(19,233)
Additions:												
Current Year Funding		1,709	1,744	1,779	1,814	1,849	1,884	1,919	1,954	1,989	2,024	18,665
ourion rout analig		1,709	1,744	1.779	1.814	1.849	1.884	1,919	1.954	1,989	2.024	18.665
Previously Approved Allocations:		,	,	, -	7-	,	,		,	,	7-	.,
West Side Snow Site		(750)										(750)
		(750)										(750)
Closing Balance		1,338	497	6	582	263	484	1,145	710	1,598	(70)	

Pay-As-You-Go (PAYG) - Community

			Forecas	st								
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	ls of dollars	;			
Opening Balance		1,330	(800)	(699)	417	211	(2,043)	607	3,357	5,843	8,420	
Capital Requirements												
Community Arts Centre	D - 5	(24)										(24)
Curling Master Plan	D - 14	(125)										(125)
Office Space Master Plan	D - 16	(100)										(100)
Parks Asset Management	D - 21	(567)	(567)									(1,134)
North Regional Park	D - 26	(1,000)			(2,400)	(4,600)						(8,000)
Art Acquisition Program	D - 28	(344)	(361)	(125)	(256)	(204)			(4)	(4)	(4)	(1,301)
Technology Commercialization Centre Project	D - 29	(1,100)										(1,100)
Pathways System Expansion	D - 33	(1,020)	(1,071)	(1,109)					(360)	(370)	(380)	(4,310)
Leisure Centre Plan	D - 34		(150)									(150)
		(4,280)	(2,149)	(1,234)	(2,656)	(4,804)	0	0	(364)	(374)	(384)	(16,244)
Additions:												
Current Year Funding		2,150	2,250	2,350	2,450	2,550	2,650	2,750	2,850	2,950	3,050	26,000
		2,150	2,250	2,350	2,450	2,550	2,650	2,750	2,850	2,950	3,050	26,000
Closing Balance		(800)	(699)	417	211	(2,043)	607	3,357	5,843	8,420	11,086	

Art Acquisition Program

				For	ecast							
	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				A	II amounts	below are i	n thousand	s of dollars				
Opening Balance		217	561	922	1,047	1,303	1,507	1,507	1,507	1,511	1,515	
Additions:												
Allocations from Projects		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,302
		344	361	125	256	204			4	4	4	1,302
Closing Balance		561	922	1,047	1,303	1,507	1,507	1,507	1,511	1,515	1,519	

Finance Committee Meeting - May 9, 2007

Acquisition of Public Art - B.A. LACEY:

WHEREAS one of City Council's objectives is to define the role of the City in support of a vibrant Arts community

AND WHEREAS the approved Civic Arts policy contemplates the acquisition of public art

AND WHEREAS a pilot project for the acquisition of public art has successfully demonstrated a rigorous and consultative process THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

• The administration be directed to prepare a Public Arts Acquisition Policy that incorporates the key elements of the pilot project process by July 9, 2007

• Public Art funding be included in the 2008 – 2010 CIP as summarized in the draft Community Services recommendations

Major community facility project budgets for 2009 and beyond incorporate 1% of total cost for the development of public art.
------CARRIED

ONTALED

Downtown Redevelopment Fund

Forecast

	Page	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	Total
				AI	l amounts l	pelow are in	n thousands	s of dollars				
Opening Polence		495	710	1 000	1 290	1 580	1 871	2 161	2 451	2 741	3 031	
Opening Balance		+50	710	1,000	1,200	1,000	1,071	2,101	2,401	2,141	3,001	
Additions:												
Operating Budget Funding		500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	5,000
		500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	500	5,000
Previously Approved												
Alberta Mainstreet Program		(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(35)	(350)
Highway Signage		(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)	(10)
Mainstreet Program Initiative		(75)										(75)
Planning Wages for Downtown		(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(125)	(1,246)
Rotating Park Security		(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(27)	(274)
Shelter & Bench Sanitation		(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(22)	(219)
		(285)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(210)	(2,174)
Closing Balance		710	1,000	1,290	1,580	1,871	2,161	2,451	2,741	3,031	3,321	

Projects for Future CIP Consideration

Aquatic Facility Upgrades Civic Arena (ice centre and curling) Downtown Parking Family Circle Co-location Leisure Centre Library Satellite Office Requirements Performing Arts Centre Pedestrian River Crossing/River Valley Access South Fire Station Relocation

I - 1 City of Lethbridge Capital Improvement Program 2011-2020

FINANCE COMMITTEE MINUTES

The Finance Committee met from February 1, 2010 to June 14th, 2010 to review the preliminary Capital Improvement Program. The following pages contain minutes from those meetings. The list below is a quick refrence to the items discussed on e ach day. Please see the following pages for minutes of those discussions.

I-2 <u>February 1st, 2010</u> Capital Improvement Program Overview

Review of Infrastructure Services Review of Community Services I-3 <u>March 1^s, 2010</u> Review of Lethbridge Regional Police Services Review of Helen Schuler Nature Centre Review of Transit

I-4 <u>March 15th, 2010</u> Review of Water & Wastewater and Storm Water Review of Waste & Recycling Review of Electric Utilities I - 5 <u>March 29th, 2010</u> Review of Parks Review of Community Services Master Plans

I-6 <u>April 12th, 2010</u> Review of Transportation Bill Kergan Centre Rehab Lethbridge & District Exhibition Park Rehab

I-8 May 10th, 2010

Capital Improvement Program Overview Review of Transportation Projects Review of Waste & Recycling Review of Water & Wastewater Review of Electric Utility Review of Offsite Levy (Utilities & Transportation)

I-7 April 26th, 2010

I-9 May 11th, 2010

Review of Community Capital Projects Transit Smart Card LRP Headquarters Expansion Downtown Redevelopment Cemetery

I - 1 I- 10 <u>May 12th, 2010</u>

Community Arts Centre, U of L Conservatory of Music	Pathway System Expansion
West Side Operations Depot	Multi-Purpose Leisure Centre Plan
South Side Parks Depot	Storm Sewer Upgrades
Pedestrian & Bicycle Crossing	Community Arts Centre, Conservatory of Music
LRP Headquarters Expansion	DIRECTIONAL
Transit Facility Expansion	West Lethbridge Community Facility
Transit Facility Expansion Bill Kergan Centre Rehab	West Lethbridge Community Facility Beautification of 13 Street North
Transit Facility Expansion Bill Kergan Centre Rehab Lethbridge & District Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades	West Lethbridge Community Facility Beautification of 13 Street North Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters

I-11 June 14th, 2010

Performing Arts Centre Design & Construction Railway Rehab Park Asset Management Project North Regional Park Project South Side Parks Depot Project Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrade

I-12 July 5, 2010

DIRECTIONAL

University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

Approval of 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Program

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on **Monday, February 1, 2010 at 1:00 P.M.** in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Deputy Mayor T.H. Wickersham
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck
ALDERMEN:	J.H. Carlson
	R. Dodic
	R. K. Parker
	M.A. Simmons
	K.E. Tratch
	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	Deputy City Clerk, A. Neufeld
	City Manager, G. Sherwin
	City Solicitor, D. Hudson
	City Treasurer, C. Wight
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck

B.A. Lacey

Deputy Mayor Wickersham stated that City Council will be meeting over the next several months to prepare the 10 year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for 2011 - 2020. The CIP will be approved at the July 5th City Council meeting. Although the CIP is a 2011 - 2020 plan, City Council will only be approving the first three years of projects, 2011 - 2013. This is important so the operating costs relative to these projects can be factored into the 2012 - 2014 Operating Budget. Deputy Mayor Wickersham outlined the agenda that will take place over the next several months which will give consideration to potential projects and provide an opportunity for public input.

1. **PRESENTATIONS**:

ABSENT:

1.1 Corey Wight, City Treasurer, re Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Overview

Corey Wight, City Treasurer, stated that the objective is to approve a three year capital program (2011 – 2013) within a ten year capital plan (2011 to 2020) with a target approval for July 5, 2010. He stated that the operating costs that flow from this capital plan will feed the 2012 to 2014 operating budget. The CIP needs to be balanced within the three year window for "Pay-As-You-Go" and cost sharing with the Province. He reviewed the timeline by providing an overview of the agenda the Finance Committee will be covering over the next several months.

Mr. Wight gave a summary of the fiscal principles, practices and policies which are followed in developing the CIP. A review of the CIP funding sources was provided relative to the "Pay-As-You-Go funding" (PAYG), provincial and federal grants, borrowings internally and externally, electric projects funded through MRSR, offsite levies, reserves, as well as other possible grants and community partnerships.

After debating the resolutions to either amend and/or approve the CIP by the Finance Committee, the recommendations will be presented at the City Council meeting of July 5, 2010.

1.2 Project Update and Accomplishments:

a) Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services, re Transportation

Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services provided a 2008-2010 CIP Project Status Report on Transportation and Parks using a power point presentation. He highlighted some of the projects as follows:

Transportation

- Gravel Road Upgrade C-4
 - Paving of Walsh Drive from Aberdeen Gate to west City limits; completed in 2008
 - Whoop Up Drive upgrade existing gravel road from 30 Street to West City limits ; will be completed in 2010
 - o 43rd Street North paving of roadway north of 26 Avenue North; will be completed in 2010
 - Budget \$2 million over three years
- Arterial Rehab Program C-9
 - Projects include Whoop Up Drive, Stafford Drive North, Scenic Drive South, 13th Street North, North Scenic Drive, 23rd Street North, 1st Avenue South, 3rd Avenue South and 6th Avenue South
 - Budget \$4 million over three years
 - Approximately 200,000 square meters of pavement placed
- Sidewalk Mobility Ramps C-8 and Major Sidewalk Rehab Program C-12
 - Programs include:
 - mobility ramps with a budget of \$400,000 over two years with approximately 120 new para-ramps installed in 2008/2009
 - major sidewalk rehabilitation program with a budget of \$489,000 over three years with approximately 1100 metres of sidewalk replaced
- Upgrade Existing System and Access for Growth
 - \circ C-14 26th Ávenue North with a budget of \$2.8 million completed in 2008
 - C-15 28th Street North with a budget of \$10.8 million; functional plan, detailed design and Phase 1 construction to be completed in 2010
 - C-23 University Drive extension for the Canyons with a budget of \$2.5 million in 2010 (currently under review)
- Intersection Improvements (C-3 and C-5)
 - Projects include:
 - Whoop Up Drive and McMaster/Jerry Potts Blvd to be completed in 2010
 - University Drive and Rocky Mountain/Grand River Blvd to be completed in 2010
 - 43 Street and 9 Avenue North completed in 2009
 - Extended turn bay at Scenic Drive and College Drive completed in 2009
 - University Drive and Whoop Up Drive new signal completed in 2008
 - Budget \$6.6 million over three years
- Transportation Planning
 - Projects include:
 - C-17 North Scenic Drive (Preliminary Design (\$300,000) and Detailed Design (\$1,000,000))
 - Circulation Road Study completed in 2010 (\$1,200,000)
 - Transportation Master Plan starting in 2010 with a budget of \$510,000 planning for a multi-modal transportation system
 - C-12 Commuter Bikeways/Pathways along Roadways (Budget is \$100,000 for the study and \$500,000 for implementation in 2010). This will complete pathway links on Mayor Magrath Drive and Scenic Drive South

Parks

Mr. Hawkins advised that the D-13 North Regional Park Master Plan project was initiated in December 2008. The planning budget was approved in the CIP 2008-2010. Currently, the Infrastructure Services Department is conducting an extensive public engagement process which will help to identify the public's wants and needs, site recommendations and facilitate the development of a concept plan.

PRESENTATION: (continued)

The Henderson Lake Water Quality Improvement (D-10) CIP project included the relocation of the water inlet, shoreline modification and creating bottom channels. The objective was to improve water quality by improving circulation and reducing a bottom sludge layer.

b) Bary Beck, Director of Community Services, re Community Services

Bary Beck, Director of Community Services, gave a 2008-2010 Project Status Report update and presented an overview of 2011-2020 CIP process and projects not included in the CIP. He highlighted the following projects:

Community Stadium, D-4

- Project opened September 2009
- Municipal Contribution \$3.7 million
- Funding sources PAYG, Reserves, University of Lethbridge, Province of Alberta

West Lethbridge Centre, D-3

- Project opening September 2010
- Total cost \$28.9 million
- Funding sources Grants (Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP) and Canada Alberta Municipal Rural Infrastructure Fund (CAMRIF)), GST rebate, Operating Budget, Reserve and Subdivision Surplus

ENMAX, D-6

- Project opening September 2012
- Total cost \$33.7 million
- Funding sources Grants (Major Community Facilities and Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI), Operating Budget, Internal Borrowing, Lethbridge Hurricanes

North Station Training Facility, D6 (5th Avenue North)

- Project opening June 2010
- Total cost \$19.8 million
- Funding sources Grants (AMIP and MSI)

Downtown Firehall Station, D6 (Scenic Drive & 4th Avenue South)

• Project opening Fall, 2010

Public Operations Site, D-22/F-19

- Total Cost \$37.6 million
- Funding sources External borrowing, Grants (AMIP, MSI, New Deal For Cities and Communities (NDCC), Municipal Revenue Stabilization Reserve (MRSR))

Southern Alberta Art Gallery, D-5

- Total cost \$3.4 million
- Funding sources Fundraising, operating budget, Pay as You Go (PAYG), and Major Capital Projects Reserve (MCPR)

Community Arts Centre, D-14

- Project opening 2011
- Total cost \$12.6 million
- Funding sources Grant, Internal borrowing and PAYG
- Next steps will be the site selection and construction beginning in Fall 2011

Performing Arts Centre Master Plan, D-16

- Planning completed in Summer 2010
- Total cost \$500,000
- Funding source MCPR
- Next steps are to prepare a schematic design and cost estimate for the 2011-2020 CIP discussions

Twin Ice Arena, D-15

- Project opening 2012
- Total cost \$26.3 million
- Funding source Grant (MSI), Internal borrowing and PAYG
- Next steps are detailed design and site selection with construction beginning in 2011

Public Art, D-23

- Total cost \$710,000
- Funding source PAYG

Transit Fleet Replacement, D-7

- Total cost \$798,000
- Funding source Grant (NDCC)

Transit Fleet Expansion, D-11

- Three buses purchased; ongoing program
- Total cost \$1.3 million
- Funding source Grant (NDCC)

Para-Transit (Hand-Bus) Fleet Replacement, D-12

- Seven vehicles purchased; ongoing program
- Total cost \$523,000
- Funding sources Grant (NDCC, Basic Capital), Handi-Bus Association

Mr. Beck stated many of the projects include community partners. Other projects include the redevelopment of existing sites and facilities. He provided an overview of the various phases that are followed by Community Services for projects being considered. Several projects were approved outside of the CIP cycle by leveraging various grants. These projects include:

- North Lethbridge Sports Park Picnic Shelter
- Stafford Centre
- Cemetery Master Plan
- **1.3 Richard Brummund, Road Infrastructure Manager**, re Status Update Upgrade to 28th Street from on Mayor Magrath Drive to 5th Avenue to 28th Street to 26th Avenue North

Richard Brummund, Road Infrastructure Manager, provided City Council with information on the 28th Street North Functional Planning Study process and recommendations for the next 20 years.

He stated that in July 2007 City Council approved the 2008-2010 CIP which identified upgrading 28th Street and 5th Avenue North from Mayor Magrath Drive to 26th Avenue North. The intent of the 28th Street North Upgrade Project is to plan, design, and construct a route that will safety handle the increasing traffic volumes generated by existing and continued growth in North Lethbridge.

The presentation provided the functional study recommendations and the process followed by Administration. Five open houses were held and were well attended with over 280 comment sheets completed. Mr. Brummond provided a summary of the comment sheet results for each open house. In addition to open houses, the project team held individual meetings with land and business owners.

Mr. Brummond advised that after the approval of the 28th Street Functional Planning Study and the Construction and/or Staging Plan the Project Team will continue with the detailed design and construction in 2010 and 2011.

2. SUBMISSIONS:

- 2.1 a) Dennis Jobe, Concerned Citizen, re Request for Delay of 28th Street Construction
 - b) Alvin Fritz, Fritz Architecture, re Concerns with 28th Street Upgrade

590-C 650-A

S.G. WARD:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the communication received from Dennis Jobe requesting a delay of 28th Street construction and the communication received from Alvin Fritz outlining concerns relative to the 28th Street upgrade, be received as information and filed.

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT Mr. Jobe and Mr. Fritz be advised of City Council's decision regarding this matter.

-----CARRIED

ACTION: City Clerk

R.K. PARKER:

THAT this meeting of the Finance Committee be adjourned.

-----CARRIED

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on **Monday**, **March 1**, **2010 at 2:00 P.M.** in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Deputy Mayor T.H. Wickersham
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck
ALDERMEN:	J.H. Carlson
	R. Dodic
	B. A. Lacey
	R. K. Parker
	M.A. Simmons
	K.E. Tratch
	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	Legislative Coordinator, B. Burke
	City Manager, G. Sherwin
	City Solicitor, D. Hudson
	A/City Treasurer, B. Sawada
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that City Council sitting as Finance Committee heard presentations from City Administration that introduced the 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Plan process on February 1, 2010. Over the next two months, presentations will be made regarding several potential capital projects from Infrastructure Services, Community Services, Utility Services and Protective Services for consideration in the CIP. He advised that the presentations today, from the Lethbridge Regional Police Service, Helen Schuler Nature Centre and LA Transit will be the first of many capital project overviews.

Deputy Mayor Wickersham also advised that no decisions will be made at the end of each presentation. Members of Finance Committee should ask all the questions needed to gain a thorough understanding of each project. After the presentations, Administration may be required to return with further information, so everyone is ready for the debate and resolution sessions from May 11th through 14th

1. **PRESENTATIONS**:

1.1 Chief Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Regional Police Service (LRPS); Conrad Westerson, Facility Services Manager; Doug McLaughlin, Chair, Lethbridge Regional Police Commission and Art Ferrari, Ferrari Westwood Babits Architects, re Lethbridge Regional Police Service Needs Assessment and Facility Master Plan

Chief Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Regional Police Service, introduced the members of his team that were present and thanked those members of the Police Service who were involved with the project. He advised that the current site has been occupied since 1996 and was designed to accommodate 241 employees. Currently there is 243 staff and the building has reached capacity. He displayed pictures and explained situations of overcrowding. He also reported that changes in policing methods, technology, provincial partnerships, case management and legislation have impacted the way space is utilized.

Chief McKenzie advised that a needs assessment was conducted spring of 2009 to determine building usage, assess future space and facility needs and develop a 25 year plan. He reported that several strategies were examined and that the most feasible, economical and operationally effective solution is an expansion of the existing building. He explained that LRPS utilizes a central deployment model and that operating out of more than one building is not the usual practice for a community or police service the size of Lethbridge.

Chief McKenzie summarized the findings of the Building Needs Assessment:

- By 2035 the population of Lethbridge and Coaldale are anticipated to reach approximately 128,250
- LRPS staff is projected to grow to 335
- Existing building totals 64,325 sq.ft.
- Overall space needs are estimated at around 99,000 sq.ft. to meet 25 year growth projections
- Existing building was designed to be expanded and is in good condition
- Current site is land-locked, has no nearby street parking and no land available to purchase
- More cost effective to expand than build new

He explained that upward expansion is no longer an option because of seismic changes to the building codes.

Chief McKenzie displayed pictures/floor plans and identified the recommended proposal as being:

- Construction of a three-level structure at the rear of the existing building and reconfiguration inside to bring the total floor space to 101,950 sq.ft.
- Construction of a parking deck to increase operational and staff parking from 167 to 248 spaces
- Relocation of Public Safety Communications Centre (PSCC) to the new Fire Hall

He reported that this expansion proposal provides 100% of the projected 25 year space needs and represents the upper limit of practical and cost-effective expansion on the current site. The project total cost is estimated at \$23.4 million.

Chief McKenzie also identified interim solutions as being relocation of the PSCC as soon as possible and the lease of off-site space to temporarily relocate select units while the new expansion is being constructed.

In conclusion, Chief McKenzie stated that the Police Service requires additional space immediately and the proposed expansion represents the most feasible and cost effective way in which to meet the long term space needs. The next step would be to prepare a project implementation master plan which would provide a detailed examination of needs. He identified potential sources of funding as being the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) and Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP).

A question period followed with respect to:

- Methods that the Project Improvement Master Plan could be phased in
- Possibility of phasing construction over five to seven years
- Existing contract with the Town of Coaldale for Police Services and benefits of having a long term contract with them
- Partnership with Town of Coaldale regarding capital grants and the percentage of capital costs they would pay
- Up front capital costs being borne by the City of Lethbridge
- Provision of police services to Coaldale provoking need for more capacity
- Potential sources of funding
- Difference in the size of the footprint in the initial plan for the existing building and the actual footprint
- Anticipated extension in time frame that might be realized from interim solutions
- Utilization of the Community Boardroom
- Changes to seismic design standards and whether the current building would meet the new standards
- Consideration to have a satellite station in Coaldale
- Cost and benefits of satellite stations
- Requirement for a different operational model if satellite sites introduced
- Use of other City facilities for the interim solution such as the Old Court House and Bowman Arts Centre
- Consideration of the RCMP headquarters for alternative accommodation

1.2 Bary Beck, Director of Community Services and Coreen Putman, Nature Interpretation Coordinator, Helen Schuler Nature Centre, re Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion

Bary Beck, Director of Community Services introduced Coreen Putman, Nature Interpretation Coordinator. He advised that he would be speaking to the facility evaluation and Coreen would speak to the requirements.

Coreen Putman, Nature Interpretation Coordinator, advised that the Helen Schuler Nature Centre was built in 1982 with funding from the Urban Parks Program and in 1984 an indoor classroom was added. She reported that this is a City of Lethbridge facility, owned and operated.

Ms. Putman provided information on the volunteers that support the service delivery at the Nature Centre and the community use of the facility. She reported that the Nature Centre is one of the top five most used facilities, 65% growth in volunteer program in 1 year and 20% visitation increases over 5 years.

Mr. Beck advised that the decision to build new or renovate is made around several issues such as:

- functional ability to meet program output requirements
- structural condition of facility
- overall facility conditions

He reported that any one of these in extreme or a combination of them not being met drives a decision point to determine when an investment into a facility is required. He displayed a Functional Condition Index and advised that within 5 years this building will enter the critical stage if nothing is done.

Ms. Putman described the functionality of the facility, displayed a floor plan and explained the use of each of the rooms. She reported that twenty-five percent of the booking requests are currently not being met.

Ms. Putman identified the process of facility examination that has been completed with the most recent step being the 2008 Scoping Study and Schematic Design. She provided diagrams and pictures of the functional design that would see additions to the existing facility and would include:

- first accessible green roof for the community in Southern Alberta
- Sensitivity to land base and minimization of footprint
- Provision of a community room, indoor classroom, a gallery, increased entry and office space

The result, LEED Gold and the current facility 390 m² (4200 ft²) would be expanded by 365 m² (3900ft²) - space would be tripled while not quite doubling the footprint. Total cost for the project would be \$4,052,000. Ms. Putman reported that possible funding sources could be Urban Parks Reserve, Dividend from Sunridge or grants such as Community Facility Enhancement Program (CFEP) or New Deal for Cities and Communities (NDCC). She advised that the impact on the operating budget would be an increase of approximately \$150,000 for programming and building costs

A question period followed with respect to:

- Consideration of the use of alternative energy sources
- Funding available in the Urban Parks Reserve
- Process from here
- Special permits required for building in the river bottom
- Interim costs
- Costs of the green roof and necessity of the roof
- Proposed expansion providing sufficient programming and office space
- Impact on operational budget including additional staff costs
- Length of time before facility enters critical stage
- Plans taking into account future flooding
- 1995 flood being pre or post completion of the Oldman River Dam
- Opportunity for revenue generation through a gift shop

- Production of pamphlets and booklets appropriate to the area
- Use of the community room
- Internet capabilities

1.3 John King, Transit Manager, re Transit Barn Expansion – Phase I/Transit Facility Functional Audit

John King, Transit Manager, introduced Conrad Westerson, Facility Services Manager, Barry McCallum, Project Manager, Facility Services and Richard Reron, Maintenance Coordinator, Lethbridge Transit all of whom are involved in the project.

Mr. King advised that the current LA Transit facility was built in 1984 and is now exceeding capacity. He described the functions of Lethbridge Transit and identified the space allocated to each function on a floor plan. He advised that the number of vehicles has grown from 52 in 1984 to 103 in 2010 and projected to be 141 in 2025. He also reported that the size of the facility is determined by the size of the fleet because of storage and maintenance work.

Mr. King displayed slides showing the vehicle storage space in 1984 where there was sufficient expansion room to the present where some vehicles must be parked outside. He explained changes that have occurred over the years in order to accommodate the acquisition of the Access-A-Ride, increased supervisors, dispatch and administrative staff. He identified the results of the Functional Audit as being:

- Layout of heavy duty maintenance, parts room and body shop work areas are functional
- However:
 - major equipment such as hoists, bus wash rack, fuel storage tanks and fuel dispensing systems are at or nearing the end of their useful life
 - Dynamometer (engine testing) room is old technology and no longer required
 - Indoor bus storage is at capacity
 - Layout of the service line (daily fuelling, exterior bus washing, cleaning, fare box room) is inefficient
 - Demands on office space exceeding capacity
 - Meeting rooms and training facilities are no longer available as they are being used for staff

Mr. King outlined the proposed expansion which would see a second storey office expansion, new service line, exterior bus wash, work bays, additional storage, and above ground fuel tanks. He advised this would meet Lethbridge Transit's short term facility needs and would cost \$15 million. Eligible sources of funding are the Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP) and New Deal for Cities and Communities (NDCC). He also advised that there would be an increase of \$435,000 in the annual operating budget for life cycle (asset management) and utilities and contractual services.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Requirements to meet the facility needs to 2025
- Access-A-Ride demands
- Provision of separate facilities for female employees (washrooms and locker room)

M.A. SIMMONS:

THAT this meeting of the Finance Committee be adjourned.

-----CARRIED

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on **Monday**, **March 15**, **2010 at 2:00 P.M.** in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Deputy Mayor T.H. Wickersham
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck
ALDERMEN:	R. Dodic
	B. A. Lacey
	R. K. Parker
	M.A. Simmons
	K.E. Tratch
	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	Legislative Coordinator, B. Burke
	City Manager, G. Sherwin
	City Solicitor, D. Hudson
	City Treasurer, C. Wight
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck
ABSENT:	J.H. Carlson

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that City Council sitting as Finance Committee would be hearing presentations from the Utilities today.

Deputy Mayor Wickersham also advised that no decisions will be made at the end of each presentation. Members of Finance Committee should ask all the questions needed to gain a thorough understanding of each project. After the presentations, Administration may be required to return with further information, so everyone is ready for the debate and resolution sessions from May 11th through 14th

1. 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Presentations:

1.1 Doug Kaupp, General Manager, Water, Wastewater and Storm Water, re Water and Wastewater Utilities

Doug Kaupp, General Manager, Water, Wastewater and Storm Water advised that the Capital Project drivers are regulations, asset life cycle and growth and that he would be presenting on Water, Wastewater and Combined Systems (Urban Development Projects) in project categories, previously approved, maintenance and renewal of existing assets, upgrades to existing systems and response to growth/level of service.

Water - Previously Approved Projects:

- Water Treatment Plant Residuals Management (E-22) to provide for treatment of water treatment waste streams before they are discharged to the river. Estimated cost is \$10.7M/schedule 2012 - 2013
- Water Treatment Plant Process Redundancy (E-23) retrofit Plant No. 2 with new technology and provide the required level of treatment process redundancy. Estimated cost of \$5.5M/schedule 2013 – 2015

Water – Maintenance and Renewal of Existing Assets

- Water Treatment Plant No. 2 HLP Switchgear Upgrade (E-19) replacement of existing electrical switchgear and pump motors to extend the service life of the plant. Estimated cost of \$1.1M/schedule 2012
- Water Treatment Plant Transmission Pipeline Rehabilitation (E-21) refining of the existing steel water pipelines to extend their service life. Estimated cost of \$2.0M/schedule 2010 – 2013
- Water Reservoir Upgrades (E-17) replacement of existing mechanical and electrical equipment, controls and instruments to extend the service life and reliability of the stations. Estimated cost \$6.1M/schedule 2013 – 2019

Water – Upgrade Existing System

- Treatment Plants SCADA Upgrade (E-15 & E-20) replacement of aging hardware, improve historical data handling and provide enhancements in the system configuration and security. Estimated cost \$1.7M/schedule 2013 – 2015
- Water Treatment Plant and Reservoir Security (E-18) installing additional fencing, controlled gates and interior and exterior video surveillance. Estimated cost \$1.3M/schedule 2016 2017

Water – Response to Growth: Maintaining Level of Service

 Water Treatment Plant Additional Filters – provision of the additional filters to increase the plant's treatment capacity. Estimated cost \$6.0M/schedule 2025 – 2026

Mr. Kaupp displayed a chart reflecting Water Utility annual debt payments 2010 to 2026. There was no impact on rates for the period 2011 - 2015. In 2016 the increased debt would require a 3% increase in rates.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Rates approved through the operating budget remaining the same until 2015 including projects identified
- Percentage increase in 2016 reflected in dollars
- Resources being in place for the projects identified
- Consistent approach to water reservoir design or unique designs being required for unique sites

Wastewater – Maintenance and Renewal of Existing Assets

 Wastewater Treatment Plant Cogeneration Upgrade (E-13) – removal of equipment from the digester gas floor and installation of replacement or upgraded equipment; upgrade boilers and standby power transformer. Estimated cost \$3.2M/schedule 2011 – 2012

Wastewater – Upgrade Existing System

 Wastewater Treatment Plant Security (E-16) – installing additional fencing, controlled gates and interior and exterior video surveillance; upgrade of plant fire alarm system. Estimated cost \$1.3M/schedule 2016 – 2017

Wastewater – Response to Growth: Maintaining Level of Service

 Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Digester (E-12) – construction of a third digester to provide the redundancy needed for maintenance and increased digestion capacity to accommodate future growth. Estimated cost \$6.2M/schedule 2015 – 2017 Wastewater Treatment Plant Additional Bioreactors (E-11) – provision of additional bioreactors to double the plant's treatment capacity. Estimated cost \$8.2M/schedule 2016 – 2019

Mr. Kaupp displayed a graph indicating the Wastewater Utility Projects have no projected impact on rates.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Success of Protective Plumbing Program
- Ability to still apply under the Protective Plumbing Program
- Consumption of electricity generated through co-generation
- Upgrade of co-generation negating the purchase of electricity
- Grey water being used for irrigation
- Upgrading of truck dumping sites
- Access to site road and the contribution by Wastewater
- Discontinuing co-generation project
- Knowledge of the content of gas created by sewage
- Disposal of sulphur removed from waste
- Explanation of growth vs maintenance
- Exclusion of Wastewater Treatment Plant growth in Offsite Levy and the practice of other communities

Water and Wastewater – Offsite Levy Funded

- Garry Drive Reservoir (E-29) to provide 15ML of additional storage capacity and have a pumping capacity of approximately 40 MLD. Estimated cost \$9.5M/schedule 2010 – 2011
- Northwest Lethbridge Utility Servicing (E-25) new water, wastewater and storm lines are required to maintain existing levels of service and to allow continued growth in West Lethbridge. Estimated cost \$31.5M/schedule 2010 – 2013
- Southeast Regional Sanitary Lift Station (E-28) provision of the wastewater collection capacity required for continued growth of Southeast Lethbridge. Estimated cost \$18.0M/schedule 2011-2013
- West Siphon Screen Relocation (E-27) increase the capacity of the existing siphon and allow continued growth in the southeastern areas of West Lethbridge. Estimated cost \$2.0M/schedule 2015
- Distribution System Looping (E-30) water distribution system improvements such as additional interconnections, parallel mains and upsized mains. Estimated cost \$3.0M/schedule 2011 – 2016
- North Sanitary Siphon Twinning (E-26) provide the additional wastewater collection capacity required for growth in the northern areas of North Lethbridge. Estimated cost \$6.0M/schedule 2018 – 2019
- Métis Trail Drive Deep Utilities Phase II (E-24) provide the additional water distribution and wastewater collection capacity required for growth in the south western areas of West Lethbridge. Estimated cost \$7.0M/schedule 2020 – 2021

A question period followed with respect to:

- Timing on Bridge Drive project
- Relationship with Federal Fisheries and Alberta Environment
- Southeast Regional Sanitary Lift Station project not being done until the population reaches a certain level
- Determination of "existing" levels and continued growth

1.2 Dave Schaaf, Waste and Recycling Manager, re Waste and Recycling Utilities

Dave Schaaf, Waste and Recycling Manager, reported that the Capital Improvement Plan projects for Waste & Recycling Services are:

- Landfill Gas Management (Waste & Recycling Centre)
- Environmental Management at the Closed Landfills
- Disposal Cell Construction (Waste & Recycling Centre)

He also reported that other projects are the Landfill Master Plan Projects (Waste Recycling Centre) and the Recycling Depot Enhancements.

Landfill Gas Management Project - Design and construction of a gas collection and treatment facility (flaring) at a cost of \$4.2M/scheduled 2014 – 2015. Landfill is generating an estimated 60,000 tonnes of CO2 equiv. and the treatment facility is required to meet specified Gas Emitters Regulation.

Environmental Management at the Closed Landfill Sites - Design and construction of a gas/leachate collection and treatment facility:

Northside site - \$1.3M in 2011 – 2012 Central site (Public Operations site) - \$825K in 2015 – 2016

These facilities are required to protect public health and safety and environmental compliance. Mr. Schaaf reported that the Central site has an active vapour extraction system venting to the atmosphere but the Northside site has no collection or treatment system.

Disposal Cell Construction - Design and construction of waste disposal cells at a cost of \$4.5M in 2011 and \$5.7M in 2014. These cells are required to provide the remaining 8 to 10 years of capacity at the existing Landfill site.

Mr. Schaaf advised that the rate changes necessary to support capital programs are:

- 2011 \$2.00/tonne increase (\$54.50/tonne to \$56.50/tonne)
- 2012 2014 no rate increase to support capital costs
- 2010 preliminary design study may result in additional pressure on the capital budget

Mr. Schaaf reported on the Landfill Master Plan Projects advising that the master plan is underway and may create additional projects but they are not anticipated within the 2011 – 2013 CIP time frame. The Recycling Depot Enhancement Needs Survey has been completed. Design options will be presented to City Council in May 2010 and may result in capital expenditures in 2011.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Landfill gas management and the ability to collect for electricity generation
- Ability to sell electricity generated into the grid
- Estimated 60,000 tonnes of gas emission being just one or all landfills

- Determination of gas emission being done through mathematical models and the ability to calculate in reality the content
- Benefits of waiting for regulations to be introduced
- Handling of sulphur from Wastewater at the landfill
- Plans for dealing with City generated toxins
- Environmental programs dependency on legislation
- Recycling goals working to prevent waste at landfill
- Isolating combustible and gas generating materials at the landfill
- Balancing costs, fiscal capacity and the environment

1.3 Otto Lenz, Electric Manager, re Electric Utilities

Otto Lenz, Electric Manager, advised that the Electric Capital Plan was organized into three areas:

- Transmission as defined by <u>Electric Utility Act</u> (EUA), 138,000 volts from generator to City substation
- Distribution as defined by EUA, 25,000 volts and below from City substation to homes
- Support Programs contribute to both Transmission and Distribution and allocated to either Transmission or Distribution

He advised that the Transmission consists of five major substations and 35 km of high voltage line. Distribution consists of 780 km underground, 200 km overhead line and 4,000 transformers. The Support Programs are Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA), Fiber Work and Facilities Management. Mr. Lenz displayed pictures of a typical substation, distribution underground, distribution overhead and Support – SCADA. Support is entirely allocated to either Transmission or Distribution

Mr. Lenz provided details on Transmission Projects that are based on condition assessment. The major projects that are either completed or underway are the West side substation, additional transformer (2009) and the 26th Avenue North rebuild in 2010. He reported that new projects, "like for like" replacement, end of useful life do not require specific approvals. However, enhancements (modify the status quo) or new projects require Alberta Electric System Operator (AESO) and Alberta Utilities Commission (AUC) approval.

Transmission Projects

- Like-for-Like Projects:
 - North and South East Substations (F-4) protection and control -\$600,000/scheduled 2012
 - West side Substation (F-6) T1 (first transformer \$1.5M/scheduled 2015
 - o Industrial Park Substation (F-9) Switchgear replacement \$1.5M/scheduled 2014
- Enhancements or New Projects:
 - Line rebuild (26th Avenue North to substation) \$1.1M/scheduled 2011
 - River Valley Substation transformer replacement \$1.4M/scheduled 2013 (requires distribution system analysis and approvals)

Mr. Lenz reported on other Transmission Projects as being:

- Potential Generator Industrial Park (F-12) \$1.7M/scheduled 2011
- Potential new West Side substation and 138 kV line \$6.8M substation and \$3.4M 137 kV line/scheduled 2017. This project requires extensive distribution analysis and approvals
- Miscellaneous Upgrading (F-7, F10)
Distribution Projects

- Primary Service Extensions and Programs (F-16, F-18, F-21) Mr. Lenz advised that these projects are typically based on the percentage of plant value and described the formula used. Current regulations require capitalization of these projects and he described the methods of inspecting equipment to determine life span. He advised that the following are example projects for 2011/2012
 - Remove existing river crossing
 - Replace concrete poles 43rd Street/Hwy. 3 to 9th Avenue North
 - Underground lines (Industrial area)
 - o Build new tie line between substations Sherring Park to 9th Avenue North Industrial Park
 - Build new circuit between switching cubicles
- Infrastructure Replacement (F-17)
 - o Underground cable installed in the 1960's and 1970's

Mr. Lenz advised that global replacement is required because older cable quality is unacceptable. Costs would be \$5M to \$7M annually. Mr. Lenz displayed a map and identified priority areas for cable replacement.

Support

- Information Technologies:
 - AM/FM and Work Management (F-27 and F-28) \$900,000/scheduled 2011 2013)
 - o Fiber Network (F-29) \$1.1M/scheduled 2011 2013
- SCADA (F-26) maintenance and cycle replacement of existing system \$800,000/scheduled 2011 – 2020
- Critical Infrastructure (F-31) regulatory compliance and protection of critical transmission components - \$1.3M/scheduled 2011 – 2020
- Fleet (F-24) replacement of vehicles \$1.9M/scheduled 2011 2020
- Other Environmental

Mr. Lenz advised that Transmission projects have no impact on rates. Distribution projects would affect rates based primarily on new investments. Cable replacements/AMI, would have significant impact 2012 and on. He reported that the rate impact in 2011 would be approximately 2.2% related to an increase of \$.95 per month to the average residence.

• Advanced Metering Initiative (AMI) (F-19)

Mr. Lenz advised that this is an Alberta government regulation which is currently in draft form. The current draft would require replacement of all meters in the City of Lethbridge with implementation 2012 to 2017. This system is an automatic meter reading and in-home display for all customers reporting hourly and daily consumption. The intention is that this system would influence customer behaviour. Cost would be \$26M to \$30M over the CIP timeframe. The AMI initiative may add approximately \$7.00 to \$10.00 per month to each electric customer.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Advanced Metering Initiative (AMI) rationale and scope
- Payers for AMI
- Alberta Utilities Commission coverage and exemption
- Political route available, responsible department and process for commenting on AMI
- Behaviour modification necessary to made AMI cost neutral
- Availability of information on AMI
- Requirement for Public Hearings for 241S switchgear
- Purchase of energy efficient appliances

1.4 Doug Kaupp, General Manager, Water and Wastewater and Storm Water, re Storm Water Utilities

Doug Kaupp, General Manager, Water and Wastewater and Storm Water advised that the CIP requirement is to upgrade existing systems for flood protection projects:

- Storm Sewer Upgrades consist of enhancements to the storm water collection system in an area that was affected in 2008:
 - Glacier Avenue South benefit 35 residents
 - o Great Lakes Place South benefit 28 residents
 - Lakemount Boulevard South benefit 11 residents
 - o 2nd Avenue North benefit 7 businesses
 - o Miscellaneous City-wide benefit 47 residences

Mr. Kaupp advised that the objective of these upgrades will be to reduce the impact of an event like July, 2008 to that of a 1 in 5 year rainfall. He reported that these improvement projects will achieve reductions in flooding risk but they will not result in the level of service provided by a properly designated overland flow route. Mr. Kaupp advised that the total estimated cost is \$25M and the schedule is 2012 to 2020.

A question period followed with respect to:

- Potential funding sources
- For debate in the CIP include as five projects with assigned funding sources
- Vertical storage of water
- Ability to put extra length of pipes in a street
- Department of Environment regulations regarding pumping water

R.K. PARKER:

THAT this meeting of the Finance Committee be adjourned.

-----CARRIED

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on **Monday**, **March 29**, **2010** at **1:00 P.M.** in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Deputy Mayor T.H. Wickersham	
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck	
ALDERMEN:	J.H. Carlson	
	R. Dodic	
	B. A. Lacey	
	R. K. Parker	
	M.A. Simmons	
	K.E. Tratch	
	S.G. Ward	
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth	
	Legislative Coordinator, B. Burke	
	City Manager, G. Sherwin	
	City Solicitor, D. Hudson	
	City Treasurer, C. Wight	
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins	
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins	
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck	

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that City Council, sitting as Finance Committee would first hear from the City Treasurer, Corey Wight who will present the unaudited financial information for 2009. The report details the financial results of operations for the year ending 2009 and provides reference material which will assist Finance Committee in its work such as details on reserves, land development activities, investments, and the status of approved budget initiatives.

Following will be two presentations that will continue discussion on the 2011-2010 Capital Improvement Program which began several weeks ago.

David Ellis, Parks Manager will be presenting the Parks Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and some details of projects in the upcoming draft CIP. Bary Beck, Director of Community Services will be identifying several planning initiatives for consideration by Finance Committee. These include Community Services Master Planning Projects.

Finance Committee will not be making decisions regarding the CIP today, but only receiving information and asking questions of administration. The debate on the CIP is scheduled for May 12th and 13th.

1.2 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Presentations:

1.2.1 David Ellis, Parks Manager, re Parks

Mr. Ellis advised that Parks act as spaces or facilities for unstructured or un-programmed recreation that accommodate flexible schedules. Parks do not cater to individual hobbies or interests but offer a wide variety of opportunities at no additional entrance fees or cost above the tax base. He reported that in surveys, parks have been identified as only 2% less important to the general public than essential services like Fire and Police. Even though people may never visit a park they enjoy the beautification provided to the City and a reprieve from the congestion.

Mr. Ellis provided a review of the 2008 to 2017 Capital Improvement Programs:

- The Crossings Park (51 acres, 4.4 km of pathway, 1,140 trees on site mostly complete
- Henderson Lake Water Quality program is evolving and being adjusted as we learn more. Water Quality has been improved

 North Regional Park – in 2009 a creative public process was conducted to determine what people want in this park

Mr. Ellis advised that the Parks Capital Improvement Program for 2011 – 2020 would introduce six projects recommended for inclusion.

- 1. Asset Management \$9,385,800
 - a. Value of Parks assets in excess of \$400 million and includes pathways, playgrounds furniture, irrigation systems, parks drainage, sports amenities
 - b. Maintenance programs have been effective but do not address lifecycle replacement. Lifecycle replacement now needs to be addressed in an effort to catch up
 - c. Condition assessments have been conducted and the strategy is to deal with the backlog first as a concentrated effort and then to keep up with an annual program
 - d. Asset management would address pathways as well as parks amenities furniture, fencing, drainage and irrigation
- 2. Nicholas Sheran Playground \$485,000
 - a. Replacement of the playground
 - b. Attempts to find a funding partner have been unsuccessful
 - c. New playground will help this park function more as a regional park and take some pressures off other playgrounds that are too busy
 - d. Surface decay is the visible problem but rot in the ground is the larger problem
 - e. Reached the point where if not replaced soon, removal should be considered for safety reasons
- 3. Pathway System Expansion \$3.2 million
 - a. Surveys indicate that pathways are the most desired park amenity to be built and people want to see the system expanded
 - b. Most popular recreation activity is walking and the top ten include jogging, running and bicycling
 - c. Pathways accommodate not only recreation but major events and alternative transportation
 - d. Plan is for 25 km of pathway with mostly recreational focus and are connections from the recommendations in the Bikeways and Pathways Master plan
- 4. North Regional Park \$1 million for detail design in 2012
 - a. Currently project is in the initial phases of planning focused on what the public wants and needs
 - b. Concept design and cost estimates will be completed this spring
 - c. Anticipated that basic landscape construction costs will be \$10 million plus additional costs for any feature or special amenity that is included
 - d. share of subdivision planning and development costs including roads and servicing will also increase the total cost
 - e. An outline plan for the subdivision is expected to start later this year with anticipated completion by 2012
 - f. Construction timing is dependent on availability of services and roads and will take about three years for completion
- 5. Mountain Bike Park \$185,000
 - a. Proposal came just after the completion of the last CIP and the plan was to fund it by grants
 - b. Located in Botterill Bottom Park
 - c. \$50,000 has been approved in the Community Capital Project Grant but efforts to obtain Community Facility Enhancement Program (CFEP) funding have been unsuccessful
 - d. Location has been approved by City Council, the necessary development permit has been obtained and the design completed
- 6. Parks South Side Depot \$1,390,000
 - a. Construction of a replacement Parks Depot on the south side to improve operational efficiency, cost control and staff safety

A question period followed with respect to:

- Possibility of construction of the North Regional Park commencing in 2013 and the requirement for roads and servicing prior to commencing construction
- Construction costs for the North Regional Park and increased costs if water feature included
- Life expectancy for playgrounds
- Metal, aluminum and plastic playground equipment
- Replacement picnic tables being recycled plastic
- Pathways maintenance standards and how the City of Lethbridge pathways maintenance standards compare to other communities
- Requirement for additional parking at Nicholas Sheran
- Design of Nicholas Sheran Playground and the costs being for the playground only
- How park visit numbers are determined
- Length of pathway system
- Capacity to complete the work proposed for Asset Management
- Additional projects such as dog runs and community gardens to come
- Maintenance and operational budgets for the six projects
- Costs for the South Side Depot including land
- Requirement for additional equipment for the South Side Depot
- New ways of naturalizing lands and the program of collecting natural grasses
- Computer controlled watering systems recognizing when it is raining
- Value of investment in trails and pathways
- Possibility of building two smaller playgrounds instead of one large playground at Nicholas Sheran
- Projects being in order of priority
- Philosophy of sighting playgrounds

Mr. Beck was asked about parks management from an asset management perspective. He advised that this currently does not exist in North America but is prevalent in New Zealand and that Mr. Ellis is proposing a leading type of program in Canada

1.2.2 Bary Beck, Director of Community Services, re Community Services Master Planning Projects

Mr. Beck advised that the purpose of his presentation was to:

- Review project delivery process
- Long-range facility planning
- Identify projects
- Funding options

Planning Proposals

Mr. Beck displayed a diagram showing how something goes from a concept to a project. He focused on the Planning portion of the process (needs analysis and scoping) advising that a detailed scope includes functional analysis, functional relationships and schematic and site identification, if applicable. A business plan and analysis of the impact on the operating budget is required. The planning process would populate future Capital Improvement Programs and while not funded, City Council would be aware of the likelihood of the need.

The 2011 – 2020 Planning Proposals are:

City Operations Master Plan – Office Space - \$100,000

Mr. Beck reported that the focus would not be just on City Hall but would encompass all city facilities and would include identification of existing staff spatial allocations, future spatial needs and projects that accommodate staff growth.

City Operations Master Plan – Update Fire Master Plan - \$120,000

This would involve a complete analysis of levels of service in new and planned urban development and identify sites of future Fire Stations.

Recreation & Culture Master Plan Update - \$100,000

The current plan was completed in April 2007 and a review of the plan is warranted every three years. This review would articulate level of service and requirements and reconfirm community needs and the state of facilities.

Arenas/Aquatics Master Plan - \$150,000

Mr. Beck advised that these are the most expensive buildings and are now 35 to 40 years old. Planning would include a functional assessment of each community arena, identification of programming needs, review of industry standards and a facility condition assessment. A ten to fifteen year construction program would be established.

Leisure Centre Master Plan - \$150,000

This would reference current and upcoming recreation facility plans, assess usage and gaps, determine the facility component priorities and provide a business case which supports this facility.

Curling Master Plan (Civic Ice Centre) - \$125,000

The Civic Ice Centre is 62 years old. Planning would provide a functional needs assessment, facility condition assessment to determine the current and future state of repair; potential site locations and partnerships; a conceptual design and business case which supports this facility.

Mr. Beck advised that planning is important and the summary of costs for all these Planning Proposals is \$745,000. He identified funding options as Operating Reserves or Pay-As-You-Go.

A question period followed with respect to:

- What the estimated costs would purchase
- Ability to do the work in-house
- Rationale for revisiting the Recreation and Culture Master Plan
- Consideration of hiring staff versus consults with a systematic approach to planning on a permanent basis
- Maximizing the functionality of existing assets
- Borrowing and partnerships considered as funding options
- Qualification for Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) funding
- Changes to Provincial Building Codes affecting the location of Fire Halls and the time frame for complying with new regulations

R.K. PARKER:

THAT this meeting of the Finance Committee be adjourned.

-----CARRIED

PRESENT:	Deputy Mayor	T.H. Wickersham
	Mayor	R.D. Tarleck
	Alderman	R. Dodic
	Alderman	B.A. Lacey
	Alderman	M.A. Simmons
	Alderman	K.E. Tratch
	Alderman	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk	D. Nemeth
	Deputy City Clerk	A. Neufeld
	City Manager	G. Sherwin
	City Treasurer	C. Wight
	Director of Infrastructure Services	D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services	B. Beck
ABSENT:	Alderman	J.H. Carlson
	Alderman	R.K. Parker

MINUTES of a **Financial Committee Meeting** held on **Monday, April 12, 2010** in COUNCIL CHAMBERS at 1:00 PM with the following attendance:

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that City Council sitting as Finance Committee is hearing presentations from City Administration regarding the 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Plan. To date we have received details of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for each of the utilities and for parks.

Today, Finance Committee will hear from Darwin Juell, Transportation Manager on their Transportation Capital Improvement Program and details related to transportation projects. Additionally, the Committee will hear from representatives of the Southern Alberta Ethnic Association and Lethbridge Exhibition Park.

Finance Committee will not be making decisions regarding the CIP today, but only receiving information and asking questions of Administration. The debate on the CIP is scheduled for May 12th and 13th.

Alderman Wickersham recognized Melissa Manieri, Youth Advisory Committee Member.

PRESENTATIONS:

1.1. 2011 - 2020 Capital Improvement Program

1.1.1 Darwin Juell, Transportation Manager, re Transportation

Darwin Juell, Transportation Manager advised that the Transportation CIP requests had been broken into the following categories:

- Maintain Safety
- Preserve Infrastructure Rehabilitation
- Upgrade Existing System
- Provide Access for Growth Offsite Levy

Mr. Juell reported that funding for Transportation projects is balanced between tax supported (Pay As You Go), Provincial/Federal grants and offsite levies (restricted to growth projects). He advised that estimates include simple 5% inflation factor per year starting after 2011.

Projects are identified by the four following methods:

• Growth – in conjunction with the Growth Management Plan determining an estimate of where and when construction of arterial roads is necessary

1

- Capacity in conjunction with the Transportation Master Plan and other studies, improvements are determined
- Rehabilitation annual rehabilitation necessary to maintain roads, lanes, sidewalks etc. as determined from the Asset Management Program (CAMP)
- System Upgrades identify system upgrades to improve the transportation system through public requests and inspection programs

Maintain Safety

Mr. Juell advised of the following project highlights:

- (C-5) Gravel Roadway Upgrading
 - Reconstruction of existing gravel roads or upgrading to a paved standard rural roadway if traffic volumes warrant
 - Levels of funding \$700,000 in 2011, \$525,000 in 2012, \$550,000 in 2013
- (C-6) Intersection Improvements Growth
 - Project involves new traffic signals and geometric improvements in new growth areas. Growth projects funded with 75% basic capital and 25% offsite levies
 - Levels of funding 2011- \$1,000,000, 2012 \$1,051.000, 2013 \$1,104,000
- (C-7) Intersection Improvements Non-growth
 - Project involves new traffic signals, signal upgrades and geometric improvements in older nongrowth areas. Funded 25% from offsite levies and remaining Basic Capital
 - Levels of funding: 2011 \$1,000,000, 2012 \$1,051,000; 2013 \$1,104,000
- (C-8) Sidewalk Mobility Ramps
 - Approximately 25 intersections and 25 handicap parking stalls per year will be reconstructed until 2016. This program involves replacement of barrier curb at intersections with para-ramps designed to today's standard and involves the installation of barrier free mobility ramps for downtown parking stalls.
 - Levels of funding 2011- \$250,000, 2012 \$263,000, 2013 \$275,000
- (C-9) Bikeways/Pathways on Roadways
 - Program will complete pathway connections and develop bikeways within roadways as per the Bikeways/Pathways Master Plan
 - Levels of funding-2011- \$500,000, 2012 \$525,000, 2013 \$551,000

Discussion of this portion of the report resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Developing pathways on roadways to finish off missing links allowing better access throughout the City including access to the Downtown core
- Proposed pathway on Scenic Drive and 1 Ave S/5 Ave N and whether this pathway will continue to the Downtown area
- Adequately marking for bicycles for motorist awareness and safety
- Sidewalk mobility ramps and ensuring they are friendly for wheelchair access
- Review of railway crossings and traffic back ups
- Intersection improvement at 6 Ave and Mayor Magrath Dr S regarding traffic backup
- Status of the red light camera initiative
- Communication between the Transit and Transportation departments regarding para-ramps at various bus stop and handibus locations
- Managing traffic during events at the Community Stadium (West Lethbridge)
- Status of the footbridge concept
- Eligibility of electric wheelchairs on bicycle pathways and making provision for them in the proposed cycling bylaw
- Dust abatement program and the scheduled improvements for gravel road upgrades
- Expanding the bikeways and pathways to the downtown core by sharing roadways with motorists
- Reviewing the traffic safety of the Northside Transit terminal as buses exit the site
- Significant traffic increase to 26 Avenue N and whether four lanes are being considered

• Traffic moving more efficiently using round-a-bouts versus traffic signals, cost and safety comparison and if they have been considered in the older areas

Preserve Infrastructure

Mr. Juell advised of the following project highlights:

- (C-10) Arterial Rehab Program
 - Intent of the program is to maintain arterial roads to an acceptable standard and preserve the existing arterial roads
 - Funding allows rehab of 3% to 4% of the total system annually utilizing Basic Capital grant
 - Collector and local roadway repairs are funded through frontage levies within operating budget
 - Next several years will focus on major rehabilitation to Scenic Drive, 24 Ave S, 13 Street and include median repairs, curb and gutter repair and installation of pathways
 - Levels of funding 2011 \$1,000,000, 2012 \$1,051,000, 2013 \$1,104,000
- (C-11) Bridge Rehab Program
 - o rehabilitation of bridges and overpasses including the transportation and parks system
 - City of Lethbridge has over 40 bridges to maintain
 - o Utilizes Basic Capital grant for major bridge rehabilitation
 - Level of funding 2011 \$1,315,000, 2012 \$319,000, 2013 \$293,000
- (C-12) Community Lighting
 - Program replaces 1% of street lights annually that are beyond their useful life with LED bulbs
 - Level of funding 2011 \$300,000, 2012 \$316,000, 2013 \$332,000
- (C-13) Traffic Signal Replacement
 - Replaces traffic signals that are beyond their useful life as well as funding may be used for replacement of steel poles, cabinet replacement and other equipment
 - o Level of funding 2011- \$300,000, 2012 \$315,000, 2013 \$330,000
- (C-14) Major Sidewalk Rehabilitation Program
 - Program targets major rehabilitation of sidewalks throughout the City and the focus is on replacing the entire sidewalk within a block
 - Replaces about 750m (one two blocks) annually
 - Level of funding 2011 \$190,000, 2012 \$200,000, 2013 \$210,000
- (C-15) Railway Rehab Program
 - Program repairs spur lines as required through ongoing inspections
 - Alberta Transportation Rail Safety branch is the regulator and in 2010 new rail regulations came into effect that have impacted the rail standard
 - Currently have 24 rail to road crossings; 11 require signalization
 - Level of funding 2011 \$500,000, 2012 \$526,000, 2013 \$552,000

Upgrade Existing System

Mr Juell provided the following highlights:

- (C-16) Scenic Drive North/ 9 Avenue/Stafford Drive
 - Prepare a detailed design and provide construction services and proceed to construct the initial two lanes of an four lane roadway
 - Funding is approved with \$14M from Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program and \$6M from Build Canada Fund
 - Level of funding 2011 \$9,500,000, 2012 \$9,500,000
- (C-17) 43 Street North
 - Prepare a detailed design and provide construction services to build two lanes of an ultimate four lane roadway

- Level of funding 2011 \$300,000, 2013 \$6,600,000
- (C-18) 26 Avenue North, 23 Street to 28 Street North
 - Prepare a detailed design and construct a four lane roadway to connect with recent construction at 28 Street
 - Level of funding 2012 \$2,100,000
 - (C-19) Mayor Magrath Drive, 40 Avenue South to City Limit
 - Prepare a detailed design and construct embankment fill to accommodate a six lane divided roadway with pathway connection
 - Level of funding 2012 \$4,200,000

Mr. Juell summarized the preliminary designs and functional studies that are required over the next three years to determine land requirements, their initial cost estimates and constraints. The two projects in the next three years include Mayor Magrath Drive, 3 Ave S to 3 Ave N and 6 Ave S, Mayor Magrath Dr to Scenic Dr. Each of these projects will require funding in 2012 in the amount of \$315,000.

Other planning study projects beyond the three year timeframe include:

- C-22, 5 Avenue North, Stafford Dr to Mayor Magrath Drive
- C-23, Interchange preliminary design Whoop-up/University Dr and Whoop-up/Scenic Dr
- C-24, 13 Street N, Crowsnest Trail to 26 Ave N
- C-25, University D, North of rail tracks to Highway 3
- C-26, Transportation Master Plan
- C-27, Whoop-up Drive (McMaster to Aquatania Blvd)
- C-28, University Dr (SunRidge Blvd to Community Stadium)
- C-29, 26 Ave N (31 to 43 St N)
- C-30., 28 St N (5 Ave to 18 Ave N)
- C-17, 43 St N (9 Av to 26 Av N)
- C-18, 26 Ave N (Scenic Drive N to 23 St N)
- C-19 Mayor Magrath Dr (40 Ave S to South City limits)

Provide Access for Growth – Offsite Levy

Mr. Juell advised of the following requirements to accommodate growth:

- (C-31) Métis Trail, Simon Fraser to Garry Drive
 - Prepare a detailed design and construct the initial two lanes of arterial roadway to accommodate development
 - Driven by the Copperwood subdivision and funded by offsite levies
 - Level of funding 2011 \$3,000,000, 2013 \$2,200,000
- (C-32) Garry Drive, Existing to 600m west of Metis Trail
 - Prepare a detailed design and construct the initial two lanes of arterial roadway to accommodate development
 - Funded by offsite levies
 - Level of funding 2011 \$3,000,000

Mr. Juell highlighted two planning study projects that are planned for the next three years. The planning studies are needed to determine land requirements, cost estimates their initial cost estimates and determine any constraints. The two projects are as follows:

- C-33, North Scenic Drive, Upland Blvd/62 Ave in 2013
- C-34, 44 Ave N, Scenic Dr N to 43 St N in 2013

Other planning study projects beyond the three year timeframe (2014 – 2020) include:

- C-31, Metis Trail (Whoop-up Drive to Caledonia and Jerry Potts W to Garry Drive W)
- C-35, Jerry Potts
- C-36, Whoop-up Drive (Coalbanks Gate to 30 Street W)
- C-37, 43 Street S (24 Ave/Hwy 4 to 40 Ave)

Discussion of this portion of the report resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Left hand turning signals and what determines more left hand turns
- Development of Garry Drive to Metis Trail and whether this will take pressure off Squamish Blvd West
- Determining unused railway lines and the process that is followed to remove or cover them up

1.1.2 Surya Acharya, President, Southern Alberta Ethnic Association, re Bill Kergan Centre (Multicultural Heritage Centre)

Dr. Surya Acharya, President, Southern Alberta Ethnic Association (SAEA) stated that there is a need for renovations to the Bill Kergan Centre. The Bill Kergan Centre is the permanent home of the SAEA and the site is ideally located for the organization. Mr. Acharya provided a brief history of the facility.

Dr. Acharya advised the purpose of the presentation was to advise City Council of the need for renovations to the Bill Kergan Centre as well as ask for City Council support to include the project in the current CIP.

The City of Lethbridge is one of the top ten preferred cities for immigration and as a result attracts approximately 450 new immigrants or refugees on a yearly basis. Over the next ten years, ten percent of the region's population will be new Canadians. Some of the services the two groups (immigrants and refugees) require are legal, schooling and healthcare.

The vision of SAEA is to become a multicultural association focused on community development, creating ethnic awareness and to sponsor programs that eliminate discrimination in Southern Alberta. Mr. Acharya outlined the current and proposed activities of their organization.

Currently, the Bill Kergan Centre is old and in need of repair. Mr. Acharya highlighted the functional discrepancies and provided a brief overview on the following areas that require improvement:

- Barrier free access
- Reception
- Main hall
- Kitchen upgrades
- Meeting rooms
- Facility not conducive to programming
- Mechanical systems (heating and ventilation)
- Storage
- Bathrooms

Mr. Acharya showed conceptual drawings of the proposed facility renovations and additions. Exterior changes will include reorganizing the parking lot to accommodate 60 parking stalls, building a deck along the north side of the building, installing larger windows and an addition to the existing kitchen. The main level renovation will include the installation of an elevator and increasing the capacity of the main floor multipurpose room. Lower level renovations will include classroom and computer space, relocating the mechanical room, washrooms and offices.

The total costs of the renovation and addition will be approximately \$4,638,000 which includes the operational, renovation, addition and site costs. SAEA is proposing to raise 10% of the total costs to supply furnishings and programming needs.

Discussion resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Priority requirements
- If furnishing was included in the \$4,638,000 budget
- Applying for additional provincial and federal grant funding
- Total number of ethnical group members and how they utilize the current and proposed services of SAEA
- Square footage of addition
- Current tenants and how the proposed renovation will meet their need
- Operational funding and how this is currently funded
- City of Lethbridge Financial and Maintenance support currently for SAEA and how this is currently provided
- Use of a temporary site during renovations and if this is covered in the proposed budget

1.1.3	Rick Buis, President and Rudy Friesen, General Manager, Lethbridge and District
	Exhibition, re Lethbridge Exhibition Park

Rudy Friesen, General Manager, Lethbridge Exhibition Park, outlined the request of the Board and indicated that the relationship between Exhibition Park and the City of Lethbridge dates back to Exhibition Park's inception in 1897. Mr. Friesen briefly provided a brief overview of their history since this time.

The Lethbridge & District Exhibition operates as a nonprofit organization under the Agricultural Societies Act. The purpose of the organization is to be a year round community organization, supported by volunteers which promote agriculture, trade, tourism, education and recreational events that benefit the economy and quality of life in Southern Alberta. Exhibition Park is the largest facility of its kind in Southern Alberta and serves a trading area of approximately 275,000.

Over the last decade, the demand for their facilities has grown and the ability to meet the demands is compromised by the size, function and condition of the current faculties.

In July 2009, a joint committee consisting of members from the Lethbridge & District Exhibition Board and City Council was formed. The mandate of the joint committee was to evaluate the opportunities of Park redevelopment/renewal and make recommendations to the Exhibition Park Board of Directors. By developing a process, the committee identified four areas. The four areas are as follows:

1. Financial Review

The vast majority of revenue is generated by shows and facility rentals and the remaining funding is from provincial grants. Mr. Friesen highlighted the financial trends and stated that the gap between revenue and expenses is growing closer and revenue is becoming increasing harder to generate. This is important as net operating revenue each year determines how much is available for the Board to put back into capital upgrades and community programs.

2. Facility Condition Audit Review

Every building was audited and determined to be either in good, fair, poor or critical condition. As a result, information indicated that only two buildings on the site are in good condition. According to an audit performed, significant investment would be required to bring the current buildings to good condition. The underground infrastructure also requires a significant investment to address deficiencies in water, storm and sanitary.

- 3. Functional Review
 - \circ Presentations
 - o Questionnaire

In order to better meet and understand the functional needs of their customers, the Joint Committee took a two pronged approach. Stakeholder presentations were made directly to the committee and questionnaires were emailed to stakeholders and members of the public. The responses indicated the Exhibition Park is seen as a community cornerstone which needs investment to meet the community needs and attract tradeshows and conferences.

4. Choosing by Advantage (CBA)

The Joint Committee agreed on seven different options for redevelopment, examined the options and applied nine attributes which included costing. Two options were identified that had the same cost benefit ratio. They are as follows:

Option 4A

- Build in two phases
- Remove and rebuilt on current site with race track
- Increase in square feet of Agriplex, demolition and rebuilding of racing facilities, based on 31 acre footprint
- 800 parking (net loss of 400 parking stalls)

Option 6

- Rebuild on green site, increase in square feet of Agriplex, campground with no racetrack
- 156 acre footprint (increase of 125 acre)
- 100 sites for RV parking

Mr. Friesen advised that the recommendations approved by the Lethbridge and District Exhibition Board are as follows:

- Rehabilitation of the current building to reduce liability risk
- Further examination of Options 4A and 6
- Retain a placeholder position to present the successful option to the 2013 Capital Improvement Program discussion

They are requesting funding to rehabilitate the current facilities. The amount would address the immediate conditions concerns and bring the current building to warm, safe and dry conditions.

In addition to the capital amount, they are requesting planning funding of \$500,000 to complete a comprehensive examination of the two options, develop a master plan and develop a more detailed plan around the preferred option.

Discussion resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Commitment of Exhibition Park to the current site and how long they foresee that commitment to be
- Amount of funding Exhibition Park receives from adjacent communities and examining these options in the future
- Decline in attendance and exhibitors at the 2010 Home and Garden Trade Show
- Commitment of 15 years versus up to 15 years on the current site
- Status of the current agreement with the Rocky Mountain Turf Club and the need to have them involved in the development process
- Choosing by Advantage of an explanation of the process
- Others sources of funding used by exhibition parks in other provinces
- Process that will be followed addressing immediate conditions of current facilities prior to underground infrastructure or vice versa)
- Long term preference to relocation and the magnitude of the City's investment when there is no City
 equity and not located within the City

S.G. WARD:

THAT we do now adjourn this Finance Committee Meeting.

----- CARRIED

MINUTES of a **Finance Committee Meeting** held on **Monday**, **April 26**, **2010** in CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS at 1:00 PM with the following attendance:

PRESENT:	Deputy Mayor	T.H. Wickersham
	Mayor	R.D. Tarleck
	Alderman	J.H. Carlson
	Alderman	R. Dodic
	Alderman	B.A. Lacey
	Alderman	R.K. Parker
	Alderman	M.A. Simmons
	Alderman	K.E. Tratch
	Alderman	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk	D. Nemeth
	Deputy City Clerk	A. Neufeld
	City Manager	G. Sherwin
	City Solicitor	D. Hudson
	City Treasurer	C. Wight
	Director, City Manager's Office	K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services	D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services	B. Beck

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that City Council sitting as Finance Committee is hearing presentations from City Administration that introduced the 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Plan. Recently, we received details on the Transportation Capital Improvement Program and related projects, Southern Alberta Ethnic Association and Lethbridge Exhibition Park.

Today, Finance Committee will hear from Lethbridge Byron Buzunis, Urban Construction Manager on Offsite Levy Review. Additionally, the Committee will hear from representatives from Urban Development Institute and Canadian Home Builders' Association – Lethbridge Region regarding the offsite levy review.

Finance Committee will not be making decisions regarding the CIP today, but only receiving information and asking questions. The debate on the CIP is scheduled for May 12th and 13th.

PRESENTATIONS:

1.2	2011 - 2020 Capital Improvement Program	
404	Demon Demonia, University of the New York, and Official serve Devices (Utilities and	

1.2.1	Byron Buzunis, Urban Construction Manager, re Offsite Levy Review (Utilities and
	Transportation)

Byron Buzunis, Urban Construction Manager, re Offsite Levy Review (Utilities and Transportation),

advised that Administration, Urban Development Institute (UDI) and Canadian Home Builders' Association – Lethbridge Region have jointly worked together in establishing the offsite levy in order to synchronize the rate with the Capital Improvement Program discussions.

The offsite levy is a mechanism to fund the offsite infrastructure needed for continued growth. Growth for the purpose of the offsite levy is defined as the means by which new serviced lots are created. Mr. Buzunis advised that the Municipal Government Act (MGA) is very specific on projects that are eligible and non-eligible growth costs. Examples of eligible offsite infrastructure are new or expanded water storage, sanitary treatment, storm sewer and roads required for or impacted by the development. Non-eligible costs are fire halls, parks, recreation facilities, police stations and libraries.

Mr. Buzunis addressed offsite levies services extensions. A service extension is the extension of new water,

1

sewer storm or road service so that lots can be serviced. The MGA allows the municipality to charge an offsite levy for expanded infrastructure. Expanded infrastructure is increasing the capacity of a water, sewer, storm or arterial roads. The expanded infrastructure benefits existing and new residents and as a result costs are shared between the offsite levy and other sources of funding.

He provided examples of growths costs that are allowed in the MGA; however, the City does not include them in the offsite levy. Mr. Buzunis provides examples of these projects and additionally examples of shared cost between offsite levies and other sources of funding.

The offsite levy avoids large upfront investments for the developers. Additionally, it apportions the cost of development between developers and provides an immediate refund of costs for providing services to future developments. The City facilitates growth, controls the major infrastructure projects and provides the upfront investment; however, the disadvantage is assuming the debt risk. Mr. Buzunis advised that the offsite levy spreads costs over time, space, beneficiaries and promotes orderly growth.

The five offsite levy principles are:

- 1. New growth areas fund infrastructure required to service the growth area. Those who benefit share proportionally in its costs.
- 2. No levy cost advantage or penalty due to location, time, geographic feature or location of infrastructure.
- 3. Maintain continual cost effective and orderly development.
- 4. Open transparent process to set the rate and for annual reporting.
- 5. Projects of a magnitude and scale that they provide substantial community wide benefit should be funded by the community as a whole. Examples include: Treatment Plants and Future Third Bridge Crossing

Mr. Buzunis provided details on how the offsite levies are calculated, history of the offsite levy since 1991 and showed graphs that displayed various aspects of calculating the offsite levy.

He advised that Administration consults with UDI and the Canadian Homebuilders' Association – Lethbridge Region. They have discussed calculation inputs and administrative issues/editing and agreed upon the growth forecast, projects, costs, timing and the cost allocation framework resulting in an offsite levy rate recommendation.

In the next 50 years, the City will invest in roughly \$414 million growth costs. This does not include over \$280 million in City wide and regional benefit costs. Of the levy costs, 43% are service extensions, 43% are arterial twinning and the remaining 14% are intersection upgrades.

Mr. Buzunis states that the challenge for 2011 - 2013 is the City has a requirement for \$134 million for unfunded projects in the next 50 years. In order to resolve the problem, a funding commitment is required. He stated in their offsite levy discussions, it was identified that growths are shared as follows:

- Services extensions 100%
- Arterial upgrades range from 25% to 75%
- City wide benefit/regional connects 0%

This manages to allocate cost of unfunded projects to offsite levy and the City. Mr. Buzunis showed graphs on the new and old cost allocation, cost of growth to the City over the next 50 years and the combined offsite account 2011- 2013 phased increases. The offsite levy forecast is as follows:

- 2010 \$149,000/ha
- 2011 \$164,000/ha
- 2012 \$179,000/ha
- 2013 \$195,000

The forecast is based on 60ha of developed land per year which is approximately 500 - 600 lots per year.

Discussion of this report resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Offsite levy only for arterial road leading to development not the local roads within the development
- Costs of offsite levy per hectare and approximately how many lots are developed per hectare of land
- Reasons for more extensive dialogue with the developers/stakeholders compared to previous years
- Benton Drive (Métis Trail) scheduled to be developed, reasons why the development of this road is not currently being done and the development of two schools and library that may promote the completion of Benton Drive (Métis Trail)
- Advancing projects prior to their scheduled timeframe i.e. Garry Drive connecting to Jerry Potts and Squamish Blvd
- Legislation in the <u>Municipal Government Act</u> that governs what infrastructure growth costs are eligible/non-eligible for the offsite levy

1.2.2	Urban Development Institute (UDI)/ Canadian Home Builders' Association – Lethbridge Region

Neil Johnson, Chapter Chairman, Urban Development Institute (UDI) advised that there has been excellent cooperation between the industry and Administration in undertaking an in-depth review of the Offsite Levy Bylaw.

The review included the examination of Utility and Transportation projects required for service extensions and system upgrades to improve the level of service to new and existing neighbourhoods. The review examined the following:

- Project scope and timing
- Interest rates and projections
- Inflation rate estimates
- Anticipated growth rates

and resulted in the following recommendations:

- Adjusting the timing of some projects
- Modifying the cost sharing splits for system upgrade projects
- Include previously unfunded projects

The review resulted in an increase to the offsite levy rate for 2011 and beyond; however UDI is supportive of the process and recommendations. Mr. Johnson outlined the following benefits:

- Previously unfunded projects are now included in the offsite levy
- Timing of some projects being adjusted or delayed based on growth projections and industry needs
- Cost sharing of system upgrade projects being adjusted to more accurately represent a measure of benefit and who pays

Mr. Johnson stated that the Management Plan for administering the offsite levy fund still requires work to move forward. UDI would like a formalized process for the contracting of design and construction to be included in the Plan. They also believe that sole sourcing of levy funded projects should be avoided in order to obtain competitive pricing in order to receive the best cost controls.

UDI recognizes that the infrastructure projects must be built to allow the City to continue to grow and prosper and that other sources of funding are a concern. They encourage Administration to continue to review and apply for any infrastructure grants available in order to reduce the pressure on the offsite levy and improve new home affordability in the City. **Bruce Galts, Canadian Home Builders' Association – Lethbridge Region** advised that the review of the Offsite Levy Bylaw was time consuming; however, the discussions resulted in a positive outcome. The areas of opportunity and review included redefining growth, redefining of existing areas and the management process.

Mr. Galts provided a diagram showing how the industry works conceptually. He outlined the economic impact on home purchasers as follows:

Levy Costs	12 homes/hectare	Mortgage Costs
2011	\$13,667	\$28,782
2012	\$14,916	\$31,412
2013	\$16,250	\$34,221

He advised that the Association would like to continue discussions to review the principles of the offsite levy and to ensure that the management process is transparent on how the industry's dollars are spent.

Discussion of this report resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Clarity on the unfunded projects and examples
- Offsite levy costs and the amount of increase from 2010 to 2011 on individual home prices
- UDI and who the institute is comprised of
- New and old cost allocations and where the City's contribution comes from
- Real Estate and Land Department paying offsite levy costs the same as other developers
- Reasons why the cost allocations are not done on a case by case basis (i.e. each arterial road, intersection etc.)
- Giving bonuses for increased densification to reduce offsite levy costs
- · Grants to mitigate offsite levy and the likelihood of reducing the cost
- Economic impact on home purchases during the 2011-2013 period and the amount of the yearly increase
- Request for a greater role in contractors performing offsite work in selection contractors and designers
- Benefit of a formalized process for the contracting of design and construction tasks, UDI/Homebuilder input and at what stage are they requesting involvement contract award, design etc.

<u>S.G. WARD</u>:

THAT we do now adjourn this Finance Committee Meeting.

----- CARRIED

I-8

CHAIRMAN:	Acting Mayor S.G. Ward
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck
ALDERMEN:	J. H. Carlson
	R. Dodic
	B. A. Lacey
	R.K. Parker
	M. A. Simmons
	K. E. Tratch
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	Deputy City Clerk, A. Neufeld
	City Manager, G.H. Sherwin
	City Treasurer, C. Wight
	City Solicitor, D.S. Hudson
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck
ABSENT:	T.H. Wickersham

1.1 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Program Overview

Garth Sherwin, City Manager, stated that City Council is meeting this week as Finance Committee and this is merely a continuation of the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) process which began back in February. The task is to prepare a 10 year CIP for 2011-2020 that will ultimately be forwarded to the City Council meeting on July 5th, 2010. Although it is a 10 year CIP, City Council will only be approving the first three years of projects (i.e. 2011-2013). This is important so the operating costs relative to these projects can be factored into the 2012-2014 Operating Budget.

Over the next four days, Finance Committee will consider capital projects which will shape our community for decades to come. The capital projects contained within this draft 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) are focused primarily on:

- addressing the infrastructure deficit
- sustaining a healthy community, and/or
- enhancing the quality of life of our citizens

Mr. Sherwin stated that the projects which Finance Committee will review this week have flowed from many of the City's planning documents. He advised that information has been collected with a significant amount of work complete which in turn will now serve as the foundation for this 10 year Capital Plan. The level of community input into the plans, and subsequently into the CIP, has been extensive. Several new planning initiatives are also included and if approved will assist in the formation of future CIP's (three and six years from now).

Mr. Sherwin stated that it is only in the past couple of years that per capita investment by Alberta municipalities in parks and recreation equalled what it did back in the 80's. As a result of significant reduction in overall funding towards parks and recreation, this particular capital budget includes a sizeable investment in our parks and recreation infrastructure. Some of these projects include:

- North Regional Park
- extension and enhancement of our Pathway/Bikeway system
- Nicholas Sheran Playground
- Parks Asset Management Twin Ice Arena and ENMAX Centre (approved in the prior CIP)

Since the adoption of our last CIP in 2007, several new grant programs have been launched by senior government to assist municipalities in addressing infrastructure needs. The City of Lethbridge has been successful in securing about \$23 million of funding through these Infrastructure Stimulus programs. These projects include:

- Community Arts Centre, \$6 million (BCF)
- North Scenic Drive, \$6 million (BCF)
- ENMAX Expansion, \$3.7 million (MCFP)
- Community Sports Stadium at the U of L, \$2 million (MCFP)
- Technology Commercialization Centre, \$4.2 million (CAF)
- Sherring Sportsfields -- Picnic Shelter, \$0.5 million (CAF)
- Nicholas Sheran Arena upgrade, \$0.5 million (RInC)

Mr. Sherwin updated Finance Committee on issues regarding provincial funding. He stated the following:

- Funding under the Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP) must be totally expended by Dec 31, 2015
- Premier has indicated that the overall provincial funding available under the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) will remain intact at the \$11.3 billion however, as a result of recent provincial budget decisions; the original timeline will likely be extended a year or two.
- To complete the City's obligations under the Federal Gas Tax Fund (formerly known as New Deal for Cities and Communities), this 10 year Capital Plan along with the Municipal Development Plan/Integrated Community Sustainability Plan, (once approved), will need to be forwarded to the Provincial and Federal governments

Mr. Sherwin stated that Finance Committee is now faced with the challenge of balancing the needs/wants of the community with the dollars available. It is always a difficult task to match the house one needs/wants to build with the dollars the bank is prepared to provide.

1.2 Corey Wight, City Treasurer, re Overview of Capital Improvement Program (CIP), including funding

Corey Wight, City Treasurer provided a power point presentation and stated that the objective is to approve a three year capital program (2011-2013) within a ten year capital plan (2011-2020). He stated that the operating costs that flow from this capital plan will feed the 2012 to 2014 operating budget. The CIP needs to be balanced within the three year window.

Mr. Wight defined the CIP as a plan which matches the capital wants, needs and priorities of the community with a financial strategy. The wants and needs of the community have been determined by a great deal of CIP planning. These plans help to provide the necessary scope to allow a project to be well executed and properly funded.

Mr. Wight provided an overview of the draft CIP book and highlighted the timelines. A review of the financial capacity was provided relative to the Pay-As-You-Go (PAYG) Plan, provincial and federal grants, various transportation, community, environmental utilities and electric utility projects as well as their funding source(s). He stated that the City will maximize the use of all available capital grants. He outlined the various provincial and federal grants and identified eligible projects.

Discussion resulted in the following questions:

- PAYG capacity and after 2013 whether there is commitment to the borrowing capacity after this time
- Red line on the PAYG capacity graph and clarity on how this bar increases and if projects are approved, will this cause the bars to increase or the red line
- Confirmation that the PAYG chart represents what capacity exists at the present time
- Differences in the estimated Debt Service Limit remaining (B6) and the estimated Debt Limit remaining (B-8) and how this relates to borrowing and how limits are defined

- Clarity on the meaning of debt service limit (B-6) in comparison to debt limit (B-8) and Internal Loan Balance (B-9)
- Establishing the interest rate the City borrows at internally, how this rate is established and how it compares to the banking industry and the Alberta Capital Finance Authority
- Gravelled roadway upgrading and community lighting (rehab) funded from the operating budget, previously funded from the capital budget and the likelihood of changing back to the capital budget
- Continuing the monitoring of waste energy facilities, changing technology and what is available to the industry

1.3 Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services, re Review of Transportation Projects

Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services outlined the City of Lethbridge Transportation 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Capital projects. Mr. Hawkins stated that projects are identified by the four following methods:

- Growth in conjunction with the Growth Management Plan determining an estimate of where and when construction of arterial roads is necessary
- Capacity in conjunction with the Transportation Master Plan and other studies, improvements are determined
- Rehabilitation annual rehabilitation necessary to maintain roads, lanes, sidewalks etc. as determined from the Asset Management Program (CAMP)
- System Upgrades identify system upgrades to improve the transportation system through public requests and inspection programs

He advised that the Transportation CIP requests had been broken into the following categories:

- Maintain Safety
- Preserve Infrastructure Rehabilitation
- Upgrade Existing System
- Provide Access for Growth Offsite Levy

Mr. Hawkins reported that funding for Transportation projects is balanced between tax supported (Pay-As-You-Go), provincial/federal grants and offsite levies (restricted to growth projects).

He identified the projects that fall into the various four categories and highlighted various projects within each of them.

Discussion resulted in the following questions:

- Status of 26 Avenue North and access into the Northside transit terminal
- Squamish Blvd and if a CIP initiative will improve this intersection
- Reasons for the variation in the funding for Bridge Rehab (C-11) between 2011 2020

1.4 Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services, re Review of Utility Funded Projects

Waste and Recycling Services

Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services outlined the Waste and Recycling Services 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Capital projects. He stated that projects are identified by the following three methods:

- Regulations
 - Planning Documents
 - City Council Strategic Initiatives
 - Master Plans (e.g. Waste Prevention and Diversion)
 - o Business Plans
- Lifecycle Management of Assets

The projects are prioritized in the following categories:

- Health and safety
- Regulatory compliance
- Condition assessment
- Maintaining a level of service
- Financial viability

Mr. Hawkins reported that the major Capital Improvement Plan in the next three years for Waste & Recycling Services are:

- Landfill Gas Management (Waste & Recycling Centre)
- Environmental Management at the Closed Landfills
- Disposal Cell Construction (Waste & Recycling Centre)

Discussion resulted in the following questions:

- Listing of how projects were prioritized and if similar criteria was used for all the utility funded projects
- Engineering studies data and the accuracy of the information (E-9)
- Pending legislation

Water and Wastewater

Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services outlined the Water and Wastewater 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Capital projects. He stated that projects are identified by the following four methods:

- Regulations
 - Upgrades to meet regulatory requirements
- System growth
 - New facilities and network extensions (offsite funded)
- Performance
 - Failure to meet levels of service
 - Analysis predicting performance issues
- Asset lifecycle replacement
 - Elevated maintenance costs
 - o End of useful life

The projects are prioritized in the following categories:

- Regulations
- Risk assessment
- Cost-benefit analysis
- Budget and funding alternatives

He identified the projects that fall into the various four categories and highlighted various projects within each of them.

Discussion resulted in the following questions:

- Utilizing grant funding for water and wastewater projects
- Successfulness of the Protective Plumbing Program, program only funded until 2012 and if there should be ongoing funding
- Consistency of figures between E-10 and E-1

Electric Utility

Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services outlined the Electric Utility 2011 – 2020 Capital Improvement Plan Capital projects.

He stated that projects are identified by the following two methods:

- Transmission
 - Large projects external (AESO/AUC)
 - Smaller projects
- Distribution
 - o Regulatory compliance
 - Condition assessment
 - o Planning and design (includes load growth and safety)
 - Financial (cost/benefit, risk/liability analyses)
 - Support process improvement/change

He stated that projects are prioritized by the following methods in order of importance:

- Safety
- Regulatory compliance
- Maintenance of service level
- Financial
- Improvement of service level

He advised that the Electric Capital Plan is primarily program bases and is organized into the following three areas:

- Transmission as defined by <u>Electric Utility Act</u> (EUA), 138,000 volts from generator to City substation
- Distribution as defined by EUA, 25,000 volts and below from City substation to homes
- Support Programs contribute to both Transmission and Distribution and allocated to either Transmission or Distribution

He identified the projects that fall into the various four categories and highlighted various projects within each of them.

Discussion resulted in the following questions:

- Metering system and research stating that there will be minimal savings with the program and if the City's findings are consistent with this research
- Primary line extension program and the costs regardless if the City is in the transmission/distribution business or not (F-16)
- Ensuring the electric distribution system is maintained
- External borrowing for the first three years for the Infrastructure Replacement Program (F-17)
- Requesting funding for the secondary metering when the program may not be implemented
- Borrowing internally versus externally and how different models of funding are established

Meeting Adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on Tuesday, May 11, 2010, 9:05 a.m. to 11:45 a.m. and 12:45 p.m. to 2:45 p.m. in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Acting Mayor S.G. Ward
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck (left meeting at 1:30 p.m.)
ALDERMEN:	J.H. Carlson
	R. Dodic
	B. A. Lacey
	R.K. Parker (arrived at 1:16 p.m. for afternoon session)
	M.A. Simmons
	K.E. Tratch
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	City Manager, G. Sherwin
	City Treasurer, C. Wight
	City Solicitor, D.S. Hudson
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck
Absent:	T.H. Wickersham

1.1. Barry Beck, Director of Community Services and Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services, re Review of Community Projects 2011 – 2020/Community Capital Projects

Bary Beck, Director of Community Services and Doug Hawkins, Director of Infrastructure Services, outlined the City of Lethbridge Community Capital Projects. Mr. Beck explained that Parks had moved to the Infrastructure family last year in and therefore Doug Hawkins would be outlining those projects. Mr. Beck reviewed the various aspects of the projects which included the prioritization process, the implementation strategy and the planning of the projects.

Previously Approved Project that Expand across CIP timelines.

Mr. Beck advised that the following projects had previously been approved and expands across CIP timelines.

- D-5 Community Arts Centre construction to begin 2011
- D-6 Nicholas Sheran Leisure Centre Expansion and Renovation projected opening March 2011
- D-7 Public Operations Building to be completed 2011/2012
- D-10 ENMAX Centre projected Opening September 2012

New Sustaining projects

Mr. Beck outlined:

- D-11 Cemetery Master Plan and New Site in North Lethbridge approximately 90 acres, first phase to meet community's cemetery requirements for approximately 20 years
- D-18 Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovations design and construction of a 370 sq.
 M. addition and renovation to the existing building

Mr. Hawkins outlined:

- D-20 Mountain Bike Park location and development permit approved in Botterill Bottom Park
- D-21 Parks Asset Management includes life cycle managements for example the needed replacements drainage issues, irrigation requirements and amenity upgrades

Previously Approved Projects to Enhance and Expand City Services

Mr. Hawkins spoke to item D-26 (previously D-22) North Regional Park – just completing the Master Plan for this project. Currently at the concept design stage

Mr. Beck spoke to the following items:

- D-27 (previously D-23) Transit Smart Card which has not been approved by City Council yet, detailed presentation at afternoon meeting
- D-28 (previously D-24) Art Acquisition Program which is funded by an allocation of 1% of community services capital facilities projects
- D-29 (previously D-25) Technology Commercialization Centre projected opening is March 2011
- Twin Ice Centre (D-30) (previously D-26) construction to begin in 2011

Unfunded Growth Projects

Mr. Beck and Mr. Hawkins explained that the following are unfunded Growth Projects and would need a motion to approve to move them forward:

Mr. Hawkins spoke to Unfunded Growth Projects which included:

- D-22 (previously D-27) West Side Depot Site phase 1 to locate and construct a snow design site and fuel depot is a pressing need
- D-23 (previously D-28)South Side Parks Depot in recognition of Lethbridge's growth this project is needed to improve operation response time and efficiencies and includes equipment and outdoor storage and staff facilities
- (previously D-29) Pedestrian River Crossing rough estimate of the cost would be 53.05 M
- D-33 (previously D-39) Pathways System Expansion highest priority pathway connections
- D-41 to 45 Storm Sewer Upgrades for Glacier Avenue, Great Lakes Place South, Lakemount Blvd. S., 2nd Avenue North and City wide upgrades required due to overland and flooding problems during heavy rainstorms.

Bary Beck spoke to Unfunded Growth projects which included:

- D-24 (previously D-30) Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion to address current space shortages and anticipated future space needs
- D-25 (previously D-32)Transit Facility Expansion spatial deficiencies need to be addressed
- D-31 (previously D-37) Bill Kergan Centre Renovation addition of 375 sq. feet and renovation of the existing building as well as reconfiguring of the parking lot
- D-32 (previously D-38) Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrades and Renovation replacement of existing underground infrastructure and replacement of roof, electrical and HVAC system
- D-34 (previously D-40) Leisure Centre Plan

Also during the discussion of the projects Mr. Beck spoke to the following new Master Plans:

- D-12 Aquatics Master Plan
- D-13 Arena Master Plan
- D-14 Curling Master Plan
- D-15 Fire Master Plan
- D-16 Office Space Master Plan
- D-17 Recreation and Culture Master Plan

Discussion of this report resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Clarification on the lack of life cycling programming for parks and why the parks are in such poor condition
- Clarification of the assessments completed to determine the needs of every single park in the community
- Timelines for moving forward with the Twin Ice Centre and estimated completion date
- Potential success of the proposed sewer upgrades listed in D-41 to D-45 in terms of preventing flooding in future
- Justification of the priorization of the Storm Sewer Upgrades e.g. Glacier Avenue South is first on the list because it offers the greatest protection for the greatest amount of homes
- Clarifications of sheets D-1, D-2 and D-3 why some projects are included in the budget and other are unfunded
- Effect of removing funded projects from the CIP

1.2 Corey Wight, City Treasurer, re Discussion on Project Funding

Corey Wight outlined the funding available for projects:

Transportation Projects which includes roadways, bridges, pathways and sidewalks – can be funded through a Basic Capital Grant, Pay-as-You-Go, MSI/AMIP Grant, other Grants and Offsite Levies

Community Projects which includes sport/recreational/culture facilities; other facilities such as Public Operations, Transit, Community partnerships and Stormwater – can be funded from Pay-as-You-Go, MSI/AMIP Grant, other Grants and Internal Loans

Environmental Utilities such as water, wastewater and solid waste can be funded from MSI/AMIP Grant, external loan and offsite levies.

Electric Utilities such as transmission, distribution can be funded from MSI/AMIP Grant, External Loan and MRSR.

With the aid of graphs he outlined capacity in the following funding models including MSI, AMIP and NDCC and MCP Grants as well as Pay-As-You-Go and Borrowing capacity.

Discussion of the funding options resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Clarification of the availability of funds
- Clarification of where the baseline is
- Potential borrowing available and the effect on the operation budget and possible increase on taxation

Break: 11:45 a.m. to 12:45 p.m.

1.3 John King and Wade Coombs, Transit re Smart Card Technology/New Fare Collection and Data Analysis System

Mr. Coombs outlined the objectives, the current system used, alternative strategies and conclusions and recommendations for moving forward with a new fare collection and Data Analysis system.

He advised that taking the bus would be more convenient because of the ability to reload smart cards, have fare boxes that count coins and technology that would collect data on ridership and would assist Administration to plan more effectively. The cost to implement is approximately \$1.7 million which has been approved under the Federal Gas Tax Fund. This program could increase operating costs by approximately \$110,000 in 2012.

Mr. Coombs explained:

- the current system fare boxes explaining that they are over 40 years and spoke to the limited capabilities of the system
- alternative strategies and the advantages and disadvantages of alternative systems
- analysis of the estimated one time capital costs and ongoing annual operating costs

Discussion of the presentation resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Clarification on the annual operating costs and if they included additional staffing
- New cash boxes accepting bills or only coins
- Universality of smart card technology and the ability for other Business Units to use the same technology
- Occasional users having the ability to use cash and the process for refilling the smart card
- Clarification of the process of using a smart card from the perspective of the customer

- Convenience of locations for purchase and refilling of smart cards
- Process and security for customers who lose their smart card
- GPS potential and the extra costs involved
- GPS system providing information to customers as to when the next bus would be arriving

1.4 Bary Beck, Director of Community Services and Chief Tom McKenzie, Lethbridge Regional Police Service, re Response to City Council Inquiries regarding the Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion

Mr. Beck, Director of Community Services and Police Chief McKenzie outlined the various options available in order to move forward with the expansion:

- Option 1 would be a full build including addition, parking structure and internal renovations in 2014 2015 –cost of 26.7 Million
- Option 2 would hold off construction and stretch the project out and require relocation of Police Administration with the building being completed in stages cost of 29.5 Million
- Option 3 would stretch the project out further and require relocation of Police Administration, Renovations and Building Addition in 2 phases, and an additional phase for the parking structure – cost of 40 Million

Discussion of the options resulted in questions with respect to the following:

- Potential of going forward with Option 1 and the availability of accommodating other municipal services for a period of time until the building has reached capacity
- Why Options 2 and 3 require relocation of the Police Administration
- Cost of the relocation to the Old Court House
- Use of Regional Teams in the Policing process and space availability
- Clarification on capital funding available, debt payment on the current structure and the use of the MSI Grant
- Advantages of Option 1 and 2
- Security requirements if the building is occupied by other Municipal Departments
- Input on operating costs
- Options for a less expensive way to build

Discussion on Directional Resolutions included:

1. Downtown Redevelopment Area Plan/Parkade/Badlands funding

- Clarification on the Downtown Parkade and the resolution approved in 2007 at the CIP discussions and the status of the current Downtown Redevelopment Area Plan
- Urgency of the Heart of Our City Committee to move forward with the Downtown Redevelopment Area Plan and the need for action rather than more public consultation
- Clarification for the need for a business plan before moving ahead with the Parkade
- Availability of Badlands funding and its use in the planning process

2. Cemetery

• City Manager clarified the status of City Council request to look at the impact of full costs recovery and the resulting changes in operations which would be needed

Meeting adjourned at 2:45 p.m.

MINUTES of a Finance Committee Meeting held on **Wednesday, May 12, 2010** at 1:20 P.M. in Council Chambers with the following in attendance:

CHAIRMAN:	Deputy Mayor T.H. Wickersham
MAYOR:	R. D. Tarleck
ALDERMEN:	J.H. Carlson
	R. Dodic
	B. A. Lacey
	R.K. Parker
	M.A. Simmons
	K.E. Tratch
	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk, D. Nemeth
	City Manager, G. Sherwin
	City Treasurer, C. Wight
	City Solicitor, D.S. Hudson
	Director of City Manager's Office, K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services, D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services, B. Beck

- -

_

Introductory Remarks 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Program

Deputy Mayor Wickersham stated that the Finance Committee was meeting today to debate and make decisions regarding the 2011–2020 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). Projects included on Pages C-2, D-2, E-2 and F-2 require a resolution to accelerate, delay or amend. If that does not happen, these projects would be included in the 2011–2020 CIP as they appear in the draft document.

Projects on Page D-3 will require a positive resolution to be included in the final 2011–2020 CIP. If no resolution is passed to approve these projects, they will not be included.

The process for the adoption of the CIP was discussed. Open Houses will be held in the City Hall Foyer on Tuesday, May 18, 2010 and Thursday, May 20, 2010 from 5:00 – 8:00 p.m. In addition, story boards on each of the projects will be on display in the City Hall Foyer from May 17 to May 21, 2010 and June 7 to June 11, 2010.

The draft CIP document will be considered at June 14, 2010 Finance Meeting and the CIP will be scheduled for approval at the July 5, 2010 City Council Meeting.

The following resolutions were presented and debated:

D-5 Community Arts Centre, University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the previously approved Community Arts Centre (D-5) is expected to enter the construction phase in 2011 on the former IGA site, 721 - 3 Avenue South

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music has been a valued contributor to the cultural life of Lethbridge area since its establishment in 1968

AND WHEREAS it is a community priority that Downtown Lethbridge be enhanced and revitalized by encouraging people to live, work, study and recreate Downtown

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music provides quality music instruction to students of all ages and currently serves over 600 students per semester in both private and ensemble classes using 44 instructional staff

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music programs and services align with the goals of the Community Arts Centre

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Community Arts Centre (D-5) be expanded to address and include the requirements of the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT a maximum upset price of \$4 million be assigned to the expanded requirements with funding from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant.

PRIOR TO A VOTE BEING CALLED ON THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION, THE FOLLOWING TABLING RESOLUTION WAS PRESENTWED:

R. DODIC:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT Resolution D-5 be tabled until the end of the meeting in order to determine what the impact of this decision would have on the Capital Improvement Program Budget for 2011 – 2020 as this project has not gone through the usual process of having had presentations and supporting documentation at any Finance Committee Meetings.

For:

R.D. Tarleck J.H. Carlson R. Dodic M.A. Simmons T.H. Wickersham Opposed: B.A. Lacey

R. K. Parker K.E. Tratch S.G. Ward

-----CARRIED

•••••••••••••••

D-22 (previously D-27) West Side Operations Depot

R. DODIC:

WHEREAS the City continues to grow in all directions

AND WHEREAS the largest share of the growth is occurring on the West Side

AND WHEREAS the City's operations base is currently located centrally on the North Side of the City

AND WHEREAS operational efficiencies and improved response times can be achieved in the provision of services such as snow and ice control, snow storage, sand and salt storage, material and equipment storage, and equipment fueling with the siting of a West Side operations depot

AND WHEREAS a West Side snow dump will decrease snow removal operating costs by reducing haul distances; improving snow removal efficiency and increasing public safety

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the West Side Operations Depot project (D-22) (previously D-27) in the amount of \$4.965 million with funding of \$2.4 million from the public operations facility project projected surplus, and \$2.565 million from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant, be approved

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT Phase 3 of the project be accelerated from 2017 to 2016.

-----CARRIED

••••••••••••••••

K.E. TRATCH:

WHEREAS the Parks Operation deploys from the centrally located Public Operations site on the North Side

AND WHEREAS the City continues to grow in the South

AND WHEREAS parks maintenance equipment is typically slow moving and not well suited to lengthy trips along City streets as it poses a safety risk to our operators, increases travel times and reduces efficient service delivery

AND WHEREAS a South Side Parks Depot strategically located to accommodate equipment and material storage will improve operating efficiencies and productivity

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the South Side Parks Depot project (D-23) (previously D-28), in the amount of \$1.39 million with funding from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) Grant, be approved.

-----CARRIED

.....

D-29 Pedestrian and Bicycle River Crossing

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the City continues to grow in all directions

AND WHEREAS in 2009 City Council protected the Chinook Trail as a future river crossing between the east and west parts of the City

AND WHEREAS it is desirable to accommodate and improve pedestrian and bicycle movements between the east and west

AND WHEREAS a dedicated pedestrian and cyclist pathway along the Chinook Trail corridor would improve pedestrian and bicycle access between the east and west parts of the City

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Pedestrian and Bicycle River Crossing project (D-29), in the amount of \$550,000 for the preliminary design with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

For J.H. Carlson S.G. Ward Opposed: R R B R M

R.D. Tarleck R. Dodic B.A. Lacey R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons K.E. Tratch T.H. Wickersham

-----DEFEATED

D-24 (previously D-30) Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion

R. DODIC:

WHEREAS the provision of Police Services is of crucial importance to citizens

AND WHEREAS the present location of the Lethbridge Regional Police Services which moved into their present location in 1996 has now exceeded its design occupancy

AND WHEREAS the population continues to increase necessitating an expansion of existing building to address critical space shortages and to accommodate future growth

AND WHEREAS the Lethbridge Regional Police Service utilizes a central deployment model where all police services are dispatched from a single site

AND WHEREAS deviating from this model would be impractical and unworkable from a policing perspective and result in a breakdown of effective communication between units as well as other inefficiencies

AND WHEREAS while alternative accommodation strategies were considered, the most feasible and economical solution is an expansion of the existing building

AND WHEREAS the proposed expansion will extend the service life of the headquarters building for approximately 25 years

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters Expansion Project, Option 1 (D-24) (previously D-30) be approved with funding of \$15.850 million from internal borrowing and \$10.591 million from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT \$0.264 million be added to the Art Acquisition Program with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

-----CARRIED

••••••••••••••••

D-25 (previously D-32) Transit Facility Expansion

B.A. LACEY:

WHEREAS the Transit Facility was completed in 1984 to accommodate the maintenance and storage of vehicles and the administrative functions for transit services

AND WHEREAS service growth in public transit, school bussing and the addition of para-transit services has resulted in a fleet size expansion from 52 vehicles to 103 vehicles

AND WHEREAS community growth and adherence to service standard guidelines is predicted to create a need for 141 vehicles by 2025

AND WHEREAS the recently completed Transit Facility Functional Audit recommends the addition of 7,400 square meters of storage and vehicle service lines

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Transit Facility Expansion (D–25) (previously D-32), be approved

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the funding of \$16.382 million be allocated from the Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP) grant

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT \$0.164 million be added to the Art Acquisition Program with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

-----CARRIED

••••••

D-31 (previously D-37) Bill Kergan Centre Rehabilitation and Upgrade, Southern Alberta Ethnic Association (207 – 13 Street North)

M.A. SIMMONS:

WHEREAS the community support for a new Multicultural Heritage Centre was identified in the Recreation and Cultural Master Plan (2007)

AND WHEREAS a facility condition audit of the Bill Kergan Centre indicates that rehabilitation of most major building systems is required

AND WHEREAS a functional spatial needs assessment has been completed by the Southern Alberta Ethnic Association (SAEA) and that significant renovations are required to ensure that the centre can facilitate the SAEA programs and services

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Bill Kergan Centre Rehabilitation and Upgrade project (D-31) (previously D-37) be approved and scheduled as follows:

2012 – 2013	Planning and design
2014	Construction

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the project costs be shared with the Southern Alberta Ethnic Association contributing \$1.5 million towards the project and the City funding \$4.052 million from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant

.....

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT \$56,000 be added to the Art Acquisition Program (D-28) with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

-----CARRIED

D-32 (previously D-38) Lethbridge and District Exhibition Park Infrastructure Upgrades and Replacement

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the Lethbridge and District Exhibition Park plays an important role in providing opportunities for trade space, conference and banquet facilities, agriculture and trade displays, community events and entertainment

AND WHEREAS a 15 year lease has been negotiated for use of the existing Lethbridge and District Exhibition Park grounds

AND WHEREAS the City recognizes there is a need to renew and rehabilitate the existing infrastructure

AND WHEREAS the Exhibition Park Board has requested to partner with the City of Lethbridge in the renewal and rehabilitation of the existing site by matching dollars contributed by the City on underground infrastructure with equal dollars spent by the Exhibition Park Board on building renewals and rehabilitation

AND WHEREAS these improvements are essential if the Lethbridge and District Exhibition Park is to remain viable and capable of offering a quality service to the people of Lethbridge and District

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Lethbridge and District Exhibition Park Upgrades and Replacement (D-32) (previously D-38), be approved

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the project costs be funded through a 50:50 cost sharing with the City's contribution of \$4.673 million funded from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT, if required by the Exhibition Park Board, a no interest loan of up to \$4.673 million for a term of 15 years, secured by land owned by the Exhibition Park Board, will be undertaken pursuant to the requirements of the <u>Municipal Government Act</u>

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Joint Committee of three City Council members and members of the Exhibition Park Board continue to oversee the project.

-----CARRIED

D-33 (previously D-39) Pathway System Expansion

<u>R.K. PARKER:</u>

WHEREAS the City completed a Bikeways and Pathways Master Plan in 2007

AND WHEREAS the Master Plan identified some key pathway system improvements

AND WHEREAS pathway development and expansion is in alignment with the City's future vision as defined by its Municipal Development Plan

AND WHEREAS pathways provide connectivity, multiple leisure opportunities, and the provision for alternative transportation

AND WHEREAS recent public surveys in Lethbridge identified that pathways are the most requested and desired recreational facility

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Pathway System Expansion project (D-33) (previously D-39) in the amount of \$4.310 million with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT \$43,000 be added to the Art Acquisition Program with funding from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

PRIOR TO A VOTE BEING CALLED ON THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION, THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT AS AN ADDITION WAS PRESENTED:

<u>S.G. WARD:</u>

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the preliminary design for a dedicated pedestrian and cyclist corridor across the Old Man River at the Chinook Crossing site be included among the pathway expansion projects at a cost of \$150,000 with funding from the Community Pay-As-You-Go.

For: J.H. Carlson S.G. Ward Opposed:

R.D. Tarleck R. Dodic B.A. Lacey R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons K.E. Tratch T.H. Wickersham

-----DEFEATED

A VOTE WAS THEN CALLED ON THE ORIGINAL RESOLUTION:

-----CARRIED

.....

R.K. PARKER:

WHEREAS a new Multi-Purpose Leisure Centre was identified as a priority by 57% of respondents in the 2007 Community Survey on Recreation and Cultural facilities

AND WHEREAS a concept plan including facility components, configuration, site, capital costing and business operating model would be required before investment could be considered

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Multi-Purpose Leisure Centre Plan (D-34) (previously D-40), with funding of \$150,000 from Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

Opposed: B.A. Lacey

-----CARRIED

D41 to D45 Storm Sewer Upgrades – Glacier Avenue South, Great Lakes Place South, Lakemount Boulevard South, 2nd Avenue North, Citywide

K.E. TRATCH:

WHEREAS the City experienced a severe wet weather event on July 1, 2008 which caused severe overland flooding

AND WHEREAS significant property damage occurred at 16 locations throughout the City as a result of the storm

AND WHEREAS it is desirable to make storm system improvements to reduce the risk of overland flooding in the future

AND WHEREAS the traditional engineered solutions are expensive and achieve only marginal improvements in flood protection

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Administration be directed to work with the affected property owners in order to explore creative, non-traditional solutions to assist individual residents to discover and implement unique flood protection solutions

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT a budget of \$1 million funded from the Community Pay-As-You-Go, be approved.

For:

K.E. Tratch S.G. Ward Opposed: R.D. Tarleck J.H. Carlson R. Dodic B.A. Lacey R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons T.H. Wickersham

-----DEFEATED

•••••

Directional 1 Designation of Land for Future West Lethbridge Community Facility Development

<u>R.K. PARKER:</u>

WHEREAS the Crossings subdivision has been designed to serve as a community service core for West Lethbridge

AND WHEREAS there is a 30 acre parcel identified as a "swing site" in the Crossings Outline Plan

AND WHEREAS co-location of community facilities provides potential for efficient use of servicing and amenities and improved customer service

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a parcel of 15-20 acres be included in the recently assigned site for the Twinned Ice Centre located west of the intersection of Britannia Blvd and Mauretania Road be designated for future community facility development

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVEDTHAT a Master Plan for the site be prepared to guide future use of the site.

Opposed: B.A. Lacey

-----CARRIED

Directional 2 Beautification of 13th Street North between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North

M.A. SIMMONS:

WHEREAS 13 Street North between 2 Avenue and 9 Avenue North is in need of aesthetic upgrading

AND WHEREAS 13 Street North is a core commercial sector

AND WHEREAS the commercial sector is surrounded by residential neighbourhoods

AND WHEREAS there is need to rehabilitate existing planters and benches in the area

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Administration be directed to prepare an aesthetic upgrading plan, including cost of sustaining the upgrading, for consideration in the 2012 – 2014 Operating Budget discussions.

PRIOR TO A VOTE BEING CALLED ON THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION, THE FOLLOWING AMENDMENT WAS PRESENTED:

Mayor Tarleck assumed the Chair

T.H. WICKERSHAM:

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Businesses between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North on 13 Street North be consulted to determine if they are prepared to share in the cost of the upgrading

For:

R.D. Tarleck R. Dodic B.A. Lacey K.E. Tratch T.H. Wickersham Opposed:

J.H. Carlson R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons S.G. Ward

-----CARRIED

Deputy Mayor Wickersham assumed the Chair

A VOTE WAS THEN CALLED ON THE RESOLUTION AS AMENDED:

WHEREAS 13 Street North between 2 Avenue and 9 Avenue North is in need of aesthetic upgrading

AND WHEREAS 13 Street North is a core commercial sector

AND WHEREAS the commercial sector is surrounded by residential neighbourhoods

AND WHEREAS there is need to rehabilitate existing planters and benches in the area

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Administration be directed to prepare an aesthetic upgrading plan, including cost of sustaining the upgrading, for consideration in the 2012 – 2014 Operating Budget discussions.

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Businesses between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North on 13 Street North be consulted to determine if they are prepared to share in the cost of the upgrading

For: R.D. Tarleck R. Dodic B.A. Lacey R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons K.E. Tratch T.H. Wickersham Opposed: J.H. Carlson S.G. Ward

-----CARRIED

Directional 3 Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters

K.E. TRATCH:

WHEREAS the proposed expansion of the Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters (D-24) (previously D-30) is anticipated to meet the spatial requirements of the Department for approximately 25 years

.....

AND WHEREAS interim use of the excess capacity is desirable

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Administration be directed to explore options for compatible uses of the excess capacity until it is required by the Lethbridge Regional Police Service

-----CARRIED

••••••

D-5 Community Arts Centre, University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

S.G. WARD:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT D-5, Community Arts Centre, University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music be lifted from the Table.

-----CARRIED

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the previously approved Community Arts Centre (D-5) is expected to enter the construction phase in 2011 on the former IGA site, 721 - 3 Avenue South

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music has been a valued contributor to the cultural life of Lethbridge area since its establishment in 1968

AND WHEREAS it is a community priority that Downtown Lethbridge be enhanced and revitalized by encouraging people to live, work, study and recreate Downtown

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music provides quality music instruction to students of all ages and currently serves over 600 students per semester in both private and ensemble classes using 44 instructional staff

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music programs and services align with the goals of the Community Arts Centre

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Community Arts Centre (D-5) be expanded to address and include the requirements of the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT a maximum upset price of \$4 million be assigned to the expanded requirements with funding from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant.

PRIOR TO A VOTE BEING CALLED ON THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION, THE FOLLOWING FRIENDLY AMENDMENTS WERE ACCEPTED BY ALDERMAN WARD:

R.D. TARLECK:

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT this approval be conditional upon the completion of a lease agreement that, at a minimum, the operating and life cycle costs of the Conservatory of Music be the full responsibility of the University of Lethbridge

R. DODIC:

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT ongoing efforts be made to seek capital contributions from the University of Lethbridge

PRIOR TO A VOTE BEING CALLED, THE DEPUTY MAYOR RULED THAT THE RESOLUTION WOULD BE VOTED ON IN TWO PARTS WITH PART 2 BEING VOTED ON FIRST

Alderman Dodic challenged the ruling of the Chair because if Part 1 does not succeed then Part 2 is irrelevant. Mayor Tarleck advised that he would accede to the wishes of the Chair. Deputy Mayor Wickersham acknowledged the challenge and then proceeded with his ruling of dealing with Part 2 first.

Part 2

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT this approval be conditional upon the completion of a lease agreement that, at a minimum, the operating and life cycle costs of the Conservatory of Music be the full responsibility of the University of Lethbridge

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT ongoing efforts be made to seek capital contributions from the University of Lethbridge

For:

R.D. Tarleck J.H. Carlson B.A. Lacey K.E. Tratch S.G. Ward T.H. Wickersham

Opposed: R. Dodic

R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons

-----CARRIED
Part 1

WHEREAS the previously approved Community Arts Centre (D-5) is expected to enter the construction phase in 2011 on the former IGA site, 721 - 3 Avenue South

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music has been a valued contributor to the cultural life of Lethbridge area since its establishment in 1968

AND WHEREAS it is a community priority that Downtown Lethbridge be enhanced and revitalized by encouraging people to live, work, study and recreate Downtown

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music provides quality music instruction to students of all ages and currently serves over 600 students per semester in both private and ensemble classes using 44 instructional staff

AND WHEREAS the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music programs and services align with the goals of the Community Arts Centre

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Community Arts Centre (D-5) be expanded to address and include the requirements of the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT a maximum upset price of \$4 million be assigned to the expanded requirements with funding from the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) grant.

For:

R.D. Tarleck J.H. Carlson B.A. Lacey K.E. Tratch S.G. Ward T.H. Wickersham Opposed: R. Dodic

R.K. Parker M.A. Simmons

-----CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 5:50 p.m.

MINUTES of a **Finance Committee Meeting** held on **Monday**, **June 14**, **2010** in COUNCIL CHAMBERS at 1:00 PM with the following attendance:

PRESENT:	Acting Mayor	T.H. Wickersham
	Mayor	R.D. Tarleck
	Alderman	J.H. Carlson
	Alderman	R. Dodic
	Alderman	B.A. Lacey
	Alderman	R.K. Parker
	Alderman	M.A. Simmons
	Alderman	K.E. Tratch
	Alderman	S.G. Ward
OTHERS:	City Clerk	D. Nemeth
	City Manager	G. Sherwin
	City Treasurer	C. Wight
	Director of City Manager's Office	K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services	D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services	B. Beck
	Director of Planning and Development	J. Greene

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised that this meeting was to review the draft 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Budget (CIP) document and make amendments to the document, if necessary. He outlined the process that had been followed to date and the response from the Community consultation process. The approval of this CIP will allow for approximately \$298M projects to proceed.

PRESENTATIONS:

1.1. 2011 - 2020 Capital Budget Discussion

Corey Wight, spoke to the funding model and advised where changes were made in accessing grant funding.

Alderman Lacey advised that after second thoughts, she has decided to withdraw her resolution on the Performing Arts Centre Design and Construction:

Performing Arts Centre Design and Construction

WHEREAS the completion of the Lethbridge Performing Arts Study has provided a clear vision for a multipurpose theatre suitable for meeting the needs of current and future requirements of audiences, performers and touring programs' promoters

AND WHEREAS City Council has directed the Performing Arts Centre Steering Committee to complete a review of operating and capital funding options for consideration in the 2012 – 2014 Operating Budget deliberations

AND WHEREAS a committed municipal investment for capital funding has the potential to leverage matching funds from other levels of government and the private sector

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT \$25,000,000 of the Municipal Sustainability Initiative (MSI) funding be earmarked in 2017 for the Performing Arts Centre project as an indication of City Council's support and to stimulate fund raising from other levels of government and the private sector

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT this funding be contingent upon additional funding being available from other sources.

-----WITHDRAWN

Alderman Dodic asked how citizens can be ensured that the full operating costs of the University of Lethbridge Music Conservatory in the Community Arts Centre are included in a lease agreement and he questioned if this could be done by July 5, 2010. Kathy Hopkins, Director of City Manager's Office, advised that a memo of understanding will be developed at the concept stage which should be completed in two months time.

The following resolutions were presented and debated:

C-15 Railway Rehab Program

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the Alberta Transportation Rail Safety Branch has agreed to accelerate the high priority railway crossing improvements from a five year program to a two year program (2011 – 2012)

AND WHEREAS this program targets major rehabilitation of railway spur lines and roadway crossings throughout the City's Industrial Park

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Railway Rehab Program (C-15) as revised, be approved

-----CARRIED

D 21 PARKS ASSET MANAGEMENT PROJECT and

D 26 (previously D-22) - NORTH REGIONAL PARK PROJECT

J.H. CARLSON

BE IT RESOLVED THAT funding of \$1,134,000 (in 2011/2012) on the Parks Asset Management project (D – 21) be amended from MSI grant to PAYG-Community

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT funding of \$2,200,000 (in 2014) on the North Regional Park Project (D-26) (previously D-22) be amended from PAYG-Community to MSI

-----CARRIED

••••••

D-23 – SOUTH SIDE PARKS DEPOT PROJECT

K.E. TRATCH:

BE IT RESOLVED THAT the South Side Parks Depot Project (D–23) (previously D-28) be deferred by one year, and be approved and scheduled as follows:

2012 – 2013Planning and Design2014Construction

-----CARRIED

•••••

E-14 - WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANT HEADWORKS FACILITY and D-32 (previously D-38)- EXHIBITION INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE PROJECT

S.G. WARD:

WHEREAS the 2008 -2017 CIP included projects for the Waste Water Treatment Plant Headworks Facility (E – 8 2008-2017 CIP) and Clarifier Upgrades (E–11 2008-2017 CIP) for a total of \$10,100,000 for both projects

AND WHEREAS these two projects have been combined in the 2011-2020 CIP (E-14) and the current cost estimates total \$8,600,000

AND WHEREAS \$1,100,000 of Alberta Municipal Infrastructure Program (AMIP) grant funding was applied to this project by the Finance Committee Resolution on November 21, 2008

AND WHEREAS the reduced estimated cost of the project makes it no longer necessary to apply AMIP grant funding to this project

THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the \$1,100,000 of AMIP grant funding identified on project E–14 (2011-2020 CIP) be changed to external borrowing

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT funding of \$1,100,000 on the Exhibition Infrastructure Upgrade & Replacement project (D–32) (previously D-38) be amended from MSI grant to AMIP grant funding in 2011.

-----CARRIED

••••••

R.D. TARLECK:

WHEREAS Finance Committee has reviewed and made amendments to the ten-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for 2011-2020, May 10 through to June 14, 2010

AND WHEREAS there is an objective to approve a three (3) year capital plan (2011/12/13) within the ten-year planning context in order that the corresponding operating costs from the approved projects can be included in the 2012-2014 operating budget

THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2011 to 2020 CIP, as amended, and the foregoing resolutions be approved and referred to City Council for consideration on Monday, July 5, 2010

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2011 to 2013 CIP projects (as amended) be approved:

- 1. Transportation Projects outlined on Page C-1
- 2. Community Projects outlined on Page D-1
- 3. Waste & Recycling Services, Wastewater, Water, and Combined Deep Utility Projects outlined on Page E-1
- 4. Electric Projects outlined on Page F-1

-----CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 2:11 PM.

MINUTES of the Regular Meeting of the City Council held on Monday, July 5, 2010

PRESENT:	Mayor	R.D. Tarleck
	Alderman	J.H. Carlson
	Alderman	R. Dodic
	Alderman	B.A. Lacey
	Alderman	R.K. Parker
	Alderman	M.A. Simmons
	Alderman	K.E. Tratch
	Alderman	S.G. Ward
	Alderman	T.H. Wickersham
OTHERS:	Deputy City Clerk	A. Neufeld
	Legislative Coordinator	B. Burke
	City Manager	G. Sherwin
	City Treasurer	C. Wight
	Director of City Manager's Office	K. Hopkins
	Director of Infrastructure Services	D. Hawkins
	Director of Community Services	B. Beck
	Director of Planning and	J. Greene
	Development	

5.1 Directional Resolution regarding the University of Lethbridge Conservatory of Music component of the Community Arts Centre (C.I.P. 2011 - 2020)

590-C

R. Dodic:

WHEREAS Finance Committee conditionally approved the expansion of the Community Arts Centre project to include the requirements of the University of Lethbridge Conservatory to a maximum of \$4 million

AND WHEREAS the approval was conditional upon the completion of a lease agreement that, at a minimum, stipulated that the operating and life cycle costs of the Conservatory of Music be the full responsibility of the University of Lethbridge

AND WHEREAS it was requested that efforts be made to seek capital funding from the University of Lethbridge

AND WHEREAS Nancy Walker, Vice President of Finance and Administration, University of Lethbridge in her presentation to City Council on June 7, 2010 reported that the University would be unable to make a capital contribution to the Community Arts Centre project

AND WHEREAS Ms. Walker further indicated that the University of Lethbridge could meet annual lease fee payments of up to \$129,000 to cover life cycle and operating costs associated with the expansion to accommodate the Conservatory

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT prior to the adoption of a concept design and the beginning of the preparation of detail design drawings, the Administration be directed to complete a Memorandum of Agreement with the University of Lethbridge to confirm their commitment to enter into a lease agreement covering all incremental operating and lifecycle costs associated with the increased scope of the projects to accommodate the Conservatory programming.

----- CARRIED

ACTION: *City Treasurer*

590-C

Tom Wickersham, Deputy Mayor and Chair of the Finance Committee advised that the City Financial Committee has met numerous times over the last few months to develop our "10 year 2011-2020 Capital Improvement Program Budget", otherwise known as "CIP". To allow for public input the City of Lethbridge held Open Houses May 18 & 20 and featured Story Board displays May 17 - 21 and June 7 - 11.

Every three years the CIP 10 year budget is revisited and re-evaluated. The two categories evaluated are Transportation Projects and Utility and Community Projects.

The proposed and recommended CIP budget to City Council for the next three years (2011-2013) is as follows: 42% Utilities, 21% Transportation, (for a total of 63% combined) and Community Projects at 37%. These three categories total \$297,000,000. If City Council approves this budget it will be funded by Federal and Provincial Grants at 40%, Pay-A-You-Go (PAYG) 4%, Municipal Revenue Stabilization Reserve (MRSR) – Electric 12%, Offsite Levies 16%, Borrowing 19%, Reserves 1% and other 7%.

The 10 year plan is \$727,925,000. A large portion of funding is from Federal and Provincial Grants. If City Council passes this resolution it will have a tax increase (including .15% Pay-As-You-Go, .14% Taxation, .15% 2012) on the next operating budget of 2012-2014.

A few of the CIP projects include:

- Twinned Ice Arena, \$26.3 million
- Community Arts Centre, \$21 million
- Helen Schuler Nature Centre Expansion and Renovations, \$4.5 million
- Lethbridge Regional Police Service Head Quarters (H.Q) Expansion, \$26 million
- Bill Kergan Centre Renovation, \$5.5 million
- Exhibition Park Infrastructure Upgrades, \$9.3 million

Deputy Mayor Wickersham advised the projects will improve the quality of life for the residents of Lethbridge. The CIP budget reflects the desires of most of our community and is a realistic Capital Program Budget.

He reported that ot is always difficult to choose the top priority as there is limited funding available. However, with stimulus funding and grants available from Federal and Provincial money this budget cycle, he believes these projects will serve our community well in coming years.

T.H. Wickersham:

WHEREAS Finance Committee has reviewed and made amendments to the ten-year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) for 2011-2020, May 10 through to June 14, 2010;

AND WHEREAS there is an objective to approve a three (3) year capital plan (2011/12/13) within the ten-year planning context in order that the corresponding operating costs from the approved projects can be included in the 2012-2014 operating budget;

AND WHEREAS Finance Committee passed the following directional resolutions at the meeting of May 12:

- 1. Designation of Land for Future West Lethbridge Community Facility Development
- 2. Beautification of 13th Street North between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North
- 3. Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters

AND THERFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2011 to 2020 CIP, as amended, and the foregoing resolutions be approved

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the 2011 to 2013 CIP projects (as amended) (attached C-1, D-1,

E-1, F-1), be approved:

Note:

- 1. Transportation Projects outlined on Page C-1
- 2. Community Projects outlined on Page D-1
- 3. Waste & Recycling Services, Wastewater, Water, and Combined Deep Utility Projects outlined on Page E-1
- 4. Electric Projects outlined on Page F-1

Resolution 1 - Designation of Land for Future West Lethbridge Community Facility Development

WHEREAS the Crossings subdivision has been designed to serve as a community service core for West Lethbridge

AND WHEREAS there is a 30 acre parcel identified as a "swing site" in the Crossings Outline Plan

AND WHEREAS co-location of community facilities provides potential for efficient use of servicing and amenities and improved customer service

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT a parcel of 15-20 acres be included in the recently assigned site for the Twinned Ice Centre located west of the intersection of Britannia Blvd and Mauretania Road be designated for future community facility development

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVEDTHAT a Master Plan for the site be prepared to guide future use of the site.

.....

Resolution 2 - Beautification of 13th Street North between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North

WHEREAS 13 Street North between 2 Avenue and 9 Avenue North is in need of aesthetic upgrading

AND WHEREAS 13 Street North is a core commercial sector

AND WHEREAS the commercial sector is surrounded by residential neighbourhoods

AND WHEREAS there is need to rehabilitate existing planters and benches in the area

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT Administration be directed to prepare an aesthetic upgrading plan, including cost of sustaining the upgrading, for consideration in the 2012 – 2014 Operating Budget discussions.

AND FURTHER BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Businesses between 2nd Avenue and 9th Avenue North on 13 Street North be consulted to determine if they are prepared to share in the cost of the upgrading

Resolution 3 - Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters

WHEREAS the proposed expansion of the Lethbridge Regional Police Headquarters (D-24) is anticipated to meet the spatial requirements of the Department for approximately 25 years

AND WHEREAS interim use of the excess capacity is desirable

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Administration be directed to explore options for compatible uses of the excess capacity until it is required by the Lethbridge Regional Police Service

----- CARRIED

ACTION: *City Treasurer*